

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Stakeholders Meetings March-April 2021				
Dampier Community Association	1:1 Meeting	22-Mar	N/A	Meeting with Gill and Sharyn, no immediate concerns were raised, overall positive to see improvements to the town, invited to send in additional feedback following meeting if they would like to
Dampier Primary School	1:1 Meeting	17-Mar	N/A	Meeting with Luke Breman - Principal. No major concerns on the Masterplan, happy to see development and improvements planned for the town.
Dampier Tennis Club	1:1 Meeting	26-Mar	N/A	Meeting with Kirby Kong (President) and Shayne Creighton, concerns held around how new facility would be designed and managed, specifically mentioned its named 'Windy Ridge' for a reason so wanted reassurance this would be considered with future design to ensure wind does not affect game play, ideas – landscaping around fence to block wind, set/sink the playing surface down so fence and external area is set up a level to block wind, happy to see 4 courts, ideally would like all 4 to be for tennis only however understand multi-use courts is the only way they can access 4 courts. Questions around leasing and financial impacts of new facility however to be addressed through Land Transfers project.
Dampier Bowling Club	1:1 Meeting	9-Apr	N/A	Were interested to see if club would be moving to Windy Ridge or not, happy to stay where they are, indicated they would like to change to synthetic surface in the next 2 – 5 years, indicated the club is in dire need of City support in general (advice on admin and management etc.). Officer connected Jason with Christy Reed – Club Development Officer and met Thursday 22 April to discuss club development and support
Dampier Football Club	1:1 Meeting	9-Apr	N/A	Overall positive with vision of Masterplan, eager to know when do things start happening on the 0 – 5 year works so they know when to start building their ideal vision for the facility, Officer explained the Masterplan would go back to council in May capturing community feedback and we would perhaps know more following the Council meeting as to how it will be used moving forward and when
Dampier Sporting Association	1:1 Meeting			
Soak Café	1:1 Meeting	17-Mar	N/A	Meeting with Jade, happy to see the existing building is retained within the plan, positive feedback, think the vision is fantastic for the town, main concern is around their lease with the Seafarers building as they would like to continue to grow their business however lease ends in less than 2 years
Scope Property Group	Phone Meeting	6-Apr		Sent electronic copy of Masterplan to look at, no immediate feedback received, overly positive at the idea of developing the town, question regarding contact for architectural development at shopping centre with neighbouring city land, no specific Masterplan questions or concerns
Rio Tinto	1:1 Meeting			
Dampier Squash Club	Emails & Phone Calls	16 March, 26 March, 6 April		Concerns on minimal information on the proposed sporting precinct as it does not capture much detail on the design/service of this for squash club - no evidence that their comments and requirements for the possible new venue have been considered.
Jordan Ralph	Email	11-Apr	ICR249354	<p>Thanks for this. I wasn't able to make the sessions but I'm glad I can still be involved.</p> <p>Much of the plan looks great but I did notice that the Hampton Harbour Yacht Club is not included. I'm guessing this is because it is privately managed land but in my mind it would pay to develop that "marina precinct" in line with the rest of the development proposed around the Dampier Town Centre. This is especially so since the bigger marina facility the HHYC has proposed (as was outlined in the local paper just last week) will increase the number of trailer boat movements, and perhaps the size of those trailer boats. When this comes together in combination with the caravan park (more large towed vehicles), that roadway will get very tight and busy.</p> <p>I know the City has looked at assisting with the marina feasibility previously and for whatever reason is no longer involved, but it might pay to try to bring the mariner into the strategic plan too, not just to address road and traffic issues, but in order to ensure that whatever facility is ultimately developed there is in line with vision for the rest of Dampier's future development.</p> <p>For example, my understanding is that previous marina designs could not be made financially feasible due to the cost of development versus the income that was to come from the sale and leasing of boat pens. For this reason, a more modest marina is now being proposed. This would be a shame once the rest of the Dampier Town Centre is rolled out. However, I think there is an opportunity within that marina precinct to install some high quality residential apartments, and including residential facilities within the marina development will go a long way toward improving the overall feasibility of the project. In addition, this would help alleviate some of the City's challenges with housing.</p> <p>However, my understanding is that the existing Waste Water Treatment Plant is at capacity and for this reason, additional residential development in Dampier is not possible at this time. Since there is provision to move (and I assume upgrade) the WWTP in the next 5 years, perhaps this should be prioritised. Would be great for the City to unlock additional residential development possibilities, and I think too that mariner needs a vision which aligns in it's ambition and quality with the broader Dampier Town Centre vision. I might have some more thoughts to send to you. Please let me know if you'd like more details.</p>
Natalie Barker	Email	22-Apr	ICR250440	Good afternoon, Wanting to give feedback re placement of proposed club rooms at windy ridge. Windy ridge has the most amazing ocean views and blocking them with club rooms seems insane. Placing them on the opposite side of the oval, scoreboard side would restrict nothing. Keen to hear COK thoughts on this.
Unnalise Radley	Email	25-Apr	ICR250133	Hello, As a resident of 20years in Dampier and someone who went to last years meeting I feel the city of karratha has not listen to the community. The caravan park site was not approved by the community and yet it is still in the plans. The article reads "well supported plan" well no it wasn't and isn't. Having a caravan park so close to the school and main beaches where so many young children are is a risk that many of us are not willing to support. The fact a pub with walking access through the school is bad enough. I asked my daughter who is 6 what she thought about more cars and people being so close to her school and beaches and her response was "we can't cross the road now for school and feel safe, the cars don't stop like they do in karratha at the lights" "Our beaches don't need more rubbish, the bins already don't get used" I feel this master plan will not benefit the locals and community abroad. I am not opposed to a revamp, so far so good but locations need to be reconsidered.

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Tanya Argent (Empire 6714)	Email	26-Apr	ICR250136	<p>To the City of Karratha and CR Jamie Armstrong, My name is Tanya Argent and I am disappointed to be having to write to you on this matter. I attended both Community Sessions regarding the plans for the future of Dampier and I am less than impressed to read that your consultant has blatantly ignored the community feedback, and me. I have been a property owner and proud resident of Dampier for 7 years. I have lived in the Pilbara for 11 years. I am the owner of empire6714 café which has been operating for 6.5 years in the Karratha town centre. As a small business owner I am writing in objection to the proposed Caravan Park to be built next to the Primary School. I attended both community sessions as I am a resident and rate payer in Dampier. What I found rather shocking to read was that the plans available for viewing all had the following wording written on them "no upgrades or development to occur in Dampier that will detract from recent investments in Karratha" When I questioned your consultant they advised that CoK didn't want to spend "too much on a Shopping Centre as Karratha already has several. They want Karratha to be the shopping hub". My question and rejection for the proposed Caravan Park is then directly related to the plans in general. I have a small business. It is in Karratha. The town has not grown to the "City" that was originally forecast (remember your 50,000 residents for 2025 plans....???). Why then would the City propose to invest in a Caravan Park that is not even located right near all of the small businesses that have tried to help you meet the "City" vision? The counter offers put forward to your consultant were to put the Caravan Park in Mulataga or Madigan Estate. Right near your "Cottesloe by the Sea" plans and near the heavily invested small businesses. Why are these comments not included in the consultants published plan? As a business owner I do not support the Dampier Master Plan. I look forward to receiving a transparent response and answers to the questions of why we have been ignored by your consultant.</p>
Tanya Argent	Email	26-Apr	ICR250135	<p>To the City of Karratha and CR Jamie Armstrong, My name is Tanya Argent and I am disappointed to be having to write to you on this matter. I attended both Community Sessions regarding the plans for the future of Dampier and I am less than impressed to read that your consultant has blatantly ignored the community feedback, and me. I have been a property owner and proud resident of Dampier for 7 years. I have lived in the Pilbara for 11 years. I work for a GTE and I am also a small business owner in Karratha. I have seen the Karratha region transform and the reasons for moving to Dampier, was to live in a small, safe, quiet, & family orientated community driven town. I have taken the time to review the future of Dampier plans and discussed these at length with a number of fellow Dampier residents, and I will report (again) that I am NOT in support of the Master Plan. Firstly, I would like to start by highlighting the proposed ideas that I think will improve the general liveability of the town. The overall statement from the community was: "We want the town to remain as it is. Some general improvements would be appreciated. We do NOT want any developments that will impact the Primary School and we do NOT want the foreshore changed. We want to see an active & successful educational ocean & land litter management program from the City that addresses the existing litter issues as a result of the previous Foreshore upgrades. Nothing new can be installed along the beachfront until this is addressed." This was also mentioned in BOTH community sessions. I cannot see ANY of the above written in your consultant's feedback. Which can only mean that they have ignored us all.</p> <p>Specifically, comments to the 0-5 year plans published: 1) The Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct; • the direct feedback from the TWO sessions was "upgrade and improve EXISTING facilities, do not move them, we like the town the way that it is" 2) Improvement of the boat launch manoeuvring area; • This should be part of the overall general maintenance of the City and the towns within it. We don't need a consultant to tell us this. 3) Upgrade to our existing shopping centre • I will point out here that on all of your consultants plans available to view at these sessions was a statement from CoK to say "no upgrades can be taken to detract from recent investments in Karratha City...." Your consultant said we cannot have a shopping centre upgrade because the City had invested in The Tambrey Village. So, if we can't have a shopping centre, why do we have to have the Caravan Park??????? 4) - What is missed here is the Extension of the Walkways/Boardwalk to go the whole way around the beach front towards the existing Dampier Transit Park. The community wanted more seats and another area similar to the Existing Shark Cage picnic tables. Why did your consultant miss this?</p> <p>The 5-10+ year plan was rejected at the community sessions. 1) Implementation of a Tourist Park • The overall concept and the further arguments presented were all rejected at the community session. o Location - rejected as it is next to the Primary School. Grave safety concerns for the safety of our children. o Location - rejected as it completely ruins the current culture, and beauty of the town o Location - rejected as there has been nothing but a litter dump, to the beach and the ocean since the foreshore was upgraded. <input type="checkbox"/> Where is the education? <input type="checkbox"/> Where is the Recycling? <input type="checkbox"/> What is the City currently doing to stop this? <input type="checkbox"/> What will they do in the future? o Size - overall rejected o Size - next to a Primary School - Rejected o Modification - Air BnB Townhouses - Rejected o Counter Offers - the community suggested putting it in Karratha. Plenty of space, and it is near the multi billions of dollars already invested to "activate" the City Centre, which is barely activated. Madigan Estate or Mulataga were the agreed locations. Plenty of restaurants and small business to receive stimulated spend as a result. o Counter Offers - funding a SMALL upgrade to the EXISTING transit park with NO change to Location. o Counter Offers - funding a revamp to the Mermaid. The community DOES NOT want Air BnB styled townhouses OR a Caravan Park at its ONLY asset, the beach.</p> <p>2) The opening of street ends and removal of cul de sacs • This was declined. It is part of the attraction of living here and creates a safe environment for children. This was rejected so hard that it should not even have been written into the plan. Again, community has been blatantly ignored.</p> <p>3) Hotel/Motel Build • This was rejected. The only suggestions were in reference to removing the Caravan Park and funding an upgrade of existing infrastructure. o As a community, we are not wanting to increase the tourist pool residing in the town significantly impacting the safety and culture that is so unique and cherished. o We are not a holiday town and we DO NOT want Karratha cashing in on the beach to try and make us become one. We are a community build of the foundation of the mining industry filled with hard work and dedicated Pilbara residents.</p> <p>4) School Expansion - not discussed at the Community Sessions at all, why is it in the Plan if it wasn't discussed? • the only reason to increase the size of the school would be to accommodate more residents. • A significant attraction to purchasing in Dampier is that there will not be an opportunity to purchase more land or build more residential properties. This would impact not only the small-town feel, but the value of housing for residents in the area. • An upgrade and improvement of the Dampier Primary School to support its current and future students would sit with the Department of Education and should not even be included in this piece without the representation of the Department of Education. o I do not support the growth of the school if this means the increase of residential properties in the area. And this, WAS NOT discussed at the Community Sessions</p> <p>I look forward to receiving a transparent response and answers to the questions of why we have been ignored by your consultant.</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
David & Pip Arthur	Email	28-Apr	ICR250415	<p>My wife and I are proud home owners in Dampier. A town that we chose to establish a home for our family. We chose Dampier for the obvious reasons; safe, small town, supportive community and quiet. A place that my children and eventually grandkids can grow up in safety, whilst knowing their community.</p> <p>I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments. My partner attended the meeting in July last year and contributed to the draft planning of the Dampier Master Plan, however, there are many issues that have been included in the plan that were strongly opposed by many of the attendees.</p> <p>Whilst there are concerns, there are also many developments that have my support. The Short term (0 -5) plan includes the Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct, upgrade to The Esplanade and Shark Cage. The Medium Term (5 – 10) mentions the continued support of the upgraded shopping precinct and the upgrade of the Dampier Lawn Bowling Club.</p> <p>Below I outline the proposed developments captured in the plans that do not align with my values and also Dampier's culture. I have spoken to numerous residents recently, and they too are strongly opposed.</p> <p>The Medium Term Plan</p> <p>Tourist Park:</p> <p>Whilst I am in support of a slightly larger tourist park in Dampier to supplement income and support business I am completely and utterly opposed at the proposal of a park of such enormity. The area that has been suggested on the map quite clearly shows the intended size. This size park would draw a population that would significantly increase Dampier's population, bringing with it congested beaches, streets and parks. This would change one of the most valuable qualities of Dampier, safety. The safety of our children and our lifestyle.</p> <p>The plan states, "Tourist Park development to utilise the primarily undeveloped land adjacent the marina. This location forms a logical area to accommodate a Tourist Park with options and associated facilities delivered in the medium-term."</p> <p>This statement is most untrue. Logical area? The area denoted is next to a primary school, on a 'hair pin' corner and opposite a considerably well used park and oval that already has issues in terms of vehicle access. Can you imagine the congestion adding unfamiliar tourists towing caravans.</p> <p>The following statement in the plan also causes concern: "As the key landholdings in the town centre are privately owned (in particular, the shopping centre site and Mermaid Hotel), the majority of future upgrades and development will be subject to private investment and priorities."</p> <p>Is this in relation to the proposed Tourist Park? If so, it was discussed at the meeting for the park to be managed by the DCA, not privatised. Privatising the park would reap no reward for the community of Dampier, only to bare unwanted population growth, crime and congestion of the town.</p> <p>I would also like clarity of the statement "Tourist Park to provide a variety of tourist accommodation choices" Would this include long term accommodation or fifo? This once again brings concerns of changing our town and the safe and small community that we have created.</p> <p>Long Term Plan (10+)</p> <p>In understanding the plan, I acknowledge that some of the proposed ideas are reliant on expansion of the town's needs. However, by the inclusion of these ideas, it is meaning to say that us, as the community are in support when we are not.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hotel/Motel• Expansion of the already large Tourist Park <p>Vision Plan – Master Plan</p> <p>In the Vision Plan – Master Plan there are a number of statements that draw cause for concern and require further explanation.</p> <p>"Consider connectivity of some of the Crescent streets to facilitate improved permeability and legibility for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles." This was strongly opposed at the meeting last July, by all those who attended. We do not wish to open crescents to vehicles, this is one of the appeals of the town.</p> <p>"Promoting the opportunity for development that supports the tourism sector, including options for a range of accommodation types within close proximity to the high amenity of the Town Centre and the coast." Confusion of why the need for close proximity of the Town Centre. Perhaps a Tourist Park would be better placed a little distance from the town centre, this would alleviate congestion and crowds in our small town centre focusing on better safety for our children and residents.</p> <p>"Providing opportunities for residential, tourism, recreation and entertainment development adjacent the waterfront." This point has been one most strongly opposed in conversations I have had with my community. The opportunity for more residential development, in no way this was ever agreed as a need or want from members of the community who attended the meeting. This is an attraction of living in Dampier and the major reason for choosing Dampier as home.</p> <p>Constraints Map</p> <p>There is also a need for clarification on the Constraints Map. It is stated:</p> <p>"Future Urban Development Precinct - highly constrained by topographical and servicing constraints. Subject to further investigations" Within the short, medium and long term plans there is no mention of this and it has provided some misunderstandings around the outlining and marking out of this area.</p> <p>I seek clarification on these points and also would like time to be allotted to another community meeting to further discuss these points. As stated in the call for feedback sent out to the public, the plans are to be directed by the community as we, the residents of Dampier will be directly affected.</p>
Emma Baker	Email	28-Apr	ICR250417	<p>My name is Emma Baker and I am a proud home owner in Dampier. A town that I chose to establish a home for my family, two small children and my partner. We chose Dampier for the obvious reasons; safe, small town, supportive community and quiet. A place that my children can grow up in safety, whilst knowing their community.</p> <p>I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments. My partner attended the meeting in July last year and contributed to the draft planning of the Dampier Master Plan, however, there are many issues that have been included in the plan that were strongly opposed by many of the attendees. Whilst there are concerns, there are also many developments that have my support. The Short term (0 -5) plan includes the Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct, upgrade to The Esplanade and Shark Cage. The Medium Term (5 – 10) mentions the continued support of the upgraded shopping precinct and the upgrade of the Dampier Lawn Bowling Club.</p> <p>Below I outline the proposed developments captured in the plans that do not align with my values and also Dampier's culture. I have spoken to numerous residents recently, and they too are strongly opposed.</p> <p>The Medium Term Plan</p> <p>Tourist Park:</p> <p>Whilst I am in support of a slightly larger tourist park in Dampier to supplement income and support business I am completely and utterly opposed at the proposal of a park of such enormity. The area that has been suggested on the map quite clearly shows the intended size. This size park would draw a population that would significantly increase Dampier's population, bringing with it congested beaches, streets and parks. This would change one of the most valuable qualities of Dampier, safety. The safety of our children and our lifestyle.</p> <p>The plan states, "Tourist Park development to utilise the primarily undeveloped land adjacent the marina. This location forms a logical area to accommodate a Tourist Park with options and associated facilities delivered in the medium-term."</p> <p>This statement is most untrue. Logical area? The area denoted is next to a primary school, on a 'hair pin' corner and opposite a considerably well used park and oval that already has issues in terms of vehicle access. Can you imagine the congestion adding unfamiliar tourists towing caravans.</p> <p>What most concerns me is the fact that the proposed area backs on to our Primary School. The safety of our children is a huge concern. The increased traffic but also that the park will draw transients, backpackers and the unknown. This is not a logical proposal. This is one that will invite such problems of certain caravan parks in Karratha, one that will put our children at risk. Developing a Tourist Park opposite a primary school and a park is inviting trouble.</p> <p>The following statement in the plan also causes concern: "As the key landholdings in the town centre are privately owned (in particular, the shopping centre site and Mermaid Hotel), the majority of future upgrades and development will be subject to private investment and priorities."</p> <p>Is this in relation to the proposed Tourist Park? If so, it was discussed at the meeting for the park to be managed by the DCA, not privatised. Privatising the park would reap no reward for the community of Dampier, only to bare unwanted population growth, crime and congestion of the town.</p> <p>I would also like clarity of the statement "Tourist Park to provide a variety of tourist accommodation choices" Would this include long term accommodation or fifo? This once again brings concerns of changing our town and the safe and small community that we have</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
				<p>created.</p> <p>Long Term Plan (10+) In understanding the plan, I acknowledge that some of the proposed ideas are reliant on expansion of the town's needs. However, by the inclusion of these ideas, it is meaning to say that us, as the community are in support when we are not.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hotel/Motel • Expansion of the already large Tourist Park <p>Vision Plan – Master Plan In the Vision Plan – Master Plan there are a number of statements that draw cause for concern and require further explanation. "Consider connectivity of some of the Crescent streets to facilitate improved permeability and legibility for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles." This was strongly opposed at the meeting last July, by all those who attended. We do not wish to open crescents to vehicles, this is one of the appeals of the town. "Promoting the opportunity for development that supports the tourism sector, including options for a range of accommodation types within close proximity to the high amenity of the Town Centre and the coast." Confusion of why the need for close proximity of the Town Centre. Perhaps a Tourist Park would be better placed a little distance from the town centre, this would alleviate congestion and crowds in our small town centre focusing on better safety for our children and residents. "Providing opportunities for residential, tourism, recreation and entertainment development adjacent the waterfront." This point has been one most strongly opposed in conversations I have had with my community. The opportunity for more residential development, in no way this was ever agreed as a need or want from members of the community who attended the meeting. This is an attraction of living in Dampier and the major reason for choosing Dampier as home.</p> <p>Constraints Map There is also a need for clarification on the Constraints Map. It is stated: "Future Urban Development Precinct - highly constrained by topographical and servicing constraints. Subject to further investigations" Within the short, medium and long term plans there is no mention of this and it has provided some misunderstandings around the outlining and marking out of this area. I seek clarification on these points and also would like time to be allotted to another community meeting to further discuss these points. As stated in the call for feedback sent out to the public, the plans are to be directed by the community as we, the residents of Dampier will be directly affected.</p>
Shea Egan	Email	28-Apr		<p>Hi, I am opposed to to the proposed masterplan in relation to Dampier. I am asking for another community meeting to discuss and clarify.</p>
Jackie Nicholl	Email	28-Apr	ICR250336	<p>To whom it may concern. I just have some feedback for the masterplan. Most my issues are long term stuff.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The resort in the area above the shark cage will be so close to the houses on this corner. We will be looking into the resort instead of over the harbour. Also concerns about traffic, noise and security with this development so close. Literally 100m! 2. The caravan park will massively congest this area. It's turning our town into coral bay when we are a working and living community. It's a big park and will really change the safe and secure community for our kids. And it's proximity to the primary school. It's too close and I don't believe it has the interest of our children's safety in mind. The land to the south of Hampton oval where the horse stables would be a much better location with easy access to the beaches and forshore. Opportunity for watersports to have space close to that end of the beach as it is the best location for both Kite and SUP and possibly other water-sports like kayak or those inflatable playgrounds. It's the only place without rocks. The tourists would have easy access to this straight off the beach. It makes so much more sense not to congest the Main Street. 3. Is the parking at the sports club and boat ramp. I don't think it will cope with an increase of sporting and boating activity. Boat trailers have double in size in the last 10 years and the area already has problems in good weather. It may look good in drawings but it's not practical for growth.
Grant Theelen	Email	28-Apr	ICR250419	<p>I am involved with the Dampier Sharks Football Club and have a few questions/statements in regards to the Draft Masterplan. Currently we have Club rooms which service Cricket, Football, Softball in both Junior and Senior grades.</p> <p>Our first concern is that predominately we use the facilities broad patio areas as it works for our harsh climate. In your vision, it does not look like outdoor undercover areas have been considered. It is still a club at the end of the day, to which we have worked hard to obtain some amenities which make life easier for everyone involved around Windy Ridge, (ie Ice Baths/Ice Maker/Fridges etc). My question is, have these been considered? Or will it be more of a hollow building with not much functionality to it? We'd like to think we wouldn't have to open the upstairs function rooms every training night just to access some cover from the environment/access to fridges/somewhere to access Ice Baths/Ice Machines.</p> <p>The next concern is that currently the Function Room at Windy Ridge is a multi purpose room, that facilitates regular fitness classes, with also being covered in all the history of the individual clubs involved all over the walls. Is it in your vision, as it is dual orientated, to have space in the function room to hang up some of our history? Also will there be a purpose built room within the lower level to incorporate a gym space and/or indoor fitness area? As Dampier has not had an undercover/indoor or purpose built gym, I feel this would be the perfect opportunity to make it available to its community, which would alleviate the need to access the Function Room to use as a fitness room.</p> <p>With Canteen facilities, have you considered a small kitchen with access from the outside of the building on the lower level? As this is a staple for every sport down at Windy Ridge for competitions and club days. What structures will be left alone/relocated at Windy Ridge? Currently we have the Onley Stand (Coaches Box), Scoreboard and Memorial Bench for the late Mitchell Deacon. Will these be looked at to be included in your vision, tossed away or relocated?</p> <p>With the Tennis/Basketball/Netball courts, has it been considered to potentially put an Undercover Structure over the lot? As it is a harsh environment, with no shade I personally see this area being seldom used. If you look at the leisure plex, the undercover Netball/Basketball courts, attract people from all over the district, as it is a way to enjoy playing the sport, without the additional direct sunlight heat.</p> <p>Do you have a time frame on when the specific floor plans will be available for comment, or is this our last chance to make comment before decisions are made and the community is left disgruntled?</p> <p>I understand this is quite upfront, but the masterplan is quite vague and we would like specifics please.</p>
Hannah Saunders	Email	28-Apr	ICR250422	<p>Hi there, I'm just writing to let you know that I oppose the plans for Dampier, and request another community meeting before plans are finalised.</p>
Scott Hillis	Email	29-Apr	ICR210939	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I would like to express my opposition to the proposed town plan for Dampier. It is my opinion that the proposed changes will detract from the qualities that make Dampier a great place to live and bring up a family. I believe there has been a lack of consultation with the residents of the town and the proposed plan goes against the will of the people. Further, some of the proposed changes will have an adverse impact on the safety and security of the town residents.</p> <p>The main concern I have is the proposed tourist park being located adjacent to the primary school. This shows a complete disregard for the safety of the students for the sake of a few tourist dollars over the winter months. Next, the opening of the cul-de-sacs will again reduce the safety of the residents with vehicles traveling at a higher speed down the currently quiet streets. Finally, the proposed addition of more residential housing will lower the price of existing housing at the detriment of the ratepayers. Those same ratepayers that will be funding their own financial loss if the proposed changes are implemented.</p> <p>I request that further consultation is held with the residents of the town. This will help to ensure that any development is done in accordance with the wishes of the townsfolk.</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
				<p>Att: City of Karratha and Jamie Armstrong Re: The future of Dampier My name is Hayley Brown and I have been a property owner and proud resident of Dampier for 8 years. I am a wife, a mother of 2, a small business owner, chair of the Dampier Primary School Board and active Community member. My husband and I, like many other Dampier residents, purchased our house in Dampier to create a home for a family. A home in a safe, quiet, community driven town that in my opinion is the jewel of the Pilbara Region. I have taken the time to review the future of Dampier plans as a resident and ratepayer and discussed these at length with a number of fellow Dampier residents, and I must say I am not in support of a significant number of proposed changes. Whilst I appreciate two community workshops were held in 2020, it does not appear that the feedback provided from the community during these sessions were taken on board. I would like to start by highlighting the proposed ideas that I think do actually support the growth and development and enrichment of our community: 1) The Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct; 2) Improvement of the boat launch manoeuvring area; 3) Upgrade to our existing shopping centre. The following proposed ideas captured in the 5 – 10 year plan and 10+ year concern are not initiatives that align to the culture and values of Dampier and the reason we reside here in the first place: 1) Implementation of a Tourist Park The size and location of this park is absolutely astonishing. As a resident of Dampier with 2 young children in Dampier Primary School, I feel incredibly uncomfortable at the thought of the volume increase of tourists that would enter the area, which is being proposed directly next to the Dampier Primary School. When you look outside the window now, you see young kids riding bikes, playing with their friends, walking or bike riding to the beach with their fishing rods on their backs or footballs in their bags. There is no way families will feel the level of comfort and safety that they do now to allow our children to do that with the significant increase of strangers and traffic. I can guarantee you I wont, which completely takes away the charm of raising young children here in the first place. Yes, Dampier is a tourist attraction, however improving and increasing the current caravan park by a maximum of 100 bays would surely suffice. There are enough caravan parks in Karratha, which is the City Centre only 15km away, to support tourist accommodation with no need to change the culture and safety of our community. This recommendation also goes completely against what was requested by the DCA which was to be operated by them. This development would be awarded to one of the big parks to manage resulting in funds leaving the community not supporting the community as stipulated in the documentation: 'The majority of future upgrades and development will be subject to private investment and priorities'. 2) The opening of street ends and removal of cul de sacs Again, one of the attractions to residing in our beautiful Dampier streets are the cul de sacs. This results in reduced traffic to support our kids safety and also provides safe areas for boats, trailers and caravans to turn around. Our streets were not designed for heavy traffic flow. Whilst they block off access points in one way, they keep our community connected in another. They allow our streets to feel safe and they support our lifestyle choices. 3) Hotel/Motel Build I would be proposing that the Mermaid is revamped to improve the hotel/motel accommodation offerings in Dampier. Karratha City has a number of hotel options available for tourists that is again only 15km away. With the above proposed expansion of the current Caravan Park to 100 additional bays, the option of cabins on site could be another alternative as opposed to building a new hotel/motel. As a community, we are not wanting to increase the tourist pool residing in the town significantly impacting the safety and culture that is so unique and cherished. We are not a holiday town, we are a community built on the foundation of the mining industry filled with hard working and dedicated Pilbara residents. In reference to the school expansion – the only reason to increase the size of the school would be to accommodate more residents. Again, another significant attraction to purchasing in Dampier is that there will not be an opportunity to purchase more land or build more residential properties. This would impact not only the small-town feel, but the value of housing for residents in the area. Whilst I completely support an upgrade and improvement of the Dampier Primary School to support its current and future students, I do not support the growth of the school if this means the increase of residential properties in the area. The following 83 Dampier Community residents concur with my concerns above and would like to co-sign this letter for review and consideration:</p> <p>Phil Brown, Brownny_phil@hotmail.com, 6 Hardey Cres, Dampier Kirsty Manolas, kirstyreynolds@live.com.au, 16 Hardey Cres, Dampier Nathan Manolas, natemanolas@hotmail.com, 16 Hardey Cres, Dampier Tarryn Ivan, Tarryn.ivan@gmail.com, 2 Boolgeeda Court, Dampier Stephen Ivan, Stephen.ivan@tollgroup.com, 2 Boolgeeda Court, Dampier Jaclyn Nicholl, hello@crackerjackpaddlesports.net, 4 Maitland Court, Dampier Rodney Nicholl, Rod.nicholl@woodside.com.au, 4 Maitland Court, Dampier Amber Gay, Amber.gay@health.wa.gov.au, 6 Prinsep Cres, Dampier Brett Gay, Brettog79@gmail.com, 6 Prinsep Cres, Dampier Heidi Singer, Heidi.singer@hotmail.com, 16 Lyndon Cres, Dampier Ryan Hawke, Hawkey_18@hotmail.com, 16 Lyndon Cres, Dampier Natalie Barker, Nattym13@hotmail.com, 3 Blackwood Cres, Dampier Greg Barker, Gb79@bigpond.net.au, 3 Blackwood Cres, Dampier Tameeka Dodd, Tameeka.dodd@hotmail.com, 8 Gregory Cres, Dampier Analyce Sermon, Analycesermon@gmail.com, 2 Hardey Cres, Dampier Lynette Upton, Lynette.upton@icloud.com, 3 Fortescue Cres, Dampier Gary Upton, Lynette.upton@icloud.com, 3 Fortescue Cres, Dampier Amy Privett, Amy.privett@outlook.com, 5 Durack Cres, Dampier Jamie Privett, dimech@outlook.com, 5 Durack Cres, Dampier, Kristin Lovell, gklovell@iinet.net.au, 8 Prinsep Cres, Dampier Geoff Lovell, gklovell@iinet.net.au, 8 Prinsep Cres, Dampier Michael Egan, Michael.egan@riotinto.com, 9 Forrest Cres, Dampier Shae Egan, Sheaegan86@gmail.com, 9 Forrest Cres, Dampier Sarah McKenzie, Sarahjane_mitz@hotmail.com, 2a Pinderi Cres, Dampier Jesse McKenzie, Jesse_mckenzie1988@hotmail.com, 2a Pinderi Cres, Dampier Robyn Mitschuinig, Robynmark_mitz@hotmail.com, 3 Gascoyne Cres, Dampier Ellen Grochowski, Ellengrochowski7@gmail.com, 14 Lyndon Cres, Dampier Nicholas Grochowski, Grochowskin450@gmail.com, 14 Lyndon Cres, Dampier Emma Baker, embron@hotmail.com, 18 Meda Cres, Dampier Lee Baker, embron@hotmail.com, 18 Meda Cres, Dampier Rachael Skinner, Rachael92@hotmail.com, 7 Elliott Cres, Dampier Jesse Maiorana, jessebootcamp@gmail.com, 7 Elliott Cres, Dampier Unnalise Radley, Unradley83@gmail.com, 12 Flinders Cres, Dampier Tom Radley, Tjr84@bigpond.com, 12 Flinders Cres, Dampier Tanya Argent, tanyaargent@hotmail.com, 18 Prinsep Cres, Dampier Wayne Summers, tanyaargent@hotmail.com, 18 Prinsep Cres, Dampier Stephanie Soter, jssoter@gmail.com, 15 Yannarie Cres, Dampier John Soter, jssoter@gmail.com, 15 Yannarie Cres, Dampier Daniel Reither, danreither@westnet.com.au, 20 Lyndon Cres, Dampier</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
				<p>Sian Reither, Sian.reither@aussiebroadband.com.au, 20 Lyndon Cres, Dampier Asher Brown, Asherb203@hotmail.com, 8 Lockyer Cres, Dampier Josh Stott, jrestott@hotmail.com, 8 Lockyer Cres, Dampier Bret Morgan Parsons, Bret.harkild@hotmail.com, 7 Portland Cres, Dampier Jamie Parsons, Jamie_parsons86@hotmail.com, 7 Portland Cres, Dampier Alicia Harkild, Alicia.harkild@hotmail.com, 6 Ashburton Cres, Dampier Janice Dickson, Alicia.harkild@hotmail.com, 6 Ashburton Cres, Dampier Danielle McKenna, danielleandmarcus@westnet.com.au, 15 Murchison Cres, Dampier Marcus McKenna, danielleandmarcus@westnet.com.au, 15 Murchison Cres, Dampier Nat Gray, Sngay3@bigpond.net.au, 8 Fitzroy Cres, Dampier Steve Gray, Sngay2@bigpond.net.au, 8 Fitzroy Cres, Dampier Beth Brophy, bethdalziel@hotmail.com, 5 De Grey Cres, Dampier Nick Brophy, Nickbrophy84@yahoo.com.au, 5 De Grey Cres, Dampier Kathryn Rush Few, Katematt2016@hotmail.com, 6 Fremantle Cres, Dampier Matthew Few, Katematt2016@hotmail.com, 6 Fremantle Cres, Dampier Tanya Smith, Sholl sholl@live.com.au, 5 Wooramel Cres, Dampier Sam Sholl, sholl@live.com.au, 5 Wooramel Cres, Dampier Katy Van Blerk, Katyjdavisvet@gmail.com, 15 Forrest Cres, Dampier Matthew Van Blerk, Katyjdavisvet@gmail.com, 15 Forrest Cres, Dampier Michael Davis, davismsk@bigpond.net.au, 15 Yule Cres, Dampier Gillian Davis, davismsk@bigpond.net.au, 15 Yule Cres, Dampier Pat Willoughby, Linda.willoughby@education.wa.edu.au, 10 Berkeley Cres, Dampier Linda Willoughby, Linda.willoughby@education.wa.edu.au, 10 Berkeley Cres, Dampier Heather Olsson, Heather.okeeffe@ghd.com, 7 Forrest Cres, Dampier Dale Olsson, Dale.olsson@riotinto.com, 7 Forrest Cres, Dampier Sarah Board, joshandsarahboard@hotmail.com, 9 Giles Cres, Dampier Josh Board, joshandsarahboard@hotmail.com, 9 Giles Cres, Dampier Briony Briskey, brionyblack@hotmail.com, 15 Wooramel Cres, Dampier Heather Phillips, heznpaige@gmail.com, 22 Hardey Cres, Dampier Paul Byrne, paulchrisbyrne@gmail.com, 22 Hardey Cres, Dampier Brady Stump, bradystumpmobile@gmail.com, 17 Wooramel Cres, Dampier Adam Crowfoot, Acrowfoot.82@gmail.com, 7 Fortescue Cres, Dampier Amy Maslen, Amy_maz@hotmail.com, 7 Fortescue Cres, Dampier Shari Kyle, sales@kangavan.com, 4 Lockyer Cres, Dampier Jason Kyle, Jason.kyle@riotinto.com, 4 Lockyer Cres, Dampier Tasmin Kyle, Tasmin.kyle@gmail.com, 4 Lockyer Cres, Dampier Sean Minogue, seanosminogueus@yahoo.com.au, 2 Berkeley Cres, Dampier George Minogue, seanosminogueus@yahoo.com.au, 2 Berkeley Cres, Dampier Holly Elder Vernon, pvandhol@bigpond.net.au, 13 Fortescue Cres, Dampier Jodie Ford, ajeford@bigpond.net.au, 5 Pinderi Cres, Dampier Mandy Mason, mandymason@gmail.com, 16 Minilya Cres, Dampier Steve Mason, Stevemason73@hotmail.com, 16 Minilya Cres, Dampier Noel Stewart, noeltracey@westnet.com.au, 19 Fortescue Cres, Dampier Tracey Stewart, noeltracey@westnet.com.au, 19 Fortescue Cres, Dampier</p> <p>Please don't consider this email as one voice. Consider this email as the voice of 84 concerned and passionate Dampier residents. I appreciate you taking the time to review our feedback and we look forward to your response. Regards, Hayley 0447 494 912</p>
Chris Mould	Email	29-Apr	ICR250450	<p>Hi I've recently reviewed the Dampier Master plan. Everything looks great I only have 3 concerns.</p> <p>1. The proximity of the Caravan park to the school is too close for obvious reasons. I think it needs to be moved to the South of Hampton Park if possible. 2. The new club rooms would be awesome and welcomed. I have 2 part issue. 1st part. I think the club rooms should be facing the water and situated in the south east corner of Windy Ridge. This will allow a better spectacle and won't block the ocean. 2nd part. How will all the clubs go sharing the facilities? The soccer and footy would clash alot and not to mention others I would think at some point of the year. The soccer should atleast remain at Hampton oval. 3. A water park like they have in Exmouth town centre would be a great addition to the foreshore. Lots of kids and families would have a ball.</p> <p>If you would like to discuss or have any feedback please contact me at anytime.</p> <p>To whom it may concern</p>
Katie Ewan	Email	29-Apr	ICR250472	<p>I am a proud Dampier resident. I love our little town especially for its family friendly feel. The new Dampier master plan has a few ideas which I am opposed to such as putting a tourist park right next to our primary school. I would love another town meeting to be held to discuss this and other aspects of the town plans before it is all confirmed.</p> <p>Many thanks Katie Ewan</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Samantha Mitchell	Email	29-Apr	ICR250477	<p>To Whom it May Concern,</p> <p>My name is Samantha Mitchell, my husband Tom Mitchell and I are the proud owners of 6 Maitland Court in Dampier. An address I am sure the City is familiar with by now, with multiple renovations over the past few years. I was born and raised in Dampier and with now with the expected arrival of our first child this year, it is obvious that I have chosen to call Dampier my home. I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments. Whilst I do have concerns, there are also many developments that have I support.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Short term (0 -5) plan includes the Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct, upgrade to The Esplanade and Shark Cage. • The Medium Term (5 – 10) mentions the continued support of the upgraded shopping precinct and the upgrade of the Dampier Lawn Bowling Club. <p>Below I have outlined the proposed developments that I am strongly opposed too.</p> <p>The Medium Term Plan Tourist Park: Whilst I agree that a slightly larger tourist park in Dampier would benefit our town, to supplement income and support business, I am completely and utterly opposed at the proposal of a park of such enormity. The area that has been suggested on the map quite clearly shows the intended size, which to be frank is utterly ridiculous. The plan also states that the, "Tourist Park development to utilise the primarily undeveloped land adjacent the marina. This location forms a logical area to accommodate a Tourist Park with options and associated facilities delivered in the medium-term." This statement is mostly untrue. The area is next to a primary school, on a 'hair pin' corner and opposite a considerably well used park and oval that already has issues in terms of vehicle access. My largest concern is that the proposed area backs on to our Primary School, the safety of our children is a huge concern. Not just the increased traffic risk but also that the parks sheer size of anything between humble retirees, backpackers and the unknown. This proposal defies logic. Karratha already has these issues with certain caravan park locations and incidents that have occurs, I am outraged and discussed that he City would even consider, let alone approve such a proposal considering the knowledge of previous events already in their possession. The following statement in the plan also causes concern: "As the key landholdings in the town centre are privately owned (in particular, the shopping centre site and Mermaid Hotel), the majority of future upgrades and development will be subject to private investment and priorities." Is this in relation to the proposed Tourist Park? If so, it was discussed at the meeting for the park to be managed by the DCA, not privatised. Privatising the park would reap no reward for the community of Dampier, only to bare unwanted population growth, crime, and congestion of the town.</p> <p>Long Term Plan (10+) Now, I acknowledge that some of the proposed ideas are reliant on expansion of the town's needs. However, by the inclusion of these ideas, it is stating that the community are in support and every person I have spoken to thus far is not.</p> <p>Vision Plan – Master Plan Can I have clarification around the following statements: "Consider connectivity of some of the Crescent streets to facilitate improved permeability and legibility for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles." _ I know for a fact that this was strongly opposed at the meeting last July, by all those who attended. We do not wish to open crescents to vehicles, this is one of those unnecessary actions that will – without a doubt, ruin Dampier's charm and appeal. No resident will ever want this to occur - EVER "Providing opportunities for residential, tourism, recreation and entertainment development adjacent the waterfront." This point has been one most strongly opposed in conversations I have had with my community. The opportunity for more residential development, in no way this was ever agreed as a need or want from members of the community who attended the meeting. This is an attraction of living in Dampier and the major reason for choosing Dampier as home. A common local point of pride in the community is that the fact that all residential properties face North, this just emphasizes Dampier's appeal and charm, this original iron ore town has history and unique facts that this proposal would inevitably destroy I seek clarification on these points and would like time to be allotted to another community meeting to further discuss these points. As stated in the call for feedback sent out to the public, the plans are to be directed by the community as we, the residents of Dampier will be directly affected.</p>
Amy Privett	Email	29-Apr	ICR250482	<p>Hi City of Karratha and Jamie Armstrong</p> <p>I am writing to voice my concerns over the recent release of future plans for Dampier. I have lived in the Pilbara for 20 years and brought our home in Dampier September 2018 as our forever home to raise our young family. One of the biggest draw cards to purchasing in Dampier was the safe & secure community. We had seen children riding around towns towing trailers filled with boogie boards, fishing gear and whatever else they deemed they needed to spend their days down the beach with their mates or running around the playgrounds/sports ovals. We LOVE that our children have this freedom and we feel safe letting them go. The location of a new tourist park just astounds me. Right next to the school leaves myself and a lot of other locals feeling extremely uncomfortable; our children will be exposed to strangers and people who may not have our children's best interests at heart. There will be an increase in traffic flow past our school increasing the risk of accidents and injury. I understand Dampier is a great place to live and so many others would love the opportunity to live here but I do not support the expansion of residential living. We chose Dampier because of its small coastal town relaxed vibes and the fact we didn't think Dampier would ever get any bigger. Increasing the living capacity to Dampier leaves me feeling concerned for our property value as well. I question the new hotel build on the foreshore. There is plenty of accommodation available at the Dampier Mermaid Hotel which has been a part of our community for many many years as well as plenty of options in Karratha which as you will be aware is only a short 15-20 minute drive away. These funds would be far better spent on investing into revamping of the Mermaid or updating the current transit park in Dampier to allow for additional caravan bays/cabin's. My final comment is on the opening up of ends of some of the residential streets. I see no purpose here. We love our quiet safe cul-de-sac streets. Our children play on these streets with their friends; we as parents and community feel safe with this decision as most that drive on these roads are residents or friends/family visiting residents and know there may be children playing on the streets so know to drive slow and careful. I do support some changes in Dampier but I cannot support anything that will put the safety of my children and our community at risk. We purchased in Dampier for a reason and I would love for our little town to continue to provide these benefits. I feel it would be beneficial to call for another town meeting to discuss these plans; I personally knew nothing about the previous ones. Please consider the points I have made in my email and take into consideration the voices of Dampier.</p>
Kirby Kong	Email	29-Apr	ICR250489	<p>Dear City of Karratha,</p> <p>As a resident and home owner on Blackwood Crescent I have concerns that the two story proposed sporting complex at Windy Ridge will block the million dollar view we paid for. Not only the height, but the new position of the club rooms looks like it will impede the ocean view and view of Sams Island from our property. Our family is excited to see some new and improved facilities in Dampier, which is a town we are invested into as our forever home. One key item missing from the master plan and definitely tabled at the community workshops is the need for a pool and gym. It's easy to say that a pool is unnecessary given the towns proximity to the ocean, however due to the tides you cannot swim at the beach 24/7. We can only compare to Wickham who also have a yacht club and back beach, but who still have a great splash pad and swimming pool facility.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Kirby Kong</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Nick Bond	Email	29-Apr	ICR250491	<p>To whom it may concern. I ask that this emails confidentiality be respected as it is not intended to offend but some things need to be said. I am writing a response to the Dampier masterplan documents produced by Taylor Burrell Barnett. Firstly Well done to the City of Karratha for such a professional approach to deciding the future of our town. My name is Nick Bond. I'm the proud father of a 7 year old daughter. I have been a resident of Dampier since 2003 and the Pilbara since 1998. My family the Bonds, come from pastoralist roots, but have run hotels with accommodation and fuel and food roadhouses since 1983. We have owned 9 hotel motels and two busy roadhouses on our journey. From Ravensthorpe on the south coast of WA to Port Hedland. All of these smaller regional towns had one thing in common. (except Port Hedland) The majority of their residents were comfortable, narrow minded and resistant to change. I say this because I see the same attitudes in so many Dampier residents who I know and love.</p> <p>Our family came to Dampier when we saw opportunity in the very run down Mercure Inn Hotel in 2003. The population was about 1500 ppl not including the Rio owned Peninsula Palms camp that accommodated 4 to 700ppl. The Mercure was bleeding hundreds of thousands of dollars a year in losses. It was the bottom of the mining cycle, and all Dampier businesses were struggling. The population now is approximately 1100 ppl, 400 less than back then. Dampier businesses have been going through those same hardships from 2015 when Rio shut down Peninsula Palms to now. Back then we were told you couldn't build in Dampier because the WWTP was near its design limits. Nearly 20 years later and we are staring at the same problem. The old saying, "you have to spend money to make money comes to mind" In that the growth and development of Dampier and its gateway to the 42 island archipelago and the heritage listed Murujuga national park is being strangled by the population of the town.</p> <p>The low population inhibits growth of every kind. The town cannot support another bar/restaurant or café without an existing one closing its doors. Factors influencing this are: Dampier is a closed town with no highway traffic, although the Burrup sees thousands of workers a day I estimate less than 1% drive in to Dampier, they drive back to their homes in Karratha, Tourists who want to stay here have no where to park there vans or 4wds to camp for short to medium stays. But most importantly the residential/permanent population is not large enough to support emerging hospitality and tourism businesses, they cannot survive on the short 3 months of slightly higher trade. Now I know what your thinking, you've just read another email saying they don't like the cities ideas about the tourist park and they are worried about the safety of their kids. The fisho's don't want any more fisherman here, everyone who's not in business seems to be against promoting tourism. People are worried about the rubbish etc. All that was just as true for permanent Karratha residents, until they could see the benefits of the development flow through, and now Karratha is a sort after regional City to live. I've seen these attitudes before.</p> <p>More dwellings in Dampier are not going to significantly change the safety of the town or it's kids. Similarly the sense of community will still be here. I would argue Karratha residents are now proud to say they live in Karratha compared to 20 years ago. Conversely Dampier residents are proud now and rightly so but this makes them scared of change because it's a great community already. I think Dampier needs to aim for 3 to 4 thousand permanent residents. I say that because that would provide the base population for another bar, as well as a couple more restaurants, and a couple cafes to all survive. Thus creating the thriving beachfront atmosphere bustling all year round. That Kind of investment opens doors to tourism and accommodation opportunities and private investors to be envied up and down the west coast. It can be done while maintaining the sense of community and working hand in hand with our Mining industry which should be looked at as a drawcard.</p> <p>My feedback on the Dampier Masterplan 0-5 years: I like and see merit in every point except number 10. The WWTP must be relocated to enable the population growth required to foster new eateries in town.</p> <p>Dampier Masterplan 5-10 years: I like and see merit in all points. Point number 5, the car park to the edge of Nielsen place on the Mermaid hotel side already fills on busy nights and is privately owned so planning in this area should involve direct consultation with the owners to cooperate to achieve the mutually desired outcome. Point number 8 is so long overdue for Dampier it's not funny. One concern is that if this goes ahead with modern cabins before the WWTP is relocated and population increased, it will take more revenue from the Motel at the Mermaid. However if the population increased by 500 or more people the Mermaid would not only survive but thrive and be in a position to develop new buildings on it's privately owned land, think drive through bottle shop and breakfast café/restaurant in front of our beer garden overlooking Hampton harbour.</p> <p>Dampier Masterplan long term 10+ years: I like and see merit to all points. Now were talking. I especially like point number 8 the expansion off the tourist park, once again we come back to the WWTP needing to be relocated. Point number 1 appears to be on privately owned land the Mermaid Hotel so consultation with the owners would be appropriate, and if not developed leasing for long term use is a possibility. Point number 3 is what the current owners of the Mermaid Hotel would be interested in a JV as their land ends about one third across the proposed site for a possible short stay motel or best western plus style apartments.</p> <p>I look forward to further community engagement resulting in funding and a BIG plan for Dampier's future. I'm readily contactable almost anytime on 9183122 or 0429991475.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Nick Bond</p>
Linda Willoughby	Email	29-Apr	ICR250494	<p>To whom it May concern</p> <p>My name is Linda Willoughby and like many residents and property owners of Dampier I oppose many of the proposed changes to our small community. I have 5 young grandchildren who are very lucky to be growing up in a safe community and will all be attending Dampier Primary School.</p> <p>I do not understand why money was invested on allowing someone to feedback to the City of Karratha very different ideas that were discussed and proposed at the meeting.</p> <p>Being a teacher myself it is absurd to think a large tourist park could be located so close to a primary school. The opening of some cul-de-sacs would increase traffic flow and the streets themselves are not wide enough to allow traffic to flow safely.</p> <p>I agree with the upgrade of the shopping centre and sporting precinct which is much needed.</p> <p>I would like to see a community meeting to further clarify and discuss these proposed changes.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Sian Reither	Email	29-Apr	ICR250501	<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>As a home owner and resident of Dampier for over 4 years, I wish to voice my concerns (which are very similar to the majority of Dampier residents) in regards to the new Dampier Master plan that has been proposed. Firstly there are a number of great proposals on these forecasts,</p> <p>Short Term Plan (0-5 years) – these all sound like great ideas excluding the potential tourist park at point 9. Medium Term (5-10 years) – some of these ideas are good and others I am very opposed to. The plans I am in support of are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The upgrades to the boat ramp and parking area are good (1 & 2), however the addition of a fish filleting station would be very beneficial. This was raised at the meeting held last year and raised a lot of votes.• The upgrades to the shopping centre and roads are good (3, 4, 5, 6, 7), however I recommend keeping traffic away from the area between the skate park and Dampier Community Hub as this is used by children all the time i.e. Do not place an access road between the two. <p>The plans I am very much opposed to are: The size and location of the Tourist Park (8). The planned location of the tourist park is not in the best interest of Dampier residents. The planned location is on a sharp bend on a busy corner, the parks and beaches in Dampier are only small and struggle to accommodate Karratha and Dampier residents most days. There is no way our beaches can handle the number of tourists this tourist park is suggestive of accommodating. The increase in traffic past a school that is already struggling at peak times to assist kids to cross the road safely and around the beach and playground will be unsafe for our children who are regularly seen riding their bikes and walking around Dampier freely. The small size of our community enables them to do this, this is one of the reasons Dampier is a fantastic place to live. The other concern in the location of the tourist park is the proximity to the primary school. Locating a Tourist Park next to a Primary School is putting the students in jeopardy. How are we supposed to keep the children safe when there is no controlling who is coming and going from the school grounds and who can watch or photograph the children. Karratha has had several incidents at Rosemary Road caravan park with indecent dealings with children, if we can't keep kids safe from there, there is definitely no guarantee of their safety with a tourist park full of random people right next to the primary school. There will also be an increase in crime with more visitors and people in the town. This is already something unable to be controlled in Karratha, we don't need to add this to Dampier as well. A Tourist Park of this size will need to be owned and run by a Private organisation taking away from the current caravan park run by the DCA. From a Dampier residents point of view, a large Tourist Park such as this will only bring benefit to the organisation running it and at the peril of the town and all those living in it, as it will completely change the lifestyle and reasons we chose to live in Dampier to start with. I do believe an increase in size of the current caravan park would be beneficial however I do not believe the current proposal of size and location is the answer. There is a caravan park 10minutes down the road (Balmoral Discovery Park) that has been empty for over 12 months, why aren't we utilising this instead of building a new one. Long Term (10+ years) I am very much opposed to a lot of this proposal. This proposal suggests the plan is for Dampier to get a lot busier, with more traffic, visitors and residents. All of these are the opposite reason of why we and other Dampier residents I have spoken to, have bought property and chose to make Dampier our home. We chose to live in Dampier as opposed to Karratha as Dampier is small, quiet, has a great community and safe. There is already a hotel in Dampier, with some contribution from the government (maybe a RED grant similar to Northwest Brewing Company Pty Ltd) the Mermaid hotel can be revamped and make use of the lovely location it is in. There is a great opportunity here. Vision Plan The biggest issue with this proposal is consideration of connecting some of the Crescent Streets for vehicles. A lot of people chose to live (and paid a premium for it) at the end of the cul-de-sac for a reason. These cul-de-sacs keep our streets quiet and safe for our children to play in. This is one of the best things about living in Dampier. The crescents limit the traffic as there is no reason to drive down there unless you need to be there. Removing cul-de-sacs will increase traffic flow and put our children at risk. There is absolutely no need for this. This is why we live here. Every person in the community meeting held last year was opposed to this. In regards to the Urban development on the corner of Central Avenue and The Esplanade opposite Windy Ridge. Again, every one in the meeting was opposed to this proposal as well. The residents of Dampier all live here and paid premium prices for 40+ year old properties as we were of the belief that the Dampier population would never grow in size. This is why we chose to live here.</p> <p>In summary, after reading through this Dampier Master Plan, it appears the end goal for the City of Karratha is to turn Dampier into a town like Exmouth. This will not benefit anyone who lives or owns property in Dampier. Dampier has the best fishing we have ever experienced, in Exmouth you can't even pull a fish up without sharks, if you're lucky enough to catch a fish at all, thanks to the thousands of tourists flocking there every year. DPAW are currently considering restricting camping on the islands around Dampier, with all the extra tourists the City of Karratha are trying to bring to town the environment will not cope. In short, the City of Karratha would like to ruin our home and everything we love about it, the whole reason we chose to make Dampier our home, and the only people that will benefit from it are all those that do not live here.</p> <p>The Dampier Community request another community meeting to discuss the issues we have with the Dampier Master Plan.</p>
Ellen Grochowski	Email	29-Apr	ICR250502	<p>My name isEllen Grochowski and I am a proud homeowner in Dampier. A town that I chose to establish a home for my family, one small child and my partner. We chose Dampier for the obvious reasons; safe, small town, supportive community and quiet. A place that my child can grow up in safety, whilst knowing their community. I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments. Whilst there are concerns, there are also many developments that have my support. The Short term (0 -5) plan includes the Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct, upgrade to The Esplanade and Shark Cage. The Medium Term (5 – 10) mentions the continued support of the upgraded shopping precinct and the upgrade of the Dampier Lawn Bowling Club. Below I outline the proposed developments captured in the plans that do not align with my values and also Dampier's culture. I have spoken to numerous residents recently, and they too are strongly opposed.</p> <p>The Medium Term Plan Tourist Park: Whilst I am in support of a slightly larger tourist park in Dampier to supplement income and support business I am completely and utterly opposed to the proposal of a park of such enormity. The area that has been suggested on the map quite clearly shows the intended size. This size park would draw a population that would significantly increase Dampier's population, bringing with it congested beaches, streets and parks. This would change one of the most valuable qualities of Dampier, safety. The safety of our children and our lifestyle. The plan states, "Tourist Park development to utilise the primarily undeveloped land adjacent the marina. This location forms a logical area to accommodate a Tourist Park with options and associated facilities delivered in the medium-term." This statement is most untrue. Logical area? The area denoted is next to a primary school, on a 'hair pin' corner and opposite a considerably well used park and oval that already has issues in terms of vehicle access. Can you imagine the congestion adding unfamiliar tourists towing caravans. The following statement in the plan also causes concern: "As the key landholdings in the town centre are privately owned (in particular, the shopping centre site and Mermaid Hotel), the majority of future upgrades and development will be subject to private investment and priorities." Is this in relation to the proposed Tourist Park? If so, it was discussed at the meeting for the park to be managed by the DCA, not privatised. Privatising the park would reap no reward for the community of Dampier, only to bear unwanted population growth, crime and congestion of the town. I would also like clarity of the statement "Tourist Park to provide a variety of tourist accommodation choices" Would this include long term accommodation or fifo? This once again brings concerns of changing our town and the safe and small community that we have</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
				<p>created.</p> <p>Long Term Plan (10+)</p> <p>In understanding the plan, I acknowledge that some of the proposed ideas are reliant on expansion of the town's needs. However, by the inclusion of these ideas, it is meaning to say that us, as the community, are in support when we are not.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hotel/Motel • Expansion of the already large Tourist Park <p>Vision Plan – Master Plan</p> <p>In the Vision Plan – Master Plan there are a number of statements that draw cause for concern and require further explanation.</p> <p>"Consider connectivity of some of the Crescent streets to facilitate improved permeability and legibility for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles." This was strongly opposed at the meeting last July, by all those who attended. We do not wish to open crescents to vehicles, this is one of the appeals of the town.</p> <p>"Promoting the opportunity for development that supports the tourism sector, including options for a range of accommodation types within close proximity to the high amenity of the Town Centre and the coast." Confusion of why the need for close proximity of the Town Centre. Perhaps a Tourist Park would be better placed a little distance from the town centre, this would alleviate congestion and crowds in our small town centre focusing on better safety for our children and residents.</p> <p>"Providing opportunities for residential, tourism, recreation and entertainment development adjacent the waterfront." This point has been one most strongly opposed in conversations I have had with my community. The opportunity for more residential development, in no way this was ever agreed as a need or want from members of the community who attended the meeting. This is an attraction of living in Dampier and the major reason for choosing Dampier as home.</p> <p>Constraints Map</p> <p>There is also a need for clarification on the Constraints Map. It is stated:</p> <p>"Future Urban Development Precinct - highly constrained by topographical and servicing constraints. Subject to further investigations" Within the short, medium and long term plans there is no mention of this and it has provided some misunderstandings around the outlining and marking out of this area.</p> <p>I seek clarification on these points and also would like time to be allotted to another community meeting to further discuss these points. As stated in the call for feedback sent out to the public, the plans are to be directed by the community as we, the residents of Dampier will be directly affected.</p>
Shannon Bramley-Mould	Email	29-Apr	ICR250503	<p>Hello</p> <p>I am writing to express my concerns regarding the masterplan.</p> <p>The caravan park located directly next to Dampier Primary School doesn't sit well with me or many others within the community. Dampier is a close knit community that looks out for each other and having a number of visitors staying so close to the school is worrying as we want to keep our kids safe from possible pedofiles and increased traffic. Our Dampier kids are fortunate to be able to ride around our town safely most of the time. Other possible locations could be on the other side of Hampton Oval located at the beach or expanding and upgrading the current caravan park.</p> <p>The location of the club rooms at Windy Ridge Oval is also questionable. Would it be better located closer to the main road and looking out over the ocean?</p>
Nicole Bond	Email	30-Apr	ICR250504	<p>Hello There,</p> <p>As a long term resident of Dampier (10years) it's wonderful to see these plans in place and exciting to see our beautiful little town getting some wonderful upgrades that will highlight the natural beauty of the town.</p> <p>My major concern is the proposed caravan park location.</p> <p>Some of the genuine concerns & questions I hold are listed below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • located next to the primary school, subjecting the children to travellers, drifters and persons with unknown and possible undesirable / criminal backgrounds. • The town is small & remote which is one of the main reasons and features that make many of us enjoy living here, we do not want overpopulated beaches that make it harder for family outings with kids & dogs. We enjoy the community aspects of living in a non tourist town •What is the proposed maximum capacity of persons that can stay at the caravan park? •The caravan park location means that a bulk section of our towns foreshore could be flooded with drifters, travellers & possible undesirables making it harder for locals to enjoy and have access to the town, it's amazing facilities and the place they love and call home. •Covid is now a very real part of day to day life and I do not want to have our town open to bulk travellers & tourists that may well travelled through hot spots to get here. <p>In turn putting myself & families health at risk.</p> <p>I hope that you will take the above into account before you look at turning the town into a tourist hot spot... I know that I'm one of many that would look at moving should the town become a tourist hot spot.</p> <p>It is a beautiful small & safe community which is a major reason many of us live here, it would be a shame if that was taken from us!!</p> <p>Kind regards N. Bond</p>
Yasmin Branch	Email	30-Apr	ICR250506	<p>Good morning,</p> <p>I think the future development plans for Dampier look great except for the idea of the caravan park being newly developed right in the middle of town & being right next to the Dampier Primary School. My option is that there will be more trouble in town and it will also open up the opportunity for potential predators to have easier access to small children with the school being right next door.</p> <p>I think the current caravan park needs to be upgraded and left where it is with a small clientele.</p> <p>Thanks Yasmin Branch Dampier Resident</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Rob McCawley	Email	30-Apr	ICR250507	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>looking over the proposed re development of the town of Dampier raises a few issues and concerns with me. Obviously you are considering spending many millions of dollars turning the town into a modern day family zone, Already adding to the kiddies fun park which has already been built.</p> <p>*We have more than enough foreshore café's in place without the need to turn the soccer club pavilion into another..</p> <p>*Windy Ridge is called Windy ridge for a reason, proposed tennis courts in the exposed open area of the current boat/footy club car park is not the smartest idea, you don not see many or any tennis courts out in the open exposed to strong winds, there are many people and kids who already enjoy the sheltered tennis club.</p> <p>* Having a large proposed tourist park so close to the primary school surely is an issue of concern.. The current Tourist park is a great spot which could be expanded and improved, there is not many tourist parks that have their own beaches on the door step. Being a tourist park no doubt would only be operating on a part time basis.. surely that's not a viable expenditure for dollar return option. Jurak park could be developed into a shady BBQ quiet area for people away from the kids activity area.</p> <p>* Spend some of mega bucks allocated on the town re development on the on-going proposed Marina development instead. People come to Dampier for the access to the archipelago and what the ocean has to offer.....Finnish one thing before starting another..</p> <p>* The City does not have to take over Dampier and re-invent the wheel in the first year or so .. improve/maintain what we have for sure but more consideration needs to put into what you are proposing.. The tax payers live here for a reason.</p> <p>Regards Rob McCawley</p>
Hayley O'Connor	Email	30-Apr	ICR250509	<p>My name is Hayley O'Connor and I am a proud home owner in Dampier. A town that I chose to establish a home for my family, two young children and my husband. We chose Dampier for the obvious reasons; safe, small town, supportive community and quiet. A place that my children can grow up in safety, whilst knowing their community. I am a committee member of the dca and thrive on doing things for my community.</p> <p>I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments. My husband and I attended the meeting in July last year and contributed to the draft planning of the Dampier Master Plan, however, there are many issues that have been included in the plan that were strongly opposed by many of the attendees. I feel we were not listened to at all.</p> <p>Below I outline the proposed developments captured in the plans that do not align with my values and also Dampier's culture. I have spoken to numerous residents recently, and they too are strongly opposed.</p> <p>The Medium Term Plan</p> <p>Tourist Park:</p> <p>Whilst I am in support of a slightly larger tourists park in Dampier to supplement income and support business I am completely and utterly opposed at the proposal of a park of such enormity. The area that has been suggested on the map quite clearly shows the intended size. This size park would draw a population that would significantly increase Dampier's population, bringing with it congested beaches, streets and parks. This would change one of the most valuable qualities of Dampier, safety. The safety of our children and our lifestyle.</p> <p>The plan states, "Tourist Park development to utilise the primarily undeveloped land adjacent the marina. This location forms a logical area to accommodate a Tourist Park with options and associated facilities delivered in the medium-term."</p> <p>This statement is most untrue. Logical area? The area denoted is next to a primary school, on a 'hair pin' corner and opposite a considerably well used park and oval that already has issues in terms of vehicle access. Can you imagine the congestion adding unfamiliar tourists towing caravans.</p> <p>What most concerns me is the fact that the proposed area backs on to our Primary School. The safety of our children is a huge concern. The increased traffic but also that the park will draw transients, backpackers and the unknown. This is not a logical proposal. This is one that will invite such problems of certain caravan parks in Karratha, one that will put our children at risk. Developing a Tourist Park opposite a primary school and a park is inviting trouble.</p> <p>The following statement in the plan also causes concern: "As the key landholdings in the town centre are privately owned (in particular, the shopping centre site and Mermaid Hotel), the majority of future upgrades and development will be subject to private investment and priorities."</p> <p>Is this in relation to the proposed Tourist Park? If so, it was discussed at the meeting for the park to be managed by the DCA, not privatised. Privatising the park would reap no reward for the community of Dampier, only to bare unwanted population growth, crime and congestion of the town.</p> <p>I would also like clarity of the statement "Tourist Park to provide a variety of tourist accommodation choices" Would this include long term accommodation or fifo? This once again brings concerns of changing our town and the safe and small community that we have created.</p> <p>Long Term Plan (10+)</p> <p>In understanding the plan, I acknowledge that some of the proposed ideas are reliant on expansion of the town's needs. However, by the inclusion of these ideas, it is meaning to say that us, as the community are in support when we are not.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hotel/Motel • Expansion of the already large Tourist Park <p>Vision Plan – Master Plan</p> <p>In the Vision Plan – Master Plan there are a number of statements that draw cause for concern and require further explanation.</p> <p>"Consider connectivity of some of the Crescent streets to facilitate improved permeability and legibility for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles." This was strongly opposed at the meeting last July, by all those who attended. We do not wish to open crescents to vehicles, this is one of the appeals of the town.</p> <p>"Promoting the opportunity for development that supports the tourism sector, including options for a range of accommodation types within close proximity to the high amenity of the Town Centre and the coast." Confusion of why the need for close proximity of the Town Centre. Perhaps a Tourist Park would be better placed a little distance from the town centre, this would alleviate congestion and crowds in our small town centre focusing on better safety for our children and residents.</p> <p>"Providing opportunities for residential, tourism, recreation and entertainment development adjacent the waterfront." This point has been one most strongly opposed in conversations I have had with my community. The opportunity for more residential development, in no way this was ever agreed as a need or want from members of the community who attended the meeting. This is an attraction of living in Dampier and the major reason for choosing Dampier as home.</p> <p>Constraints Map</p> <p>There is also a need for clarification on the Constraints Map. It is stated:</p> <p>"Future Urban Development Precinct - highly constrained by topographical and servicing constraints. Subject to further investigations" Within the short, medium and long term plans there is no mention of this and it has provided some misunderstandings around the outlining and marking out of this area.</p> <p>I seek clarification on these points and also would like time to be allotted to another community meeting to further discuss these points. As stated in the call for feedback sent out to the public, the plans are to be directed by the community as we, the residents of Dampier will be directly affected.</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Aimee O'Neill	Email	30-Apr	ICR250512	<p>My name is Amie O'Neill and I'm currently in the process of buying a home in Dampier. One of the reasons we want to move to Dampier is to be in a quieter safer small down for our three children.</p> <p>I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments.</p> <p>Whilst there are concerns, there are also many developments that have my support. The Short term (0 -5) plan includes the Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct, upgrade to The Esplanade and Shark Cage. The Medium Term (5 – 10) mentions the continued support of the upgraded shopping precinct and the upgrade of the Dampier Lawn Bowling Club.</p> <p>Below I have outline the proposed developments in the plans that do not align with my values and also Dampier's culture.</p> <p>Medium term plan-</p> <p>Tourist Park:</p> <p>Whilst I am in support of a slightly larger tourist park in Dampier to supplement income and support business I am opposed at the proposal of a park being so large. This size park would draw a population that would significantly increase Dampier's population, bringing with it congested beaches, streets and parks. This would change one of the most valuable qualities of Dampier, safety. The safety of our children and our lifestyle.</p> <p>The plan states, "Tourist Park development to utilise the primarily undeveloped land adjacent the marina. This location forms a logical area to accommodate a Tourist Park with options and associated facilities delivered in the medium-term."</p> <p>This statement is most untrue. Logical area? The area denoted is next to a primary school, on a 'hair pin' corner and opposite a considerably well used park and oval that already has issues in terms of vehicle access. Can you imagine the congestion adding unfamiliar tourists towing caravans.</p> <p>I would also like clarity of the statement "Tourist Park to provide a variety of tourist accommodation choices" Would this include long term accommodation or fifo? This once again brings concerns of changing our town and the safe and small community that we have created.</p> <p>Long Term plan- In understanding the plan, I acknowledge that some of the proposed ideas are reliant on expansion of the town's needs. However, by the inclusion of these ideas, it is meaning to say that us, as the community are in support when we are not.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hotel/Motel • Expansion of the already large Tourist Park
Sheree Lannary	Email	30-Apr	ICE250516	<p>Hello</p> <p>Just a quick one to express my concern in the proposed caravan park. Whilst I did attend the community consultation, I do not agree with this proposal.</p> <p>I am also concerned of any intentions for the king bay games fishing club that is near the facility of waste water.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Sheree Lannary</p>
Esther Kunz	Email	30-Apr	ICR250517	<p>Hi,</p> <p>My family and I have been living in Dampier for a number of years and own and live in a property on Roe Crescent with our children. Our kids are/ will be attending Dampier primary school. We love Dampier for its safe and relaxed environment which allows the kids to play in the street and the drains partially unsupervised, gain independence and ride/ walk to and from school independantly. The small community feel, knowing your neighbours, the cul du sac streets allow our children to gain confidence and explore their surroundings. An opportunity many towns and cities are no longer able to provide. On the basis of this my feedback is as follows:</p> <p>Short Term (0-5 years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A lot of families enjoy walking along the foreshore with dogs, prams etc. I therefore support the plan to improve the foreshore boardwalk which would help connect public spaces. - The exisiting tennis and squash courts are situated under established trees which provide a lot of morning/ afternoon shade. They are also in close proximity to the school which is beneficial for any in or after school acitivities. I don't understand the reason to relocate these to windy ridge oval. What is the benefit of having all these facilities consolidated in one area? - The parking area around the public boat ramp allows the large number of boat owners to leave their vehicles. Taking this parking space away could cause problems on very favourable boating days. Furthermore, urbanising the parking space which would include concreting the area I presume will contribute to drainage/ water management issues as well as add to heat retention in an already hot environment. With global warming being a pressing issue I believe the city should steer away from creating further "heat islands". - I support the ideas around the Hampton Park Pavillion. <p>Medium Term (5-10 years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dampier town centre - I support the ideas for the Dampier town centre and making it more functional and attractive in response to its surrounding. - Tourist Park - I am strongly OPPOSED to the location of the proposed tourist park next to the Dampier Primary school. <p>It will 1. increase traffic in the area where children independently walk/ ride/ scooter to school and it will make the road crossing dangerous with approaching caravans, cars, blocking the vision.</p> <p>It will 2. create opportunity for out-of-towners to take advantage, potentially abuse, molest innocent children. Especially in the early years of primary school, children are too young to determine who can and cannot be trusted. Not only on the way to and from school would they be in danger but also during breaks on the school ground which would be directly adjacent to the caravan park where predators could groom/ persuade them without the knowledge of teachers.</p> <p>It will 3. also remove public green space from the community which currently allows children to explore a shaded area.</p> <p>I am honestly surprised and dissapointed that the City of Karratha, which prides itself in being a family friendly city, is even considering this to be the location of a caravan park. As much as I can see that Dampier is the citys tourism draw card, Dampier is still primarily a town where people chose to live and work, whereas a caravan park will be of interest to genuine tourists only a for the cooler months of the year. Also, there are multiple caravan parks in Karratha. I don't see the need to create such a large caravan park.</p> <p>Long Term (10 Years)</p> <p>Finally I support the plan to connect pedestrian footpaths between town centre and foreshore but believe this should also include a connection to Hampton Park/ playground. We often ride down to the playground there, but the footpath ends just after the tennis club and we then have to cross the road onto the hampton oval carpark which is quite dangerous as is is near where the road bends and its hard to see oncoming traffic. There is also no option to cross the road earlier on as there is no footpath alongside the bowls club.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Patrick Sergio	Email	30-Apr	ICR250519	<p>Hi</p> <p>Whatever the plan is it's important that business interest of a few people do not detract on the lifestyle of the locals.</p> <p>If the plan is to attract people to the town like tourists etc, a few businesses and the City will gain, and all the locals end up with is more traffic, pollution, noise, queues and overcrowding at shops, cafe, restaurant, pup and beaches.</p> <p>The current absence of the above at present is what makes Dampier a unique, quiet and beautiful place to live.</p> <p>The influx of tourists in cities overseas have made the lives of locals a living hell.</p> <p>Also if you beautify a section of the foreshore with concrete and rails etc that you love so much; and the local access to that area is blocked, tourists get something, the local loose something they always have had.</p> <p>Do not stuff it up for the sake of a few \$. Our current lifestyle is not up for sale.</p>
Rosaline Shiner	Email	30-Apr	ICR250520	<p>My name is Rosalind Shiner and I am a proud home owner in Dampier. My family and I chose Dampier for the obvious reasons; safe, small town, supportive community and quiet. A place that my children can grow up in safety, whilst knowing their community.</p> <p>I have reviewed the draft Dampier Master Plan composed by Taylor Burrell Barnett and am very concerned about some of the proposed developments.</p> <p>Whilst there are concerns, there are also many developments that have my support. The Short term (0 -5) plan includes the Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct, upgrade to The Esplanade and Shark Cage. The Medium Term (5 – 10) mentions the continued support of the upgraded shopping precinct and the upgrade of the Dampier Lawn Bowling Club.</p> <p>I would like time to be allotted to another community meeting to further discuss the draft Dampier Master Plan proposal. Can you please advise if a community meeting will be held?</p> <p>As stated in the call for feedback sent out to the public, the plans are to be directed by the community as we, the residents of Dampier will be directly affected.</p>
Louise McCrudden	Email	30-Apr	ICR250522	<p>We have been property owners and proud residents of Dampier for 17 years. We are business owners, and active Community members. We, like many other Dampier residents, purchased our house in Dampier to create a home for a family, a home in a safe, quiet, community driven town. We have suffered and struggled through the crash to our house prices and lull in our business but we are still here because this is where we have chosen to raise our family in a small quiet town. This proposal will not only reduce our house prices.....again! But ruin our safe quiet town.</p> <p>We agree whole heartedly with my friend who has written the below response to the plan. We are especially against the proposed location and size of the tourist park and the opening of more residential blocks, particularly the opening of our quiet cul de sacs.</p> <p>As an employee and parent of Dampier Primary School I am astonished by the idea of having a tourist park in close proximity of the school.</p> <p>We have already proven the need for an official pedestrian crossing and crossing guard for our children's safety, this plan will increase the traffic danger to our children immensely. Even more importantly we will no longer feel safe to have our children ride home from school, or to the park with the proposed number of strangers roaming through our beautiful town.</p> <p>Karratha has many tourist parks to facilitate these people who can then take the short drive to Dampier to appreciate the untouched beauty!</p> <p>Regards Louise McCrudden & Peter Bywaters</p> <p>From Hayley Brown</p> <p>I have taken the time to review the future of Dampier plans and discussed these at length with a number of fellow Dampier residents, and I must say I am not in support of a significant number of proposed changes. Whilst I appreciate two community workshops were held in 2020, it does not appear that the feedback provided from the community during these sessions were taken on board. I would like to start by highlighting the proposed ideas that I think do actually support the growth and development and enrichment of our community: 1) The Windy Ridge Consolidated Sporting Precinct; 2) Improvement of the boat launch manoeuvring area; 3) Upgrade to our existing shopping centre. The following proposed ideas captured in the 5 – 10 year plan and 10+ year concern are not initiatives that align to the culture and values of Dampier and the reason we reside here in the first place: 1) Implementation of a Tourist Park The size and location of this park is absolutely astonishing. As a resident of Dampier with 3 young children in Dampier Primary School, I feel incredibly uncomfortable at the thought of the volume increase of tourists that would enter the area, which is being proposed directly next to the Dampier Primary School. When you look outside the window now, you see young kids riding bikes, playing with their friends, walking or bike riding to the beach with their fishing rods on their backs or footballs in their bags. There is no way families will feel the level of comfort and safety that they do now to allow our children to do that with the significant increase of strangers and traffic. I can guarantee you I won't, which completely takes away the charm of raising young children here in the first place. Yes, Dampier is a tourist attraction, however improving and increasing the current caravan park by a maximum of 100 bays would surely suffice. There are enough caravan parks in Karratha, which is the City Centre only 15km away, to support tourist accommodation with no need to change the culture and safety of our community. 2) The opening of street ends and removal of cul de sacs Again, one of the attractions to residing in our beautiful Dampier streets are the cul de sacs. This results in reduced traffic to support our kids safety and also provides safe areas for boats, trailers and caravans to turn around. Our streets were not designed for heavy traffic flow. Whilst they block off access points in one way, they keep our community connected in another. They allow our streets to feel safe and they support our lifestyle choices. 3) Hotel/Motel Build I would be proposing that the Mermaid is revamped to improve the hotel/motel accommodation offerings in Dampier. Karratha City has a number of hotel options available for tourists that is again only 15km away. With the above proposed expansion of the current Caravan Park to 100 additional bays, the option of cabins on site could be another alternative as opposed to building a new hotel/motel. As a community, we are not wanting to increase the tourist pool residing in the town significantly impacting the safety and culture that is so unique and cherished. We are not a holiday town, we are a community build of the foundation of the mining industry filled with hard work and dedicated Pilbara residents. In reference to the school expansion – the only reason to increase the size of the school would be to accommodate more residents. Again, another significant attraction to purchasing in Dampier is that there will not be an opportunity to purchase more land or build more residential properties. This would impact not only the small-town feel, but the value of housing for residents in the area. Whilst I completely support an upgrade and improvement of the Dampier Primary School to support its current and future students, I do not support the growth of the school if this means the increase of residential properties in the area. The following Dampier Community residents concur with my concerns above and would like to co-sign this letter for review and consideration:</p> <p>Louise McCrudden</p> <p>Peter Bywaters I appreciate you taking the time to review our feedback and we look forward to your response. Regards, Hayley 0447 494 912 Hayley_brown12@outlook.com 6 Hardey Cres, Dampier WA 6713</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Kylie Hulkes	Letter/Emailed	30-Apr	ICR250524	<p>I have been a resident of Dampier for 5 years and resided in the City of Karratha for over 11 years. In 2018 my husband and I purchased and moved into our own home in Dampier where we currently live with our 2 young children.</p> <p>I attended one of the community consultation workshops in Dampier and heard about how the City of Karratha aims to develop a community driven plan. I was very disappointed to read the Dampier Master plan draft. Some significant things listed that were universally opposed at the meeting I attended have been included in the Master Plan Vision. It is not a community centred plan in its current form.</p> <p>Some comments I have are in relation to the following:</p> <p>"Consider connectivity of some of the Crescent streets to facilitate improved permeability and legibility for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles"</p> <p>Why is this in the plan? This was raised at the community workshop by the facilitators and all feedback that was discussed strongly opposed connecting any of the crescent streets. It is important to me that the streets remain quiet, with slightly informal tracks at the end where children run and ride down dirt tracks. That is a key part of the charm of living in Dampier and it reduces the traffic on our streets outside of residents and visitors of residents. I very strongly oppose connecting any of the crescent streets. I believe the masterplan should go further than simply removing this statement and should specify the need to retain the crescent streets in their current form. Perhaps a town planner from a city might look at this and think that it is not ideal, but the small crescent streets are what make Dampier what it is. They support quiet streets with low traffic levels and low crime levels, where children play safely out the front of homes like a time gone by. We all know which cars are driving down our streets and those we don't recognise. Dampier is the ultimate example of a town with a strong community where we all know our neighbours and chat on the crescent streets in the evenings. It is what other towns aspire to be. Don't connect the streets.</p> <p>On the master plan constraints map the area East of Church Road is identified as a "Future Urban Development Precinct - highly constrained by topographical and servicing constraints. Subject to further investigations".</p> <p>I strongly oppose the opening up of more residential land in this or any location outside the town centre. Dampier is loved for its open spaces and small community. Keep development to the centre of town only.</p> <p>"8. Opportunity for new Tourist Park to be accommodated to encourage tourism and investment in the town. Capitalising on the proximity to the amenity and facilities of the town centre and the ocean. Tourist Park to provide a variety of tourist accommodation choices."</p> <p>I am the mother of 2 children aged 2 years and 5 years, 1 attends Dampier Primary School now (the other will in the future) and we use the Dampier foreshore area on an almost daily basis. I am very concerned about how close this development is to the primary school and to the park area. One concern is that an increase in the number of people directly near the school and park and having people staying so close to unsupervised children could lead to opportunistic crimes against children, including but not limited to child sexual assault. I note that child sexual assault has been reported at least twice in the past few years at a nearby Karratha Caravan Park (links provided below) and that the proposed caravan park is closer to the west end of the Dampier Primary Playground than the school itself is. This is a very serious issue that must be addressed.</p> <p>Teenager charged with sexually assaulting girl at Karratha caravan park PerthNow May 16 2018</p> <p>Man charged with indecent dealing of a girl after offering 'free swimming lessons' at caravan park (theage.com.au) Sept 27 2020</p> <p>The traffic on Church Road is already an issue for my young family. My 5 year old needs to cross this road at least twice daily on his way to and from school. My 2 year old and I cross this road at least 4 day for school drop offs and pick ups (and often more for other activities) even though we live over 1km from the crossing. The almost 90 degree corner on Church Road going past Dampier palms is also poorly accessible by footpath (I note that there is no update to this visible on the masterplan either). Adding a tourist park will increase traffic. Many will be towing campers or caravans, most will not be used to the school opening and closing times or the significant proportion of children who travel to school on foot or bike in Dampier.</p> <p>10 year plus plan</p> <p>8. Potential Tourist Park expansion subject to the relocation WWTP and as such removal of the odour buffer.</p> <p>As above, I oppose the expansion of the Tourist Park.</p> <p>5-10 years plan</p> <p>"7. Explore opportunities and options to provide additional access from high street to Nielson Street within the town shopping centre precinct."</p> <p>I use this area on a regular basis with my young children, as do many other young families. Keeping these streets quiet and pedestrian friendly is very important to me. The shopping centre does need a bit of a facelift, but I question the idea of putting additional access high street to Nielson street as it will make it more difficult for me to access this space with young children. It would create a make it harder for young children crossing from the library/daycare/playgroup facilities in the Dampier Community Hub to the park and skatepark area.</p> <p>Additional feedback</p> <p>At the community consultation session, many people advocated for a community swimming pool in Dampier. I am disappointed that with so much other significant investment proposed for Dampier, a swimming pool has been omitted. There are extreme climatic conditions in Dampier for significant portions of the year, and Roebourne, Wickham and Karratha all have swimming pool facilities available. I don't understand why this can't be achieved in Dampier.</p> <p>In summary</p> <p>I think the key part in this plan that is missed is that people who live in Dampier like it how Dampier is. I don't want to change significant aspects of the town. Sure I'd love a new surface on the 2 unusable tennis courts, a carpark that actually has tarmac left on it on at our local shopping centre. Upgrading and tweaking the current facilities would be great. Building a swimming pool is almost universally popular. I'd use this regularly. Build a swimming pool if you have extra money to spend. Some people might say that a bigger population and more travellers will lead to growth, with more shopping opportunities for residents like me. Do you think I moved to Dampier for the shopping opportunities?</p> <p>I await opportunities for further community consultation.</p>
Michael David	Email	30-Apr	ICR250527	<p>Good Afternoon</p> <p>My name is Michael Davis I have been a resident of Dampier since 1969 I oppose a few of the ideas you have for this town.</p> <p>Michael Davis</p>
Gillian Davis	Email	30-Apr	ICR250528	<p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>My name is Gillian Davis I have been a resident of Dampier since 1982.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the plans you have for Dampier.</p> <p>While I agree with what you have done with the foreshore and play equipment for the Children, I DO NOT see a need for a larger tourist park, the moving of the squash & tennis courts .</p> <p>I Don't know why you see a need to open the cul de sacs on certain streets the beauty of the cul de sacs is less traffic driving through and I believe will be a " Hoon Magnet" and then the safe areas for children to ride there bikes and enjoy being outside will be gone please this does not need to happen.</p> <p>PLEASE LEAVE DAMPIER as Is we do not need a mini Karratha This is why I choose to live here.</p> <p>Gillian Davis</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
Bianca Cooke	Email	17-May	ICR251618	<p>Hello, I attended your Dampier community session on Wednesday 12th however was unable to provide my feedback given the large waittimes. I was unable to attend the Sunday session. I hope it is on too late to provide feedback, please see below:</p> <p>Short Term 0 – 5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I believe the short term priority should include upgrades to the public boat ramp access including restroom access. Also footpath improvements, including linking up the footpaths on Church/Esplanade rds/Haig Cl after the foreshore upgrades, this is still incomplete. Installing footpaths on the current connecting roads around town (e.g. Dawson and Namatjira Rd) & also footpath link between the Lions park and Red Dog Statue. Rather than the focus on the sporting precinct. - Item 3 – Plan not clear how many tennis courts would be available. Club currently has only 2 courts which in not sufficient for the clubs current needs. In order to be able to grow the club and support competitions (both junior and senior) in Dampier, 4 courts would be required. - Item 7 – Don't believe it is necessary to spend money making this a formal carpark, works fine as is. <p>Mid 5 – 10</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Item 7 - Not in favour of additional vehicle access from high street to the shopping area. A reduction in car movements around the skatepark/playground area would be better, rather than an increase. Supportive of full pedestrian connectivity just not vehicle traffic. - Item 8 - Tourist Park: Supportive of a tourist park in town to increase tourism however proposed size of tourist park, location next to the school, increased traffic down Church road past the school and in the foreshore area, are a concern. The proposed size would increase traffic movements into town substantially, it is concerning. <p>Long 10+ & Vision</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Item 7 - Not supportive of the potential pedestrian connectivity between Church rd and town centre. This runs right through the middle of the school. Safety concerns especially if large tourist park goes ahead. - Opening up roads - I would be supportive of opening up vehicle access to closed streets around the town centre ONLY to improve access and take pressure off Church/high rd. However I see no benefit for all roads in the rest of Dampier being opened up. The closed roads is one of the main reasons we purchased a house in Dampier, much safer for kids. - Opening up pedestrian access - Don't waste resources opening up pedestrian/cycle access, it works fine now. The self-made dirt tracks are what make Dampier for a lot of kids. However as commented above footpath links on the through roads (e.g. Dawson and Namatjira Rd) is a priority
Anonymous	Email/Drop in at Dampier Comm Hub	19-May	ICR251988	<p>I've just had a concerned elderly Dampier resident pop in for a chat. He wanted to let us know that he was against opening up the cul-de-sac to through roads as Dampier is a safe town for the children. We did, unsuccessfully, encourage him to contact the City directly!</p>
WEDNESDAY 12 MAY - EVENING DROP IN SESSION				
1	Drop in	12-May		Supportive of the Sports Club Precinct - need to work on the parking and ensure the community is involved in the design/management. Happy with the town centre and foreshore upgrades. Happy with Hampton Oval, concerned with parking. Does not like the compound next to the HHBSC and the beach, should be for all. Oppose the Tourist Park in that location, transient population/deviants. Jurat Park should stay for all. Should not be next to the school, traffic and deviants. Likes the current Caravan Park location. No development between the shops and the shark cage. Does not want high density as it becomes less safe. Leave the culdersacs. Don't spoil the uniqueness of Dampier, we do not want to be Exmouth.
2	Drop in	12-May		Happy with the sporting precenct and boardwalk. Would like fish filleting station and a jetty. Not supportive of Tourist Park, prefer larger Caravan Park at it's existing site. Does not want culdersacs opened. No more residential development because of safety concerns and we paid a lot for our properties. We do not want to be Exmouth. Okay with a 50 bay tourist park on part of Hampton Oval as an alternative. Would be ok to relocate the BMX track. Need to address parking around Hampton Oval
3	Drop in	12-May		Like sporting precinct. Ok with town centre development. Concerned with any proposal to open culdersacs to vehicles. Concerned that the Tourist Park is too big. One third of the town centre is Tourist park. Wont get used all year round. Concerend about non tourist use of park. Too close to school, interaction with kids. Would be happy with Hampton Oval being used as a Tourist Park, 100 bays. Happy to move the bmx track.
4	Drop in	12-May		Sewerage farm, no caravan park with a sewer farm nearby. History of Jurat Park, upgraded and not made into anything, perhaps continue into foreshore. Tennis courts upgrade and squash together - no changing, keep it where it is. Tidy up area rather than move. Parking in front of Soak, and near Hampton. Parking availability, no necessarily lines, general parking areas/clearing. Shark cage- natural salt water pool. Pavilion at windy ride a good idea. Northwest friendly, practical ideas. The normalisation is postivie, providing the shire do the right thing by town. Pressure on exisiting businesses to tidy up their own areas. Enhance existing caravan park rather than making a new one. Visitors Centre over near the old caravan park.
5	Drop in	12-May		Caravan Park situation- too close to the school- would it be a residential- expand current caravan park. Instead of building accommodation in front of shops, community would benifit from facilities like spash pad a gym. Does love the idea of the upgrade and moving of facilities for sporting area. Likes a concept of a double storey sporting pavillion. Sewarge Plant needs to be moved or made bigger.
6	Drop in	12-May		Placing of caravan park, next to school, means we loose Jurat Park and histroy. Expansion of current caravan park rather than a new one. Giving priority to community rather than tourists. Concerned that some sporting groups will be left out. Logistice of it all, parking included. Having all sports on one oval at one time, could be too small.
7	Drop in	12-May		All for footpath activation within streets, not vehicle. Lights on main streets, not within residential areas.
8	Drop in	12-May		Mintenance in gullys, mozzies are bad, especially after rain. The weed, Caltrop is everywhere, who maintains this? Sand movement on foreshore, keep adding sand and messes with the natural travel of water and damaging the area further. Library and community hall is well loved.
9	Drop in	12-May		Sporting precinct is great, but must include all spoting groups a chance to utlise and fairly. Location of caravan park is wrong, no issue with a caravan park, just location. Max 100 bays. Contingencies, no matter where located on caravan park, must be kept in place so that it isnt turned into a FIFO camp or similar. Shopping centre, about time though no further development to block any views. Gully maintenance? No opening of culdesacs, only through footpaths. Address the basics before, such as cleaning up gullys etc. Sewerage
10	Drop in	12-May		Sporting precinct, idea well liked, shared by all and fair, claubs can use canteen. Be available to all clubs, regardless of sustainability. Making sure funds are still available for all clubs. If tennis is there, ensuring engineering is correct with wind and elements. Increased parking is a good idea. Access other areas for Caravan park, not as big as envision plan, too dominating. 100 bay max. Jurat Park does need something but not a caravan park. No road connections within culdesacs, happy for footpaths for bikes and foot traffic, though no roads or vehicles through.
11	Drop in	12-May		Sporting Preinct is good, club rooms on opposite side of oval. Community consultation with design. More street lights, both main and residential. More parking near windy ridge. Ok with small caravan park, managed by DCA, though location at Jurat is not right, too close to school. Traffic and who is staying there are main issues. Contingency of road at the moment when big events are on, roads cant cope as it is. Building in front of shops, whatever it might be, single storey so not blocking any views. Court facilities at school need to be upgraded. Culdesacs not to be opened apart from footpaths, not open to vehicles.
12	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to see POS added to corner of Hill road and West Ave down southern end of Dampier • In favour of a caravan park just not a 'big' park, prefer a different location, concern close proximity to foreshore will create large demand on facilities eg) BBQs and shades etc. Would BMX track location be suitable? • Pedestrian access through some cul-de-sacs is reasonable, allows for easy walking/riding of bikes, just not vehicle access. • Consideration when designing Windy Ridge facilities for views from nearby housing
13	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern of new sporting complex building blocking views to residential houses along Blackwood crescent, orientation and height of building to be consider so not to block views from nearby residents. • Is the new building at WR required? Does the existing building 100% not cater to the needs of users or could it be retained and funds spent elsewhere? • Dissapointed to not see swimming pool included in the Masterplan after it was heavily supported at the community workshops held in 2020 - note comparison to Wickham which has a swimming pool, splash pad and is closely located to the beach. • Noting the word 'potential' next to jetty however it is not drawn on the masterplan, this was heavily supported by residents at the workshop as a strong want but is not drawn on the plans.
14	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Innacurate and outdated locations of heritage significant spots on plans • Caravan park will change the entire feel and nature of Dampier townsite. Dampier is a safe and friendly place to live by introducing new persons increases the risk of crime and unsocialable behaviour. • Moving the tennis and squash purely to cater for tourist park. • Concerns for DCA funding if not managing the TP. • Everything in the plan is for commercial benefit, not for local community benefit
15	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would love to see formalised pedestrian/cycle tracks through gulleys, very against vehicle connectivity. • Concern on parking levels at Windy Ridge, does not appear to cater if all sports are located on the same location. Currently does not appear to cater for peak boating & sporting occassions as is. • Investigations into retaining and maintaining beach along foreshore - protecting the integrity - impact from boating causway - needs to be investigated. • Understanding of relationship between tourism and businesses however concerns around permanancy of visitors, how long can they stay, ensuring it is not used as cheap accommodation for workforce, this changes the demographic of people looking to reside there.
16	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will this affect the rates for local residents? • Not happy with location of caravan park • Do not see the need for tennis or squash courts to move.

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
17	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sad to see Jurat park knocked down • Happy to see footpath connection at the end of dead ends, just not vehicle connection • Why is there no development at the old hospital location • What could the land opposite the current caravan park be used for?
18	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not supportive of tourist park location or size - does it need to be so big. • Concerns around people frequenting the TP. • TP does not appear to be for cimmunity benefit, only commercial. • TP location down at BMX track would be more suitable? somewhere out of town slightly. • Traffic concerns along current spot where TP is currently identified. • Traffic is already difficult in the primary school area • General foreshore upgrade is great • Upgrade of seafarers building to allow for indoor dining would be great, somewhere else for families to go other than mermaid. A community restaraunt - eg) Wickham relationship example Rambla & rec precinct all in one spot. • Would like to see more parking at Windy Ridge for boat users than what is in the masterplan. • Supportive of pedestrian connectivity but definitely not vehicle connection. Would not like to see connection through every sul-de-sac. • Why is there no planning for the old hospital site.
19	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal evidence of public workshop findings evident in current Masterplan, things that were blatantly opposed have been included. • Caravan park location is innapropriate - in between primary school and public park • Congested location - currently already a busy location as is. • Safety of pedestrians using footpaths. • Current infrastructure, roads, sewage, parks, pathways, cycleways is suffice • We didn't decide to move here because it was a tourist town, we moved here because it was a safe, small town, kids could roam the streets. We'd like to know who decided it should be a tourist town? • Adding tourist/transient residents to town impacts our safe society currently enjoyed by all. • Comes across as a commercial plan not a community plan - residents are not being heard. • Communication of the Masterplan needs to go to every resident not just via facebook - should have been a letter box drop • size is too big - 100 bays would be sufficient - • Restrictions on caravan park to be - no fifo, no residential, no long term, seasonal only. 2 week max would be understandable. short stay, 1 month max. • Horse stables would be a better location, aquire the land - there is time in the 5 - 10 plan. • Pedestrian access between cul-de-sacs would be understandable, do no support vehicle access at all. Formalised walkways would be a positive but not in every cul-de-sac. • No more residential blocks to be opened. • Almalgamating sporting facilities is not viable in terms of traffic and vehicle parking. leave tennis courts and squash courts where they are.
20	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal evidence of public workshop findings evident in current Masterplan, things that were blatantly opposed have been included. • Caravan park location is innapropriate - in between primary school and public park • Congested location - currently already a busy location as is. • Safety of pedestrians using footpaths. • Current infrastructure, roads, sewage, parks, pathways, cycleways is suffice • We didn't decide to move here because it was a tourist town, we moved here because it was a safe, small town, kids could roam the streets. We'd like to know who decided it should be a tourist town? • Adding tourist/transient residents to town impacts our safe society currently enjoyed by all. • Comes across as a commercial plan not a community plan - residents are not being heard. • Communication of the Masterplan needs to go to every resident not just via facebook - should have been a letter box drop • size is too big - 100 bays would be sufficient - • Restrictions on caravan park to be - no fifo, no residential, no long term, seasonal only. 2 week max would be understandable. short stay, 1 month max. • Horse stables would be a better location, aquire the land - there is time in the 5 - 10 plan. • Pedestrian access between cul-de-sacs would be understandable, do no support vehicle access at all. Formalised walkways would be a positive but not in every cul-de-sac. • No more residential blocks to be opened. • Almalgamating sporting facilities is not viable in terms of traffic and vehicle parking. leave tennis courts and squash courts where they are.
21	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal evidence of public workshop findings evident in current Masterplan, things that were blatantly opposed have been included. • Caravan park location is innapropriate in general, the current identified location will impact on access to infrastructure for Karratha and Dampier residents as is. • Dampier should be reserved for Karratha and Dampier residents to enjoy, not tourists. • Future enhanced facilities should be there for utilisation by Karratha and Dampier residents, not tourists/transient population. the current level of infrastructure does not cater for the full utilisation by karratha and dampier residents let alone additional people through a tourist park. • Potential to enhance the liveability of KTA and Dampier with upgraded facilities, upgrade existing to cater for those who live here • Almost doubling the population of Dampier with the caravan park will deteriate the quality of life (socialness and safety) of residents. • Additional traffic will have a negative impact on the neighbourhood • Safety concerns of pedestrians using footpaths. • We didn't decide to move here because it was a tourist town, we moved here because it was a safe, small town, kids could roam the streets. We'd like to know who decided it should be a tourist town? • Adding tourist/transient residents to town impacts our safe society currently enjoyed by all. • Comes across as a commercial plan not a community plan - residents are not being heard. • Concerns clubs will not be able to afford new sporting facilities - they already struggle with expenditure • Communication of the Masterplan needs to go to every resident not just via facebook - should have been a letter box drop • Re-focus from the City in planning to enhance the liveability for Karratha and Dampier residents through upgrading existing infrastructure to cater for those that are here as currentl levels are not supported. • Pedestrian access between cul-de-sacs would be understandable, do no support vehicle access at all. Formalised walkways would be a positive but not in every cul-de-sac. • Dampier's strength is the absence of public housing and the absence of the social problems that are associated with public housing. Do not support any further residential development if it was to include dedicated public housing.

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
22	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal evidence of public workshop findings evident in current Masterplan, things that were blatantly opposed have been included. Caravan park location is innapropriate in general, the current identified location will impact on access to infrastructure for Karratha and Dampier residents as is. Dampier should be reserved for Karratha and Dampier residents to enjoy, not tourists. Future enhanced facilities should be there for utilisation by Karratha and Dampier residents, not tourists/transient population. the current level of infrastructure does not cater for the full utilisation by karratha and dampier residents let alone additional people through a tourist park. Potential to enhance the liveability of KTA and Dampier with upgraded facilities, upgrade existing to cater for those who live here Almost doubling the population of Dampier with the caravan park will deteriate the quality of life (socialness and safety) of residents. Additional traffic will have a negative impact on the neighbourhood Safety concerns of pedestrians using footpaths. We didn't decide to move here because it was a tourist town, we moved here because it was a safe, small town, kids could roam the streets. We'd like to know who decided it should be a tourist town? Adding tourist/transient residents to town impacts our safe society currently enjoyed by all. Comes across as a commercial plan not a community plan - residents are not being heard. Concerns clubs will not be able to afford new sporting facilities - they already struggle with expenditure Communication of the Masterplan needs to go to every resident not just via facebook - should have been a letter box drop Re-focus from the City in planning to enhance the liveability for Karratha and Dampier residents through upgrading existing infrastructure to cater for those that are here as currentl levels are not supported. Pedestrian access between cul-de-sacs would be understandable, do no support vehicle access at all. Formalised walkways would be a positive but not in every cul-de-sac. Dampier's strength is the absence of public housing and the absence of the social problems that are associated with public housing. Do not support any further residential development if it was to include dedicated public housing.
23	Drop in	12-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal evidence of public workshop findings evident in current Masterplan, things that were blatantly opposed have been included. Caravan park location is innapropriate - in between primary school and public park Congested location - currently already a busy location as is. Safety of pedestrians using footpaths. Current infrastructure, roads, sewage, parks, pathways, cycleways is suffice We didn't decide to move here because it was a tourist town, we moved here because it was a safe, small town, kids could roam the streets. We'd like to know who decided it should be a tourist town? Adding tourist/transient residents to town impacts our safe society currently enjoyed by all. Comes across as a commercial plan not a community plan - residents are not being heard. Communication of the Masterplan needs to go to every resident not just via facebook - should have been a letter box drop size is too big - 100 bays would be sufficient - Restrictions on caravan park to be - no fifo, no residential, no long term, seasonal only. 2 week max would be understandable. short stay, 1 month max. Horse stables would be a better location, aquire the land - there is time in the 5 - 10 plan. Pedestrian access between cul-de-sacs would be understandable, do no support vehicle access at all. Formalised walkways would be a positive but not in every cul-de-sac. No more residential blocks to be opened. Almalgamating sporting facilities is not viable in terms of traffic and vehicle parking. leave tennis courts and squash courts where they are.
24	Drop in	12-May		Likes proposed ideas for the foreshore. Opposed to the caravan park being adjacent to the primary school. Concerns re people using park and proximity. Query why it isnt being located at the old park area but increase in size. Sees value in Windy Ridge sport precinct. Understands the culdesac conraints and connectivity. Does not believe there is a need for addition retail, but could enhance the exisiting. Loves the current environment as it is.
25	Drop in	12-May		Like the sports precinct and idea of incorporation of sporting groups. Concerns re streets opening up as confusion with Constraints plan. Supports caravan park in current location but has concerns on how this operates - long term/transit/short term. Concerned about the size, and location adjacent to the school. Does not want the park to accommodate long term residents.
26	Drop in	12-May		3 separate parties having discussion. Reps for Sharks footy club as well as residents. Like foreshore beautification. Likes sporting precinct location and integration of clubs. Needs more shade on the foreshore area. Raised issue regarding memorial stand and seat, which would need to be considered in next phase of engagement. Concerns re caravan park location and size. Concerns for cost impact for user groups by relocating to a sporting precinct/extra cost noted for Dampier Sharks for oval maintenance. In 10years +plan - concerns re future town expansion location (2) suggests this should be POS or other. Does not want another multistory development. Would like to see parking for the foreshore near Shark Cage increased to beach side of the road.
27	Drop in	12-May		President of Dampier Squash Club. Does not have any confirmation on any of the detail on what the squash club want or need and does not agree or record formally what people are thinking. Official position do not support the move due to lack formal information from the City. Concerns that this will force the Club to fold. We are currently a viable club and do not belive this economic model will work. WE are a 75% Karratha resident club, operating indoor and outdoor court facilities, used on Wednesday night and socially at anytime. Club members have full access as long as they have a key. Fees are charged only to cover costs.
28	Drop in	12-May		President Dampier Footy Club. Fundamentally agreeable to the changes, but need to ensure that no clubs are any worse off, either financially or socially
SUNDAY 16 MAY 2022 - AFTERNOON DROP IN SESSION				
29	Drop in	16-May		Windy Ridge double story complex blocking view, concern about cyclone damage, postiton moved ?? Relocation of soccer pitch too many lines, combine sports cause confusion. Tennis courts may be subject to weather, ie ball being blown away. Concern is walkway near WR will be washed out because of flood area. drainage area. WR Car park slight upgarde - instead devlopping carpark - look at leaving as is but grading and expanding exisiting space. having it structured makes it smaller. look park at upgradeing instead of knocking down and starting again. Caravan Park position of the park is right near a primary school conflict of interst, transient and dangerous do not agree. Expand the curent transit park and allow people to stay for longer than 3 days. Granny Flats were kncked back in residential homes, due to sewerage being an issue - residents are confused how a caravan park is viable given that situation. traffic around that caravan park site would be dangerous, would be unatractive to look at. Keen for path ways linking culdesacs, not keen for roads to be linked. may need to rename streets. more slection of pubs and cafe's on water front if possibble, maybe beach kiosk. beach bar- drive competition. expansion of town centre good idea, like the idea of that. Shark cage beach as a tidal pool. Jurat Park as is, maybe face lift where needed. secluded major postive. flooding of sports may make it hard to get oval space.
30	Drop in	16-May		as above
31	Drop in	16-May		Get rid of waste water treatment plant, rid of smell, expands the club and foreshore. Keep the soccer oval where it is at HamptonOval. Happy with the WR oval upgarde and club house, Tennis should stay where they are for weather. Parking for WR is not enough, especially during busy period. Booking ops with so many clubs being involved. like the kiosk being tendered out, needs something there. carpark aka odor buffing zone is alot of money for nothing, keep as is. happy with caravan park, however Penny Palms (tin city) would be a better site for a caravan park dud to infrstructure, out of town. Durak Park needs to be upgraded, is under utilised requires upgrade. Hampton Oval to be left as is, continue with Soccer as is. 10+ year plan happy with school devlopment etc. opening up end of the roads with pathways but not for vehicles. once waste treatment plant has been moved, look at installtion of caravan park in the exclusion zone (number 9 short term plan) this allows the caravan park to commence, shut down curent transit park while utilizing Durak Park.
32	Drop in	16-May		BMX improvement - upgarde something similar to Bulgarra near the oval, big track and little track. Better upkeep. Splash pad/waterplay anywhere on the forshore for when the tide is out -similar to Hedland. Likes the fact that streets are culdesacs because it helps with security. less foot traffic. opposed to culdescas being open to vehicles. pathways through the major streets to allow kids to ride safely around the streets. Caravan park concerned about the traffic near town/school, concerned about the dangers of it being so close to a primary school, unvetted access to the school age kids. caravan park good idea - not that size or spot. can we look at expanding the transit park? concerns about beach restictions if the park was right next to the beach, banned dog access etc. worried about influx of people to town, inhibits the residents to live their free lifestyle. pay extra to enjoy the town and dont want it flooded with new people. Happy with WR Oval plan, happy with the boat ramp can we add a filleting station near Bins? Jurat Park is important should not be demolished, potentially upgarde the BBQS (Gas) and playground with soft fall surface. prefer the streets to not be lit, enjoy the lack of light for star watching. shop upgarde having a Post office would be nice. having a doctor or Nurse available again.
33	Drop in	16-May		Section 8 questionable, cut out area 8 in 0-5 plan to enable more recreational area, no vehicle traffic. This would also activate centre area of Dampier. Not agreeable with the area in front of shopping centre being built. Conservation area so concerned this would affect that. Area 2 of 10 plus plans, cut the proposed into half of the proposed building area to allow for more viewing, (ocean viewing of existing residents) and less building. Can Council approach Rio to acquire the existing caravan park, to possable expand? Better option to move it there rather than Jurat. Next time consultation is completed, please send to ratepayers as well as we own property in town but dont live there physically, and still want to be part of the process. Carpark on edge, could make it into cafes, around the front, make it second storey so wouldnt need to build in front. Extend carpark and road at beck of shop instead of front- eliminate road on 5 on 5-10 year plan and use road on 6 instead. Shopping Centre- make an outdoor shopping centre to allow for more shops and beautifucation area. Build up rather than out, this doesnt impact anyones views. Front of shopping centre- would like to see it kept as parkland, no building on site. If caravan park does go ahead in proposed area, keep the existing landscape within area, heritage area and conservations. Maybe add a walkway in area 9 of 10 year plan, to incorpoate pedestrain traffic- this would lead to the beach directly. This would be also excellent for dog walking. Please reference Yeppoon for above comments in regards to foreshore and section 8 in 0-5 plan. Financial impact for anyone that has views at the moment and purchased for the views, they will loose money if buildings are built and it impacts the views.

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
34	Drop in	16-May		<p>Sporting Area- likes idea of upgrading- will reduce amount of parking, more groups to area, means less opportunity for all sporting group to use fairly. May cause conflict within groups. Sporting Club needs an upgrade, keep open for all clubs.</p> <p>Likes idea of more parking on the front foreshore.</p> <p>Keep some areas on the foreshore, keep as natural habitat, which doesn't mess with the local ecology. Find a balance between natural and upgrading.</p> <p>Caravan Park- Caravan park next to school, undesired persons with possible criminal backgrounds. Already overcrowded and over populated, pushes locals out.</p> <p>Water facilities- on a busy day, water levels drop in town already. Doesn't feel town will hold up with all the people the extra facilities will bring in. If you turn into a tourist hotspot, you are robbing locals of their own home town.</p> <p>Tourist Park are hot spots for crime, people taking opportunity to commit crime, brings local undesirables from other areas into township. What are the requirements for Police and Security in town. Outpost for first aid facilities in town? Is there a plan in place for that?</p> <p>Infrastructure of all basics need to be able to hold up for the amount of people.</p> <p>Extra education facilities (6 on plan 10+ plan)- all for that</p> <p>8 on 10+ all for extra parking for future.</p> <p>Some accommodation, but balance.</p> <p>Would be great to obtain the current caravan park and expand on that.</p> <p>Licensing conditions and regulations for the facilities in town, what is the capacity?</p> <p>More street lights needed in town.</p> <p>Make some of the roads, residential, more wider.</p> <p>Opposed to the moving of Squash and Tennis courts, would benefit from an upgrade instead of moving.</p> <p>Dampier Bowls Grade- Upgrade bowls club- keep in current premises.</p>
35	Drop in	16-May		<p>Lives in Blackwood Cres purposely bought property due to location and views that were available around 12 years ago and have invested in the property since purchased.</p> <p>Issues with proposed clubrooms and the possible view obstruction at Windy Ridge</p> <p>Not just our property is affected also when people are watching the footy the entire view out to sea will be affected</p> <p>Not overly fond of the caravan park right next to the school - where it is now there is ample area there for it to remain.</p> <p>Love the idea of wider footpaths</p> <p>Commented on constraints path and joining culdesacs</p> <p>She has completed survey and sent emails also.</p>
36	Drop in	16-May		<p>Issues with connectivity - there is sufficient access now - potential of controlled footpaths with barriers could be looked at, don't want it to be opened up for vehicle, motorbike access.</p> <p>Windy Ridge - supportive of improved sporting facilities not to the degree of what they have done in KTA eg KLP.</p> <p>Short term to 5 years - put shark cage back in or pool or tidal pool rather than tourist attractions. When shark cage was removed promised a pool in its place.</p> <p>Positive for boardwalk</p> <p>Supportive of Dampier Pavilion and use for a kiosk etc</p> <p>Tourist Park - hate the idea, develop and improve the location.</p> <p>Dampier not to become a tourist town. Location is a big issue - thoughts that it could be located by Penny Palms should be considered. Does not like this around the school specifically.</p> <p>Been in town since 1985</p> <p>Development of shopping centre would be great if they could get someone to invest - be good to try and revert back to how it was in the 90's. - bustling little area.</p> <p>Carpark around school - not great with the school kids eg safety trying to make it busier than it should be</p> <p>People have been in town for a long time and have seen what the town was to how it has grown now.</p> <p>Positive towards the civic space</p> <p>Boosting for local community rather than tourist community.</p> <p>Gone wayward not doing primarily for the local Dampier community.</p>
37	Drop in	16-May		<p>Before anything happens the relocation of WWT plant should take place. Think this should take priority then that frees up space available for alternative arrangements.</p> <p>Short Term - relocating sporting clubs to Windy Ridge supportive don't necessarily need shaded parking, natural trees would be great.</p> <p>Hampton Oval nothing much changing so happy with that.</p> <p>Tourist Park location could be behind Hampton Oval or in front corner of High Street sea side.</p> <p>Will need to reopen a Police Station and have police back in town - not an issue at present however due to increase in activities in town this could change.</p> <p>Caravan park is fine - limit caravan park stay to only 3 months - existing is 3 days. Caravan park size is sufficient on long term plan. Not against it - either way - probably doesn't need to be huge - could be a little bigger than what you have now.</p> <p>Tourist park location next to Primary School is not an issue due to kids heading into high school age so will not be affected as such. Understand situation for younger families.</p> <p>Money should be put into the school to upgrade or rebuild - just doing patch jobs on the buildings at present.</p> <p>Happy with existing Boat Launching Ramp area as I don't use it. Save money here and put towards school.</p> <p>Definitely do something more for town centre area, seaside front end of High Street - wouldn't make it accommodation, would like a big park or lawn area in its place.</p> <p>Been in town for 40 plus years.</p>
38	Drop in	16-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feels like Masterplan is purely tourist driven, concerned about the impact this will have on residents, young families, the overall feel, safety and connection of the town. • Some good parts however feels like a complete transformation of the town without retaining the safe and secure vibe • Concerns about extra road traffic the Tourist Park will bring - especially surrounding the school. • Supportive of boardwalk upgrades along foreshore • Difficult to support Squash move to WR without the details of what they would be getting • Club culture of squash club is vital to their identity especially in the north west, Dampier is on the map for Squash within Squash WA eyes - want to maintain the high representation to retain the culture. • Do not want a Leisureplex spec facility - that will kill the club as there is no personality to the courts - vital to retain current income streams for the club for example - food and drinks sales • Important for the Squash club to understand what costs would be if moving to a new facility • Supportive of additional parking opposite HHBSC • Is Vice President of Dampier Squash Club - Club Captain - Registrar • More parking at public boat ramp needed. • Do not support tourist park location - traffic management nightmare. • Let's improve existing facilities and infrastructure including drainage and footpaths etc before we build a caravan park. • Could we extend existing caravan park location? supportive of a caravan park just not in the current identified location - up near penny palms would be better. Current identified location would create massive congestion. • Upgrade of parking near mermaid is positive however important to retain a lot of space to allow for boats & trailers as well as trucks etc. • More parking at WRSP would be needed • Is there cause for soccer to move to WRSP the facility will already be highly used cause potential congestion and competing for availability
39	Drop in	16-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would be sad to see the small family, safe feel of the town be lost • It's currently a great place to raise kids • Foreshore development would be great, currently under-utilised. • Supportive of the idea of a sporting precinct • Centre of town development and shopping centre activation would be great to see, extremely supportive • Not supportive of current location and size identified for Tourist Park - concerns with proximity to primary school • Understand and support the need for more tourist park accommodation than what is currently offered in town • If tourist park was kept in the location it's planned for, would like to see it smaller and have restrictions in place to prevent hi-vis workers accommodation as well as stop long term tourists/grey nomads parking up for long periods of time. • Not supportive of cul-de-sac connection for vehicles, pedestrian connection would be positive to see though but not in every street. • Would like to see more work done along foreshore to remove rocks - similar to works done at Shark Cage Beach and town beach.

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
40	Drop in	16-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern around construction of facility at Windy ridge in blocking residential views • Please confirm and lock in jetty rather than 'proposed' jetty. • Supportive of landsacping/park at Windy Ridge • Concern caravan park would turn into affordable workers accommodation - would need to have restriction in place to prevent this. • Would the caravan park be better located south of Hampton Green? • Add in extra lane along windy ridge and foreshore, re-pupose old road into parralel parking along the foreshore. • Consideration needs to be given to amenities on foreshore if caravan park is closely located - it's difficult as it is to access a BBQ etc with current usage • Overflow parking needs to be considered, community events currently attract large numbers of vehicles • Please put Gym in sports precinct (like Wickham Rec Precinct) • 25m swimming pool please (like Wickham Rec Precinct)
41	Drop in	16-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supportive of the Masterplan - great to see development and activation along the foreshore • Welcoming of tourist accommodation • Consideration to be given to traffic intersection opposite HHBSC • Tourist Park needs to include boat parking for Tourists/visitors as they will bring boats to go parking. • Windy Ridge Sports Precinct is great, • More moorings in harbour • The community consultation approach is great, the ability to arrive and quietly discuss your thoughts on the plans without everyone listening in has meant we can be honest and give credit where credit is due. • Traffic management needs to be addressed around carvan park location.
42	Drop in	16-May		Tourist Park - too big, could cause congestion, safety issues. Location in another area. Pump track location preferred - smaller size. Potentially upgrade existing caravan park. Restuarants close to exisiting caravan park - minimal interruption, use exisiting facilities. Culdesacs - no vehicle access, footpaths would be fine.
43	Drop in	16-May		<p>Positive - consoldation of sporting at Windy Ridge. Windy Ridge - parking is already constrained, don't reduce. Connecting boardwalk to boat ramp - safety issue, not needed - kids and dogs utilising beach and Windy Ridge. Esplanade - ok. O-5 years , no.9 - extra car parking a positive. Footpath from Church Rd connecting to Foreshore and Soak - on both sides of roads. Cross reference to foothpath strategy??</p> <p>5-10 years: no issues with boat ramp, just don't decrease amount of parking. Support any improvement of shopping centre, as long as it is sustainable, potential for Post Office, at the moment is a dead space. Keep to commercial, not residential. No.8 - Tourist Park - not supported, struggle to understand how the tourist park fits within community consultation/community desires. If has to go ahead: location - NO. Prime realestate - why would we give it to a tourist park? Adjacent to school - no. Location is already tightly constrained with infrastructure; don't have infrastructure to support extra traffic, becomes a safety issue. Alt locations; improve existing transit park, not in the middle of town, pump track would be better. Short stay options ONLY - no long term. Size needs to be capped. Encourage holiday makers, not long term residents. How much control will the City have over the tourist park, should a private operator win a tender?</p> <p>10+ years: no.3; no demand for short term accomodation - don't want to build a white elephant. If for workers - put it somewhere else. ie. Penny Palms. no.8; do not support further expansion of tourist park. Use as Park Space for community, or leave some of it natural. No issue with waste water plant being relocated. no.9; don't understand the need to upgrade layover bays.</p> <p>Vision Plan; don't understand how tourist park vision aligns with community workshop feedback. 'Building on the Setting'; do not support opening up streets for vehicle/motorbike access.</p> <p>Contraints map: do not open culdesacs to vehicle access. Culdesacs = kids are safe. Will opening culdesacs = extra residential land? Pedestrian ONLY.</p> <p>Worried that Council will ignore all comments on the draft and accept plan as is. Expectation is that further consultation will occur on updated masterplan.</p>
44	Drop in	16-May		<p>0-5yrs; looks fantastic</p> <p>5-10yrs; not keen on tourist park sharing boarder with primary school. Alternative location - no issues. Expanding what exists - already has ocean views. Smaller location at sewerage plant ok. Would be empty half of the year - up to 200 bays would be ok - 400 way too many. 10+ year - too large in context of town/not viable/not much to draw people in.</p> <p>Culdesacs opening - some could potentially get flooded out - main concern; vehicles causing safety issues. Would be extremely costly, money could be ear-marked for effective town projects that contribute to the lifestyle of the town folk. At the moment, safe for kids.</p> <p>Opening up to vehicles would change town dynamics not conducive to the lifestyle we bought into.</p> <p>Long Term 10+; 2&3 short term accomodation - against any worker accomodation - nice chalets or holiday accomodations. Too much accomodation - would it be viable? Town couldn't support - need for restuarants etc.</p> <p>Windy Ridge Oval - sounds amazing.</p> <p>Need for new boat ramp.</p> <p>Updated IGA - updated Mermaid Hotel. Fresh - fix what we have.</p> <p>Caravan park - yes, just not as big. Agree with being closer to town centre, just not as big as will never be filled.</p>
45	Drop in	16-May		<p>Overall great to see work happening.</p> <p>Windy Ridge, club facility to be centralised, not impacting beach access. Building to be positioned closer to sporting facilities, but also understands need for showers/toilets for boat ramps. Community Gym to be considered as part of sporting precinct development.</p> <p>No permanent stay at tourist park, targeting tourists, not cheap living.</p>
46	Drop in	16-May		<p>Two full szie soccer pitches 105m x 68m won't fit. Cricket wicket is the biggest challenge, can't have cricket wicket on soccer pitch.</p> <p>All clubs need to be involved from the start on the consultation of the redevelopment. Usage model needs to be discussed with clubs and considered in design.</p>
47	Drop in	16-May		<p>Likes Windy Ridge development - good move.</p> <p>Does not want another caravan park in the community particularly near the school due to traffic, additional people and safety. Location is poor.</p> <p>Doesn't want any other location except current location. Would support expansion of current caravan park in current location.</p>
48	Drop in	16-May		<p>0-5 plan Shark cage node - concers re parkland adjacent to the shark cage node area (8) major issues with water inundation/flooding and concern it wont work as a park. Concerns re flooding onadjacent properties.</p> <p>Does not want formalised parking in the Windy Ridge Sporting precicint - it will not yeild enough parking bays.</p> <p>Needs more discussion on the spaces allocated for parking adjacent to HHBSC (9) Jurat Park and proposed Tourist Park. Believes this needs more community discussion on the uses - needs more open community spaces not commercial development for benefit of community. Believes overflow parking should be located within HHBSC grounds especially if WWTP was relocated.</p> <p>100% opposed to Tourist park in current location, would support smaller park (100 bays) in location south of Hampton Oval.</p> <p>Concerns proposed park will create traffic congestion, and impact local residents quality of life ie childrens riding on streets with long vehicle/caravans/boats,. Should not be located near primary school - due to non-residents having close access to schools.</p> <p>No formalise parking at the boat ramp as less yeild for parking bays, but supports upgrade of the ramps.</p> <p>Concerns on how tourist park would operate ie short term/FIFO and long term rental. What will happen for 'off season'? Who will be using the park?</p> <p>Does not support any additional accommodation facilities within the community.</p> <p>10+ plan - does not support any commecial development on foreshore area (2) adjacent to High Street, due to proximity (100m) from residents property in Maitland Crt. Will support some retail development on left side near area (3). Concers are noise, traffic congestion, unsafe access to children to school, and devalue proprty due to losing ocean views.</p> <p>Does not support caravan park extension on 10+ plan (transition from parking area to caravan park(8))</p> <p>Would like to see additional community facilities ie swimming pool which benefits the town.</p>
49	Drop in	16-May		<p>Major concern is the size and location of the caravan park - it covers a third of the Dampier town centre area. Proximity to the school is a concern.</p> <p>Supports inclusion of a caravan park, but in a smaller scale at an alternative location, such as south side of Hampton oval or expansion of exisiting transit park.</p> <p>Believe that influx of additional people from park will impact capacity for local kids to move independently around the community.</p> <p>Concerns re community safety for types of people possibly utilising park.</p> <p>Support development of the town and additional infrastructure but it needs to suit/meet the community needs.</p> <p>Concern property will devalue due to development - trees blocking views along The Esplanade foreshore development.</p> <p>Supports development of the foreshore but would like it to be left in a more natural state ie no formal structures like retaining walls etc. Want to see vehicle access retained near the Game Fishing Club.</p> <p>Would like to see a small jetty off the King Bay Gantry (near WWTP beach)area which is accessible for community (not commercial).</p> <p>Supportive of Recreation precinct - believe this is great for clubs.</p>

Name	Method	Date	Synergy #	Notes/Comments
50	Drop in	16-May		<p>SHORT TERM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •short term basically happy with windy ridge, shark cage upgrade, point 9 wording confusing. • indication of more traffic but not enough carpark and pedestrian links already which is dangerous. Need to improve pedestrian access along both sides of church road from the corner with Hampton dr around to the boat club , and improve crossings with ramps. This is a major concern in term for safety. • point 11 junior pitch , hampton need to retain 3 soccer pitches, used heavily by the whole of community (ppl coming from Whikham included to play). <p>MEDIUM TERM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • boat ramp upgrades , point 1 and 2 happy with •point 3 to 7 indifferent •point 8 about the tourist park, having the tourist park on that very busy bend , line of sight issue already , traffic wise don't want more heavily loaded vehicle traffic. heavily used by boat users, bicycles, pedestrian, children. What about the odour buffer pointed out in the 0-5 years. Existing location of caravan park is really good in term of traffic management, beautiful beach close by too, already touristic. also is a great opportunity to extend the foreshore path past windy ridge, up to parker point. Brida leaving so maybe land available next to the existing caravan park . • peninsula palms: opportunity to have activation with cafe between windy ridge and parker point with extended foreshore path. <p>LONG TERM</p> <p>somewhat indifferent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • point 7 confusing •point 8 even more concerns with expansion given the location and increased traffic. Heavy traffic does not seem compatible with the plans aspiration to increase pedestrian and bike usage through the town. • constraints map , cul de sac : at the end of each there is already ways for pedestrian and cyclist to go though, there is no need to do anything more. The residential street design is really family friendly , especially for children being able to go around on their bikes without supervision from an early age. Please visit Dampier primary school bike rack on a school day to understand the importance. <p>VISION</p> <p>first 5 dot points of the vision would be achieved by extending the foreshore path (pedestrian and bike) to parker point and even beyond.</p> <p>point 6 no opening of cul de sacs especially to vehicles.</p> <p>Heritage point 5 can we have local artist and local manufacture if possible . Not spend \$230,000 on art with no connection to Dampier made in QLD. There is so much rich heritage, history and art in CofK can we please appreciate this. And we have local metal fabricators that know how to build to cyclone standards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • T junction on church road with Hampton drive , combined with the shell service station, is already quite confusing and distracting for drivers. Even without any additional development traffic management needs to be looked at (accident prone).
51	Drop in	16-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Short term not really any comments, •Mostly like the direction of it as a new resident. Understand why there is the need for accommodations and tourist facility, however the main reason you choose the Dampier community is to have a low key lifestyle. •How would you make sure that the accommodation are used for tourist purpose and do not become contractors accommodation. •Also Soak is there, it is important to maintain so that the YC does not have monopoly, heard could be moving into the pavilion at the foreshore which is a good idea. •Bringing squash, bowling, altogether makes sense to have one location for maintenance , carpark , lighting. •Relocating the WWTP is a no brainer. •It is weird that our town center is not on the main road, good idea to connect with links •Upgrade to Windy ridge is a really good idea but with the AFL growing might need another facility (such as 1/2 oval) to assist with training and game days. • Boat ramp increase in lane number -as currently restricted, particularly on busy days with large numbers using facility from Karratha, plus being restricted by tidal movements of when you can launch/retrieve. •Expansion of activities node to the east of windy ridge to avoid concentrating everything in one spot and losing the feel of family friendly neighborhood. really safe little niche. •Understand the need for touristic development but need to maintain a healthy balance with priority needing to be on maintaining local lifestyle and residential needs over tourist needs. •Having access to local post office would be really great (do not know if we can influence but worth to push for).
52	Drop in	16-May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •major issues with the plan specifically caravan park size location privately own(close to the school and also best part of land) •concern with children safety . Child sex abuse at caravan park in Karratha reported twice in town via ABC in past 3 years • the vision for Dampier is more a small ,operated by DCA, Caravan Park . strongly opposed to the current masterplan • T junction near to the school is already bad in term of circulation. • not in favor of the pedestrian link (point 7) long term plan, not suited , goes through school. • more in need of pedestrian path on both sides of church road • medium term point 7 , priority is for pedestrian friendly link , no need for vehicles to have access •pushing through the cul de sacs not needed , waste of money. Cul de sac is where community socialises. Can't see how this would benefit the community. •like the idea of the carpark next to the Yatch Club • don't like the idea of developing more lots • no opinion on the windy ridge upgrade except that need to make sure that clubs will be better consulted on any proposed changes/facilities provision • if shade are installed, make sure that they are efficient shade structure (not sculpture) • disappointed that there is no pool, yes the beach is close by but there is issues with irigangi in summer. So much investment and no pool is disappointing. • medium term point 2 ; make sure that there is enough carpark, does not look sufficient on the plans. <p>General feeling that community was not listened to, idea to open cul de sac for example was not popular at all. Caravan Park looks more like a commercial opportunity but without clear gain for the local community. Feels like the plan is for Tourist not for the local resident.</p> <p>Caravan Park and pushing through the cul de sacs, and pathway to the school are the biggest thing that would affect the community.</p>

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
1	Y	Everyone in town knows where this is Transit doesn't effect the local towns people We don't need to go past it Access other than through the town No loss of the minimal current things we have - tennis courts, one oval that has playground, family friendly facilities and oval facilities.	N	Far too close to the school. Loss of tennis facilities. On a very busy corner of town.	N	This area of town is so busy during market days, events held by the City, weekends, sport activities - we don't need more traffic in this area.	N	This area is highly utilised on. weekend by families, people exercising, dogs exercising and place for teens to meet up in an open environment. The beach has been so damaged with recent development with more and more rock exposed. Many kangaroos live in this area and feed on the grass that is there between the long dry spells.	N	This area is highly utilised on. weekend by families, people exercising, dogs exercising and place for teens to meet up in an open environment. The beach has been so damaged with recent development with more and more rock exposed. Many kangaroos live in this area and feed on the grass that is there between the long dry spells.	N		N	This area is for the town to enjoy, not just those who come to visit. The natural rocky outcrops and views of the ocean from the pub are what makes Dampier unique, not looking over a tourist park. As rate payers, we pay a lot for the privilege of these things that make Dampier unique.
2	N	Too small, not enough reward for effort	N		N		N	Taking away more sporting fields, if planning to move all sport down to Windy Ridge, additional Fields would need to be looked at to cater for concurrent sports.	N	Waste of half a sporting field, also restricts size of events held at Hampton Oval.	Y	Great idea, currently underutilized, big enough to attract a considerable amount of tourists for events/tourism. Does not affect current town plan, yet close enough for tourists to visit and enjoy what our town has to offer	Y	Once again, currently underutilized land, chance to develop the shopping Centre further to cater for an influx of tourists.
3	N	Do something new in town centre	Y	Second preferred - this and the Esplanade #7 would be amazing	N		N		N		N	Too out of town centre	Y	number 1 preference- perfect location to walk to oval and palms- town centre to shops/ mermaid etc.
4			Y	sites 2,6 & 7 look awesome for new tourist park areas as well as good sizes hopefully adding some extra business to the town!							Y	sites 2,6 & 7 look awesome for new tourist park areas as well as good sizes hopefully adding some extra business to the town!	Y	sites 2,6 & 7 look awesome for new tourist park areas as well as good sizes hopefully adding some extra business to the town!
5				It looks great! Except I assume the intention is to demolish the Squash Courts? If so, I strongly object.										

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
6	Y	This is the obvious choice (hopefully for ALL residents of Dampier). It makes TOTAL SENSE. It is a logical choice, a well supported choice and I absolutely AGREE with this choice. Communication with the existing owners of this Caravan Park and hopefully Council acquisition/ purchase of it would be a GREAT choice. Tidying up this existing caravan park to make it more modern would be perfect. The location also affords the opportunity to EXPAND across the road to beach-view chalets for more up-market campers which would further reduce traffic congestion (ability to turn both left and right into the campgrounds depending on the choice of accommodation) would be a great strategic advantage that this location has to offer. It is far enough away from the existing residents so it won't impinge on their ability to enjoy their quiet	N	This is too CONGESTED. Where I appreciate that the Council are considering this option because it has close proximity to the best that Dampier has to offer, it is too short-sighted for the long term vision of the community and for tourism as a whole. I think the Council should repair the old SOAK building (it looks totally dilapidated) and they could create some boardwalks to connect Jurat Park to the section in front of the Mermaid and then onward to the beach (that way people won't need to walk past the poo-farm every time on a skinny sidewalk!). Therefore, this section of land should be maintained as a park. There are kangaroos that live here and a lot of birdlife. It is established with historic stone structures and is often a location for weddings. If you remove this from the community and replace with a cramped caravan park, this undermines the	N	This is too CONGESTED. Where I appreciate that the Council are considering this option because it has close proximity to the best that Dampier has to offer, it is too short-sighted for the long term vision of the community and for tourism as a whole. I think the Council should repair the old SOAK building (it looks totally dilapidated) and they could create some boardwalks to connect Jurat Park to the section in front of the Mermaid and then onward to the beach (that way people won't need to walk past the poo-farm every time on a skinny sidewalk!). Therefore, this section of land should be maintained as a park. There are kangaroos that live here and a lot of birdlife. It is established with historic stone structures and is often a location for weddings. If you remove this from the community and replace with a cramped caravan park, this undermines the	N	This goes against community interest and against the Council's own re-development plan: https://karratha.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/uploads/Dampier%20Palms%20-%20Design%20Report%20Final.pdf This should not be an option at all because it is not fair on residents that the council would take away recreational/sporting grounds for commercial interest such as building a caravan park here. I think Council should expect a PR nightmare if they went through with this location for a commercial development project.	N	This goes against community interest and against the Council's own re-development plan: https://karratha.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/uploads/Dampier%20Palms%20-%20Design%20Report%20Final.pdf This should not be an option at all because it is not fair on residents that the council would take away recreational/sporting grounds for commercial interest such as building a caravan park here. I think Council should expect a PR nightmare if they went through with this location for a commercial development project.	N	I would consider this option as viable on the proviso that the Council still allow dogs to be walked on the beach. The Dampier community is a dog-friendly community, so a development project should not be a zero-sum arrangement where a commercial improvement is at the direct expense of community interest such as dog-friendly beaches. I am selecting "No" only because the Council have not given any context around what type of guarantee residents would have in relation to walking their dogs on the adjacent beach. On the plus side, if Council were to develop a tourist park here, they could provide walking trails though the bush. There are some great places to walk, and it would be nice in the mornings and evenings for tourists to go on walking trails that lead back to the caravan park.	N	NO NO NO. This is the WORST idea out of all of the ideas. If the Council think they can re-zone this land from a state-government approved CONSERVATION ZONE to a Commercial zone then you can expect protests, petitions, bad PR, news reporters - the works. This is Conservation Zoned land for a reason! It is home to kangaroos, echidnas, bird life and there are rock formations that would not be able to be disturbed due to cultural and historic value. It also floods during cyclones, so a parkland would be the best adaptation of making this land used. Furthermore, there are many residential houses who overlook this conservation zone and onto the ocean and who have paid extremely high prices for this view. The Council would need to COMPENSATE home owners in the hundreds of thousands per household affected for DEVALUING their house prices if they

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment
	ability to enjoy their quiet neighbourhoods in the high season (the location means residents will be unaffected by commercial influx of tourist traffic during high-season) and it is still close enough to the centre of town (it is only a 5 min FLAT walk along the esplanade to the beaches, cafes etc). Considering all camping tourists have a car anyway, it's also only a 1 min drive for those who don't want to walk. The location is perfect because it provides space in the town (rather than cramming everything in to a central location where there will be massive traffic congestion such as near the shops or near Jurat Park which are both poor choices for the long-term vision of the town). e.g.: Jurat park needs to remain as a park for		this undermines the aesthetics of the community and it's appeal for the residents and also for tourists to enjoy. I think that putting the caravan park here is just too busy, too short-sighted and poorly considered. There would be significant impact to the town with traffic jams coming in an out. Kids playing across the road with a high-traffic area is just a bad idea. The caravan park should be out further near the existing caravan park, and leave this area for tourists to explore and enjoy.		this undermines the aesthetics of the community and it's appeal for the residents and also for tourists to enjoy. I think that putting the caravan park here is just too busy, too short-sighted and poorly considered. There would be significant impact to the town with traffic jams coming in an out. Kids playing across the road with a high-traffic area is just a bad idea. The caravan park should be out further near the existing caravan park, and leave this area for tourists to explore and enjoy.								their house prices if they were to overlook tin roof shacks from a caravan park instead of the tranquillity of a state conservation zone and the ocean. Considerations of this section of low-lying flood land should be into something similar to Cattrall Park for community enjoyment, or an esplanade-style park (similar to Yeppoon QLD foreshore) with trees, benches, boardwalks and a kids fountain. A couple of cafes - built on stilts to prevent the flooding and located closest to the western-end near the Mermaid so the cafes are away from residential housing. This would support the long term vision of the community to provide space and places of enjoyment with the odd commercial gain such a

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
		people to enjoy, and the shopping centre area needs to be a simple parkland with some water fountains for kids (similar to Yeppoon foreshore). The shopping centre area could have a few cafes strategically placed in between the rocks overlooking the ocean. Choice 1 Transit Park is the best choice. It also has availability to expand behind, creating more allotments as demand increases.												cafe. A Caravan park here is not appropriate for existing residents, traffic congestion, cultural and historic consideration and goes against the zoning of the state government.
7	Y	Very Appropriate, has worked for years and is very low impact on Dampier and its residents	N	Dampier is a quiet residential town, opening it to tourists would change all that and only benefit a few business. It would also increase seasonal pressure on scant services here and Karratha.	N	Loose our tennis courts so tourists can come here?	N	still too big	Y	Provided there are no plans to expanded it.	N	Dampier is a quiet residential town, opening it to tourists would change all that and only benefit a few business. It would also increase seasonal pressure on scant services here and Karratha. The beach would become a playground for tourist, increase in crime, pollution, traffic and residents would have to go look for another quiet place to live, or put up with it.	N	Too big, the beach would become a playground for Tourists, increase in crime, pollution, noise, traffic and cue up for services. In Europe all locals hate tourists, they interfere with their lifestyle, price increases, pressure on services, crime and only some business reap the rewards, the locals suffer. We don't want it here. People living in Karratha are designing this, so If it has to be done build it in Karratha and enjoy.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
8	Y		N		N		N		Y		N		N	
9													Y	Great access to facilities and shops. Lovely view, would even consider as a m Holiday from Karratha
10									Y	I have looked at the proposed sites online for the construction of the caravan park . We need this as far away from the community - this will not only be beneficial for the community who don't want to be disturbed but for the happy campers too. Make them feel like they are on more of a holiday . I would like to make my request for the option number 5 .				
11	N	Too small, must be kidding	N	No idea on topography and what rock is like to move	N	Stupid	N	I cant even believe this is an option. WTF	N	as site #4	Y	This is a great spot. I wouldn't make it so commercial and would need to invest a lot in getting water on site	Y	Great sport, fills an ugly space. As long as it sits lower than top road not to spoil the view
12	N	definitely second option	N	too congested on main road with a big blind corner	N	Fix the courts	N	Will take away such a beautiful beach side oval, good for events	N		Y	Best option out of the way, development of more beach front access +egress which will benefit locals	N	
13	Y	DCA does a fabulous job managing this as it is so it should remain as it is	N	Should not be next to school	N	Should not be next to school	N	removes the market/soccer community space and is too large	N	Still don't support it but is the second best option	N	excessively large- will ruin the wonderful; small town vibe we have	N	Prime real estate but will drastically reduce parking space
14	N		N		N			Option 2		Option 3			Y	This is the obvious choice for me and our community. Great location for view, beach access +close to shops and pub

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
15	Y	We love this boutique type of Site and so do our friends and family who visit. It suits the size of the Dampier town.	N	Jurat Park is held close to the hearts of residents of Dampier. It has been loudly voiced at many previous sessions that we wish to KEEP our nice shaded park as is. Please do not ruin this for us. We have also requested funding to maintain and tidy it up. Which, Karratha Management seem to keep rejecting / ignoring. How about you start offsetting some Carbon by planting some trees and make this an amazing nature reserve? Be a leader instead of a demolisher.	N	Absolutely no way. I am sure we have vocalised / written / demonstrated / told this numerous times. NOT NEXT TO THE PRIMARY SCHOOL. Your timing is extremely off given the trauma we have just seen from Carnarvon and a missing child. Devastating. How about you start offsetting some Carbon by planting some trees and make this an amazing nature reserve? Be a leader instead of a demolisher.	N	We like our oval. As a ratepayer I don't agree that a previously ratepayer funded community playground should be destined for a caravan park. Especially one that takes our oval away.	N	We like our oval. As a ratepayer I don't agree that a previously ratepayer funded community playground should be destined for a caravan park. Especially one that takes our oval away.	y	If there was a "Maybe" box here I would tick it. This is acceptable. But reduced to MAX 100 sites. We like our Oval, but WE LOVE OUR BEACH.	N	No. This is too close to residential areas. As mentioned, your timing is extremely off given the trauma we have just seen from Carnarvon and a missing child. Devastating. NOT NEXT TO A HOUSING AREA How about you start offsetting some Carbon by planting some trees and make this an amazing nature reserve? Be a leader instead of a demolisher.
16			Y										Y	
17											Y	Firstly, thank you for working with the people of Dampier to find a fitting location - it's very appreciated. I think that site 6 would be the best idea - provides a great amount of space and tourists get a lovely view but are away from the school area.		
18	Y	Good location. Our first preference	N	Location too close to school, too many sites	N	Location too close to school	N	Take away prime space used by community	N	Take away prime space used by community and likely will be expanded one day	N	Too many site and in flood zone	Y	Mildly supportive. Makes sense to have near shops, bring in tourists but can't be expanded

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
19	Y	The only option that should be used in Dampier is to upgrade the existing park, all the other options put it in too close proximity to residents or destroy something we already have, there is a caravan park in Karratha that is not being utilized	N		N		N		N		N		N	
20	Y	<p>We are going for this option as it keeps the tourists and other people who tend to go in tourist parks out of the way from local life. It will not cause traffic congestion and this smaller sized park is what a small SAFE community like Dampier will be able to cope with. With Penny Palms opening back up again near this location they have proposed a restaurant as well for the public therefore keeping the park there will be appropriate. From a cost and engineering perspective you will be upgrading an existing site, it will be significantly less earthworks and you will have it completed in less time at a less cost. Also there is a nice view for tourists from that park.</p> <p>We don't have the facilities (even if the shopping centre gets upgraded) or the large number of tourist attractions that warrant Dampier to have a larger Caravan Park than the</p>	N	<p>The other 6 Site locations (sites 2 to 7) proposed by the City of Karratha are firstly far too close to Dampier Primary School and the local playgrounds. It is a known fact that paedophiles use caravan parks as places to stay and being that close to the school comprises the safety of our school children dramatically. Secondly these sites are also too close to facilities used by locals these include the playgrounds, boat club, boat ramps and soccer oval which is also used for community events such as markets, fireworks, private company family events, movie nights etc.. They would cause even more congestion in the area that cannot cope with existing traffic. Thirdly from a cost and engineering perspective these sites will incur a significantly larger cost as more surveying, development, environmental and heritage reports and earthworks will have to be</p>	N		N		N		N		N	

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment
	Caravan Park than the one we already have.		<p>Earthworks will have to be done. This is a significant cost and time implication.</p> <p>When construction is happening on these sites they will cause a lot of noise and dust pollution to the community and school as well as traffic delays in an area already so popularly used for its facilities and restaurants.</p> <p>Fourthly for site 7 in particular you have to ask yourself would you like to live near a large caravan park?</p> <p>We have lived in small towns similar to Dampier that take a large influx of Caravaners every year and it ruins the town, they consume all resources available to the community (food, drinks and medicine) doctors appointments etc., they cause litter and vandalism, they compromise the safety of the community.</p> <p>Dampier is not a tourist town and we do not need the small economic benefit from a caravan park in town which will ruin the community. Don't try to turn Dampier into a tourist town. We have heard Cozinc Bay has the go ahead. This is a great location for tourists. Why not focus on making that area great and sending them all there.</p>										

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
21	Y	This is just a simple upgrade of existing transit park, with minimal consideration of the location, space and other adjacent users. It lacks genuine consideration, however it is a location that does not have impact of the Dampier residential population, nor increases pressure on the water supply and sewage management impact. There is the possibility for rethinking the current land-use in the area and expand this option to provide greater capacity	N	Occupies a large area already utilized with community services and facilities. Takes no account of the impact to this nor to the actual topography of the place. Proposal will have unacceptable impact on the existing facilities, local traffic and use of adjacent public space and facilities. The increased number of potential uses will have a major impact on water usage and sewage treatment require, both need to be address before any consideration of increasing the number of overnight stays.	N	Reduced coverage of option 2 but again, no consideration of topography or existing facilities. Option 3 still has issues of unacceptable impact to residential/local traffic and adjacent amenities usage.	N	Use of Hampton oval, a large community usage space diminishes the amenity of Dampier, while increasing unacceptable impact on traffic, water consumption and sewage management. Conflict of usage of Pavilion; local-tourist.	N	A seconded reduced footprint design that does nothing to address the negative impacts of this site location.	N	A major development with no consideration of topography; Aboriginal heritage, impact on Dampier residences, water sewage management, but has less impact on existing services and amenities.	N	Caters for wide range of stay requirements with major development in prime real estate location, increasing impact on local/residential community. Has impact on local traffic, residents and on use of Shark Cage Beach. Proximity to existing wastewater treatment plant may be issue as is the need to upgrade such facility to accommodate extra population pressure.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
22	N	With a business owner hat on I do not vote for this development to proceed. You and many business owners have invested in Karratha town centre to be able to turn it into a thriving centre, yet, 7 years into trade and I would hardly call it thriving. We are paying rents at prices that match high end suburbs in Perth, with foot traffic of a dead quiet country town. There is a dirt patch across the road from empire6714, and has remained that way for many years. The front garden at the front of empire had plants, which died after one year of opening and nothing has since been replaced. Therefore I would like to see the City of Karratha focus on: 1.Finishing one project at a time before rushing into something else 2.Becoming a Leader in becoming a sustainable town (lessening your targets to simply cash grab from mining &	N		N		N		N		N		N	

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
	<p>grab from mining & industry)</p> <p>I look forward to seeing your plans in becoming a leader and educator in addressing environmental issues near our beach, not only Dampier, but all of them in the region. Implementing recycling options, education of plastic and its detrimental effects when it lands in the ocean and general plastic removal from the beachfront/s. Dampier has seen an exponential growth since the playgrounds were installed. While you do a great job of emptying and cleaning, there is still zero education and it's simply not enough.</p> <p>I have heard Councillors openly state that they "won't put Solar on REAP as it might look bad". This is not what I consider to be a City that has a focus on our children's future. This is a shame given that you often claim to be "the richest in the nation". So, be the richest and lead the way to balance mining funding with sustainability.</p>												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
23	Y	<p>I am generally supportive of the optimisation of the sites throughout the town. I would urge the town to retain the established, mature trees within Jurat Park as part of the environmental amenity, and to incorporate these into the broader design for Site 2. It would be a shame to lose the allocasuarina fraseriana on the north edge as indicated on this draft proposal. I would also make these remarks in relation to Site 1 and any further proposals.</p> <p>I'd also like to know whether the town is considering the site to the north, opposite to site 1, for development? Does the town own this land?</p>	Y	<p>I am generally supportive of the optimisation of the sites throughout the town. I would urge the town to retain the established, mature trees within Jurat Park as part of the environmental amenity, and to incorporate these into the broader design for Site 2. It would be a shame to lose the allocasuarina fraseriana on the north edge as indicated on this draft proposal. I would also make these remarks in relation to Site 1 and any further proposals.</p> <p>I'd also like to know whether the town is considering the site to the north, opposite to site 1, for development? Does the town own this land?</p>										

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
24			N	<p>I strongly oppose the redevelopment of this land for a tourist park. Jurat park is well utilised and a beautiful peaceful park for the community. When my children were younger we used to go there regularly even though we live in Karratha because it is such a perfect place to spot all the bird and animals. Kangaroos in the rocks, snakes, lizards and so many more. The rocky outcrop over the top of the stairs is beautiful and it would be a huge shame to remove it for tourist facilities. A huge piece of Dampier History will be lost.</p> <p>Adding a tourist park to this site in Dampier will make it extremely crowded and busy and will take away relaxed and quiet feel of Dampier, for which it is known.</p> <p>This tourist park needs to be placed elsewhere.</p>	N	<p>I strongly oppose the redevelopment of this land for a tourist park. Jurat park is well utilised and a beautiful peaceful park for the community. When my children were younger we used to go there regularly even though we live in Karratha because it is such a perfect place to spot all the bird and animals. Kangaroos in the rocks, snakes, lizards and so many more. The rocky outcrop over the top of the stairs is beautiful and it would be a huge shame to remove it for tourist facilities. A huge piece of Dampier History will be lost.</p> <p>Adding a tourist park to this site in Dampier will make it extremely crowded and busy and will take away relaxed and quiet feel of Dampier, for which it is known.</p> <p>This tourist park needs to be placed elsewhere.</p>								

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
25	N		N	More to the point, sites 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 are prime spots. If the future for Dampier is more development, we can do better on those lots than a caravan park.	N	More to the point, sites 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 are prime spots. If the future for Dampier is more development, we can do better on those lots than a caravan park.	N	More to the point, sites 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 are prime spots. If the future for Dampier is more development, we can do better on those lots than a caravan park.	N	More to the point, sites 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 are prime spots. If the future for Dampier is more development, we can do better on those lots than a caravan park.	Y	6, and ideally with the road in coming from the Kaiser Marina Rd. That small residential road into Dampier and HHBSC is already crazy enough on a good boating day. Add caravans and you have chaos. More to the point, sites 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 are prime spots. If the future for Dampier is more development, we can do better on those lots than a caravan park.	N	More to the point, sites 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 are prime spots. If the future for Dampier is more development, we can do better on those lots than a caravan park.
26	Y		N		N		N		N		N		N	
27	N	Too small too industrial not most aesthetically pleasing part of town and difficult for grey nomads to walk into town easily	N	These views +hills should be kept for everyone to enjoy. Hard to park caravans up hill and angles too hard for some drivers	N	Could create too much traffic congestion on that corner and block entry to kids playground. Risky with foot traffic on corner	N	Keep this venue for it's regular/normal use as it is now	N	As above (site4) good public space already	Y	Great spot! Enough sites. Close enough to walk to town. Could create multilevel parking bays + views could be massive draw card esp. with amazing sunsets. Easy walk to hill rd sunset viewing platform. Good access to water. Easy walk to town facilities. Not infringing on locals.	N	potential conflict with local boaties and people using beach. Potential safety issues could be created in town. This area is always busy on weekend. Takes away beach parking
28	Y	Will not affect traffic though the main areas of town. A bit small could it be enlarged into surroundings sites?	N	The location and proximity to schools is a major concern for safety, traffic etc. That stretch of road is already congested on weekends and when they are events at Hampton Oval	N	The location and proximity to schools is a major concern for safety, traffic etc. That stretch of road is already congested on weekends and when they are events at Hampton Oval	N	we need the Oval for sporting/cultural/community events	Y	Not the best option, but at least it leaves some room for soccer, markets, movies, etc.	Y	Good location; however the size is an issue. Does it have to be so big? Will the town be able to support this?	Y	Would be good to activate that unused space; however traffic and the impact on nearby residential street should be taken into very careful consideration
29			Y	second choice or do both									Y	First choice. Great idea

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
30	Y	I feel as though the tourist park is better remained where situated currently, out of residential area												
31	Y	This park belongs is managed and leased by the DCA, the land belongs to Rio Tinto. If the City can negotiate with Rio to get all of the other sites then surely they could use their influence to convince Rio to expand this area/lease - to the rear of the park and/or over the road on the beach front????	N	Access would be a nightmare during peak tourist season! I can just imagine the back up to the school at drop off and pick up time. I can also see the foreshore carpark being used as a waiting area! One assumes that the park owner would be filling up vacant chalets (during off peak time) with FiFo workers - not something we want right next to a school and playground. I also struggle to see how the town sewage system will cope - has the City come up with some new plans to upgrade the current system???	N	See site 2 comment With the added bonus of only one road in and out of it!!!	N	See site 2 comment With the added bonus of only one road in and out of it!!! Not to mention the loss of an oval recreational area to the town!!! Really????? Locals would be struggling to get any quality use of the facilities at the pavilion and the playground during peak tourist season	N	See site 2 comment What are the plans for the fitness equipment? The tourists could have a difficult time coping with (not to mention getting in and out of the park via the same road into the carpark) our soccer games and practice not to mention the market days!?	Y	This would take considerable land preparation See site 2 comment The tourists could have a difficult time getting in and out of the park via the same road into the oval/pavilion carpark especially when we have our soccer games and practice not to mention the market days!?	Y	This would take considerable land preparation This one seems to allow for easy access One assumes that the park owner would be filling up vacant chalets (during off peak time) with FiFo workers - could result in an unwanted increase in unruly behaviour, particularly due to its close proximity to the pub and bottle shop Uneasy with the size that is pictured - maybe just the part in front of the "shopping centre"
32	Y	This site would be suitable for the size of Dampier. One of the main beauties about Dampier is that it is small, close nit & safe. having anything larger than this would ruin the Dampier Vibe.	N	Disagree with this size allotment. small town - small children... just because Dampier is beautiful it doesn't mean you have to destroy it will 100's of sites and too many visitors for the town to cater for	N	Bad idea to put any site next to a Primary School don't you think? Unacceptable	N	Having a family member paying rates in Dampier and several group of friends I feel this is a slap in face to the rate payers. don't take their oval	N	Having a family member paying rates in Dampier and several group of friends I feel this is a slap in face to the rate payers. don't take their oval	Y	YES BUT!! This one could be doable. But reduce the amount of sites. 202 is super excessive. Remember its a beautiful town - don't destroy it with a huge amount of people.	N	not next to residents - unacceptable

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
33	Y	I love this boutique style of site and the location. It is fit for purpose for Dampier and adds to the feel of our town and what we are wanting for our visitors. A revamp here would be great!	N	Jurat Park is a beautiful and utilised space for Dampier residents. It has been loudly voiced at all COK sessions that we wish to keep this as a nice shaded park for Dampier residents and visitors to access. Funding requests have also been put through to tidy up this space which have continued to be ignored and rejected. This site is also way to close to the school which has again been mentioned a number of times and the size is way too big for our town.	N	Absolutely not! This again has been rejected numerous times - we do not want this next to the Primary School or in the centre of town! The timing of this feels very off especially following the trauma of what we have just seen in Carnarvon with a missing child. This would be a disaster for our community.	N	This is a beautiful oval that is utilised for events, markets, the outdoor cinema and more! As a ratepayer, I don't agree that a previously ratepayer funded community playground be destined for a Caravan Park.	Y	Whilst this does cut into the oval, I believe the size and location is more acceptable than the other options (excluding option 1).	N	The size of this is ridiculous! I don't know why we would want to see a Caravan Park of this size in Dampier and taking up such prime location.	N	No way. This is too close to residential areas and as previously mentioned your timing is off given the trauma we have just seen from Carnarvon and a missing child. This is heartbreaking. We should not be placing any form of Caravan Park so close to residents or schools.
34													Y	
35	Y	I think this would be an EXCELLENT location for tourists. It would be great to see the park expanded to facilitate more tourists (80 to 100 sites). It's location means there would not be any traffic congestion in the centre of town, and the location is close to the water for tourists to enjoy, and a short walk to town.	N	We walk our dog through this park every week and we love that it is tranquil, shady and relaxing. I believe this would be best kept for the community to enjoy and it should be upgraded to a community park, with park boardwalks extending through to the ocean. Would be happy for the Council to look at upgrading Soak however, as the building is very run down.	N	We walk our dog through this park every week and we love that it is tranquil, shady and relaxing. I believe this would be best kept for the community to enjoy and it should be upgraded to a community park, with park boardwalks extending through to the ocean.	N	I am very surprised to see this option listed. It's quite ridiculous to propose converting a football field into a caravan park. This is taking away community activities to facilitate council commercial ventures and is a very poor option.	N	I am very surprised to see this option listed. It's quite ridiculous to propose converting a football field into a caravan park. This is taking away community activities to facilitate council commercial ventures and is a very poor option.	Y	This would be my second choice behind the existing transit park, but I am concerned about the size of 202 sites (with a family of 4 visiting, this equates to over 800 people at any time which is nearly the entire population of Dampier). It would be best at 80-100 site capacity maximum. It's out of the way, there would not be heavy traffic congestions and it's near the beach for the tourists to enjoy. However I am concerned that Council might ban dog walking on the beach as has happened in other towns that set up caravan parks adjacent to the beach (e.g.: Cervantes).	N	NO. I do not support this proposal and believe this is the worst option. There is already heavy traffic congestion down High street in the summer. Having a caravan park here would be a traffic disaster. The location is not suitable - it is too close to residents and would be a noise issue, a safety issue (lots of young children use the shark cage beach) and we think that this section of land should be used as a park for community enjoyment. I strongly object to this location. Many residents would also be upset about losing their ocean views.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
36	Y	Please be mindful and keep Dampier as a quiet village. With improvements to RTIO Transit Park it would tick many boxes views, walking distance to town a Quiet location and surely 24 sites is adequate.	N	Maybe this maybe an addition to the walking tracks and playground and beach ATM NO	N		N		N	Definitely no why take away a beautiful and well presented oval that offers many family friendly functions. Please keep the oval so definite NO	Y	Maybe so atm yes and no. Far to large offering up to 202 sites . with that many sites the influx of tourists during peak season will take away the village community atmosphere. Plus present infrastructure e.g. shops etc will not support the influx of visitors	N	Definite NO just look at the land proposed ATM - huge amount of landfill will be required. Not appropriate site already busy with traffic moving in and out of Mermaid Motel. shops plus Boarder Force. Will create too much congestion and noise for locals. Again please be mindful of the attraction to live and or visit Dampier. Quiet and safe great community connection.
37		I don't understand where this is. Isn't there a park here already	N	Terrible idea, leave our squash & tennis courts alone. Too close to the playground, palms & local spots	N	Terrible idea, leave our squash & tennis courts alone. Too close to the playground, palms & local spots	N	Terrible idea- would be a shame to loose this asset	N	What would be the point of only 30 sites	Y	Love this option! Untouched patch close to ocean and palms but not destructive of existing location/infrastructure	Y	Looks lovely, awesome views. Traffic Parking? Close to shops
38	N		N	ridiculous concept	N		N	Ridiculous this is such a community space	N		N		Y	Wonderful idea- reactivate and reinvigorate the centre of Town
39													Y	Best site I can see
40	Y	Away from the community keeping children safe + minimises traffic congestions, cost effective. A sized park which Dampier can handle. Near Penny Palms makes sense to keep there	N	Far too close to school and other public facilities that are used by children. Corner of esplanade +Church Road very dangerous. Community can't cope with large number of sites	N	Far too close to school and other public facilities that are used by children. Corner of esplanade +Church Road very dangerous. Community can't cope with large number of sites	N	Same as site 2 Hampton oval is a very well used public space building a caravan park on it would be taking a large part of Dampier away from the Dampier community	N	Same as site 3	N	Dampier community cannot cope with such a large caravan site it will create an unsafe community, including danger to children +traffic congestion. Compared to option 1 this is extremely costly to build and will take a lot longer to build	N	Same as above. This location is far too close to school and residential areas. Please don't ruin our lovely community with an unnecessary Caravan Park. We don't need it we don't want it
41	Y		N		N		N		N		Y		N	
42	Y		N		N		N		N		Y		N	
43	N		Y		N		N		N		N		Y	
44	N		Y		N		N		N		Y	Good for larger number	Y	Ideal site
45	Y	I like it where it is not right in town												
46	N	Too far from town centre	N	too close to school	N	too close to school	N	We need this for our town kids for sports and kite surf prep area	N	We need this for our town kids for sports and kite surf prep area	N	Not suitable too far from town centre we need to make it better for local businesses	Y	Away from school close to shops and mermaid for hopefully improve the shops for local residents

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
47	Y	I support this choice. Doing up the existing transit park is the way to go. I would also support Council to open an extension across the road for beach view chalets, or to expand behind this transit park to accommodate more sites. This caravan park is well situated. It is close enough to walk into town, but far enough away from residents that it will not damage the community feel of Dampier.	N	I often walk through this park to visit the Sailing Club and the beach. It's a lovely park and Council should invest in beautifying this park so it is similar to a botanic garden. It is home to kangaroos and birds. It's just lovely to visit. It is not appropriate for Council to remove this park and make way for a caravan park. This would damage the community feel of Dampier. It is also directly behind the primary school and I don't believe it's an appropriate location given the small kids that walk through this park to get home or go and play after school. There would also be too much traffic congestion given the road is single lane and on a corner, and next to the school. This location is NOT supported.	N	I often walk through this park to visit the Sailing Club and the beach. It's a lovely park and Council should invest in beautifying this park so it is similar to a botanic garden. It is home to kangaroos and birds. It's just lovely to visit. It is not appropriate for Council to remove this park and make way for a caravan park. This would damage the community feel of Dampier. It is also directly behind the primary school and I don't believe it's an appropriate location given the small kids that walk through this park to get home or go and play after school. There would also be too much traffic congestion given the road is single lane and on a corner, and next to the school. This location is NOT supported.	N	Again, this is opposite the primary school and BMX trail. Having a caravan park here is just not appropriate and would damage the community feel of Dampier. This oval is used regularly for sporting activities as well as community markets and fire work shows. It is not fair to all residents of Dampier/Karratha if this oval was taken away from the community at the expense of a commercial project such as a caravan park. This idea should have never been tabled for consideration.	N	Again, this is opposite the primary school and BMX trail. Having a caravan park here is just not appropriate and would damage the community feel of Dampier. This oval is used regularly for sporting activities as well as community markets and fire work shows. It is not fair to all residents of Dampier/Karratha if this oval was taken away from the community at the expense of a commercial project such as a caravan park. This idea should have never been tabled for consideration.	Y	This location is reasonable because it is away from existing residents. I would support this choice on the proviso that dog walking will still be allowed at the adjacent beach (Dampier is a dog loving community). My additional concern is the size of the caravan park. I think that 100 sites should be the maximum allowed. The town population is only 1100 people, so at 100 sites, this would introduce about 400 people into the town over the high season. Any more than this would change the community feel of Dampier.	Y	This is a TERRIBLE location for a caravan park. It is the worst choice of all the options. If council simply put boardwalks, trees and benches in here it would be used everyday. Instead pedestrians are restricted to the skinny sidewalk to walk down High Street to the beach. This section of land needs to assist the foot traffic to the beach by creating a park that is an extension of Jurat park/ a botanical garden. High street is Dampier's busiest road already due to the shopping centre. Introducing even more traffic here is a terrible idea. There should also be strong consideration for the many residents who overlook the ocean here, which would have their water views completely blocked by the construction of multiple caravan park cabins/ roofs. I think the Council need to remove this option from the list and consider turning this section of land into useful parkland for the residents to enjoy.
48			Y	SECOND PREFERRED – This location is prime and at present is an under utilised space. It is close to the foreshore amenities and with the topography will offer views and privacy in the Tourist Park. This development would tie well into the new foreshore and will effectively finish off the corner, which is currently not maintained and an eyesore. This would lead well into the remaining Masterplan.							Y	FIRST OPTION -This location provides separation from residential, has a great aspect over the bay with Beach frontage and access to ovals, foreshore and local shops. Added value is that It can be used in the summer months for parking for markets, concerts etc		

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
49											Y	<p>However, given it (#7) is so close to residential areas perhaps the more suitable option is #6. It's still very close to the beach, accessible to the shops and not too close to the school.</p> <p>Whatever the decision I'm sure it'll help boost tourism in our great little town.</p>	Y	<p>My name is , we own a residential property in Giles crescent and for the future of Dampier I would like to view my opinion on the new Tourist Park Site.</p> <p>Understandable everyone is entitled to their own opinion and can banter back and fourth about what is best, but at the end of the day it's majority.</p> <p>A lot of wasted space is along the esplanade, the car park has been vacant for years and I believe #7 is a really good spot for the new site given its so central, its close to the shops, pub, boat club, beach etc and for many oldies who own a motorhome and don't travel with additional cars / scooters with them and set their van up without having to move it every time they need supplies I believe this is a great location.</p>
50	Y	Option #1 a clear preference	N	<p>will change Dampier community for the negative in my opinion.</p> <p>Strong opinion that the park should close for cyclone season and not have permanent residents.</p>	N	<p>will change Dampier community for the negative in my opinion.</p> <p>Strong opinion that the park should close for cyclone season and not have permanent residents.</p>	N	<p>will change Dampier community for the negative in my opinion.</p> <p>Strong opinion that the park should close for cyclone season and not have permanent residents.</p>	Y	Of the others #6 or #5 would be workable	Y	Of the others #6 or #5 would be workable. Would prefer reduction in size of number 6.	N	

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
51	n		n		n		N		n		Y	No. 6 I'm leaving town because you are changing the town I was born and bred in to something it should never be. You will ruin the islands with this amount of tourists. So we are leaving as I can't watch it be destroyed. We will return for holidays as that is all this place will be good for. I have been told u are going to create a large park anyway regardless of what the community says so I believe it should be out of the way. Clap clap.	N	
52	Y	There is already an unused park in Karratha. Why build another.	N		N		N		N		N		N	
53	N		N		N		N		N		N		Y	This is a good site near the beach not far for people to walk and also near the shops and the mermaid if people want a meal.
54	Y	only if this site is controlled by DCA	N	too close to school. What will happen to seafarer, tennis courts etc. Will they be constructed before tourist park construction starts. Parking for locals at Hampton limited.	N	Too close to school as number 2	N	Too big and we need Oval for our kids sports and social functions, that all the town enjoy. Land availability uncertain. Sewerage system could not cope	N	as site #4	N	Far too big and land in doubt. Sewerage again	Y	This option would clean up the foreshore and would leave existing infrastructure i.e. tennis, squash, jurat park in place and town history would be retained. This plan could even be extended into jurat park and give tourist access to Hampton oval bowls etc. Local parking for beach access would need to be considered. Once again will sewerage cope? Tourist would also be in walking distance from boat club and island tours.
55	Y	If you are not going to build a new one in a location where the town does not lose something i.e. sports facilities then leave it here and focus on other priorities.	N	The Dampier Squash Club and tennis Club do not need or want to be relocated. Just help enhance the facilities.	N	As for site #2. Enhance the current sporting facilities squash/tennis/basketball/in door cricket/beach volleyball in this area instead of giving our club land to out of town caravaners.	N	Why are you taking away from the Dampier people and giving our key pockets of sports facilities to caravaners? No	N	Tennis club is used/important Squash club is use/ impotent Hampton Oval is used/ important . We have lost enough with our shopping centre!	Y	Perfect ! Something new, not smack bang in town but close enough! Great spot	N	Too close , way too close! Build the shops /mall we need here!

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
56	Y	<p>Having previously participated in community consultation regarding the Dampier Masterplan, we would like to reiterate that we object to the development of a Caravan Park in our town (in addition to what is already provided for by the Dampier Community Association).</p> <p>The reason for our objection is as follows: -We purchased property and chose to move to Dampier to start a family due to our assessment that: oDampier offers a safe, stable and friendly 'village style' atmosphere that is a welcome retreat, particularly after returning for working long shifts on the Peninsula, or otherwise just when returning from the hustle and bustle of Karratha; o Dampier has a low crime rate and population density whereby you can feel like you've escaped and not like you're in a city environment that you've worked hard to get away</p>	N	<p>In respect to all other sites proposed, we strongly object to them given their location, size, impact on traffic/road use, proximity to the primary school and/or impact on existing town facilities.</p> <p>As residents that would be seen on most days walking down to the beach from our house and enjoying the foreshore with our dog, we hope that you genuinely take our comments on board as part of your decision making and consider the comments of all residents that have been made throughout relevant consultation processes recently carried out knowing that some residents may only find the time and patience to give their feedback once and not multiple times over successive months. For those Councillors that do not live in Dampier, we particularly hope that when making a decision on this proposal that you heavily consider the thoughts and opinions of</p>	N	<p>In respect to all other sites proposed, we strongly object to them given their location, size, impact on traffic/road use, proximity to the primary school and/or impact on existing town facilities.</p>	N	<p>In respect to all other sites proposed, we strongly object to them given their location, size, impact on traffic/road use, proximity to the primary school and/or impact on existing town facilities.</p>	N	<p>In respect to all other sites proposed, we strongly object to them given their location, size, impact on traffic/road use, proximity to the primary school and/or impact on existing town facilities.</p>	Y	<p>Our next preferred option would be site 6, although we say this with a lot of hesitation as the size and location of the proposed development appears to be exorbitant and will likely have significant impacts on our ability as residents to enjoy the foreshore, off-lead dog area and the relaxed atmosphere that is currently on offer, particularly during the winter months (a time of year that us as residents look forward to as much as anyone else after slogging it out through a hot summer).</p>	N	<p>In respect to all other sites proposed, we strongly object to them given their location, size, impact on traffic/road use, proximity to the primary school and/or impact on existing town facilities.</p>

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment
	<p>worked hard to get away from;</p> <p>o It has adequate facilities that are able to support the existing residents without the need for further expansion. For example, before buying we considered the options of living both in Karratha and Dampier in terms of available facilities and what lifestyle we sought for our family. After weighing up the pros and cons of both, and because of our assessment of the facilities and lifestyle that Dampier offers now, we consciously chose to live in Dampier although we knew in doing so it would be of a significantly higher cost to us (both in terms of upfront and ongoing costs) and would undoubtedly mean more trips in and out of Karratha to access facilities and a wider array of goods and services.</p>		<p>thoughts and opinions of the Dampier residents whose daily lives and lifestyles will be effected by your decision.</p> <p>All in all, while we respect the need to consider economic development in our town, we feel that a Caravan Park, that would inadvertently have an irreversible and major effect on the laid-back and safe feel of the town, is not the answer.</p>										

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support ed	Comment	Suppo rted	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Suppo rted	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Suppo rted	Comment	Support ed	Comment
	<p>of goods and services, and</p> <p>o For our future family (we are expecting our first child in February) we wanted to give them a place to grow up that was safe, where they can ride to their friends houses and visit the beaches and local shops without needing to worry about significant traffic and a high number of unknown people in town.</p> <p>Ultimately we chose to change from FIFO and return to being residents of Dampier because it is not a tourist town, and rather offers us a low-key lifestyle close to where we work and where we can raise our family.</p> <p>Given the consultation that has happened to date, we get the strong impression that despite the voices of Dampier residents, Council still appears to be pushing for the development of a Caravan Park</p>												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment
	Should Council wish to go down this path, our first preference would be site 1, due to its location, suggested size and the limited impact that this will have on the Dampier residents ability to enjoy our town (this is on the basis that adequate consultation occurs and an agreement be reached with the Dampier Community Association to avoid impacts on their income stream achieved through current Caravan Park arrangements).												
57	N Not in favour at all. Reason is that it is way too small, and we witness thousands of caravans and tourists drive through and leave Dampier every year. Hundreds a day in winter. They simply have nowhere to go!	Y	This is a great concept that utilises otherwise wasted land. It is a decent size. It will not effect the school at all. That concern from some is unwarranted. When the Point Sampson caravan park is full, you barely even notice them. The grey nomads and families are the perfect tourists. Spending money in the local economies with out too	N	Same answer as No 1. Not in favour at all. Reason is that it is way too small, and we witness thousands of caravans and tourists drive through and leave Dampier every year. Hundreds a day in winter. They simply have nowhere to go!	Y	This is a great idea, the oval is hardly used. Very easy to build in that area. I genuinely don't think it is big enough for Dampier's longer term future.	N	Same reasons as No 1 and 3. Not in favour at all. Reason is that it is way too small, and we witness thousands of caravans and tourists drive through and leave Dampier every year. Hundreds a day in winter. They simply have nowhere to go!	Y	Brilliant. This scale will breathe life in to an aging town for 100 years to come. This is what Dampier desperately needs. It ticks all the boxes. The only way the Dampier shopping precinct development will ever work is if this goes ahead combined with 500 permanent work force at peninsula palms Rio camp. Tourism operators	Y	Great concept. The land there is perfectly located for this purpose. Allowing people to walk to all major facilities with ease. This site would transform the entrance and feel of Dampier. Activating a town centre. Two concerns I see is; I think the split of chalets to caravan sites needs to be somewhere in the vicinity of 20/80. I say this because the vast

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment	Support	Comment
			much clean up and policing required. Third best overall outcome is site no 2.								need big numbers to float businesses, and this is the only site that meets that requirement. My preference and our business preference from these plans are: Best overall outcome is site no 6.		majority of tourists are self-drive with vans and campers. Also because from our 18 years' experience in Karratha and Dampier, 95% of business travellers stay in the Karratha city centre. 64 caravan sites and 15 chalets would be a better split looking at the travellers I see coming to the regions. The second concern is along the lines of my previous points. I think this is too small for Dampier's longer term future. Second best overall outcome is site no 7. The shark cage would need a tidal pool to finish of site no 7.
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Additional Comments:</u> 1:1 meeting. Context comment</p> <p>. Our family run company has owned and operated small businesses for 37 years, I have grown up in them across 10 different towns and helped run them my whole life. I Myself came to Dampier during the last bust cycle in February 2003. We bought what was then the Mercure in hotel. It was just one of dozens of Mercure inns around Australia. It was the 8th hotel motel we had owned over our journey. We Did really well on that deal because the few years previous to us buying the business was very tough, and it was operating at losses running well in to the hundreds of thousands of dollars annually. This was with a population well over what is currently in Dampier. We did a strict market assessment before buying a business in Dampier. On every relevant factor including the population, plus the camp numbers that resided in the town. We changed the name of the hotel back to the Mermaid and did a 200k renovation and the mining investment started to pick up at the same time. Now we have worked extremely hard and done really well since. As soon as Rio Tinto shut down it's mining camp, peninsula palms located in Dampier that could hold up to 700 workers. Dozens of businesses went broke almost overnight. We did not register a profit for 4 years, 2015 to 2018. And we tried everything. Every year no matter what we do, ours and every other hospitality business in Dampier become financially non-viable from about the 15th December to the end of March. This has been the same since the camps shut down. The population went from over 2000ppl in 2006 to about 1100pple in 2015. No one in the country had a population decline like that! There is simply not enough people in the town to support Small businesses. The Mermaid has three bars and only uses one because it is not busy enough consistently to staff them and open them. I myself have one wonderful 8 year old daughter. My brother and father live in Dampier with there wives.</p> <p>Food for thought. Site no 2 and 7 would make an amazing very large park. Site no 2, 7, and 6 would all be fantastic residential offerings as well. Site no 6 could be turned in to Exmouth style canal homes. With man-made canals coming in to say 3 or 4 two sided canal streets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Also a tidal pool should be apart of site no 6 and 4. To enable swimming at low tides for kids and families.</p>													
58	Y	would be great to leave it where it is as it has no impact to the residents of Dampier and crowding our already crowded amenities and beaches. If you want more caravan parks maybe get the one on Bayview road re opened as its already there											

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
59	Y		N		N		N		N		N		N	
60											Y			
61											Y			
62											Y			
63											Y	I'd like to submit my comments on the Dampier Caravan Park options currently under community consultation. I think it's a wonderful idea and would love to see option 6 implemented.		
64											Y			
65											Y			
66	N		N		N		N		N		Y	Option number 6 only. Anything else will take away facilities and areas that have already been established by the community to host amazing events, including the Dampier foreshore markets.	N	
67													Y	Hello. My name is Hayley O'Connor. 14 Stuart cres Dampier. I was just wanting to give my feedback as to which site would be best for our community. Site number 7 would be good for our town and tourists. Perfect location.
68											Y			
69	Y	I hope that within the tourist park the bookable sites will be short term stays and not long term.	N	I strongly oppose 2,3,4,5 as fantastic amenities will be taken away from the community (squash court, tennis court, soccer oval, soak cafe).	N	I strongly oppose 2,3,4,5 as fantastic amenities will be taken away from the community (squash court, tennis court, soccer oval, soak cafe).	N	I strongly oppose 2,3,4,5 as fantastic amenities will be taken away from the community (squash court, tennis court, soccer oval, soak cafe).	N	I strongly oppose 2,3,4,5 as fantastic amenities will be taken away from the community (squash court, tennis court, soccer oval, soak cafe).	Y	I hope that within the tourist park the bookable sites will be short term stays and not long term.	N	I strongly oppose 2,3,4,5 as fantastic amenities will be taken away from the community (squash court, tennis court, soccer oval, soak cafe).

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
70			N		N		N				Y	I believe site 6 & 7 are more favourable options. I understand progression for the good of the community is important so that we can tap into the towns potential for residents and visitors alike, which is why I'm not disagreeing entirely but would prefer away from where our children play freely which is what makes Dampier so unique and safe. Site 6 has a great beach front that is untapped, it gets my vote.	Y	SECOND CHOICE
71	Y	I am just emailing in to share my support for the option of building on the existing transit park as in "option 1". I think it addresses many of the concerns had by many of us residents in Dampier. Some of which were proximity to school, traffic on main road (access through Central ave) and size of park.												
72			N	I DO NOT want this next to the primary school (jurat park or tennis squash courts. for the following reason: proximity to young children for sexual predators	N	I DO NOT want this next to the primary school (jurat park or tennis squash courts. for the following reason: proximity to young children for sexual predators					Y	Location 6. This would also be a great location and the bonus of more sites.	Y	Location 7 would be the best location as this has close proximity to the shops and pub and currently is not utilised. It also has close access to the shark cage and great ocean views.
73									Y	As a resident of Blackwood Crescent Dampier I would like to provide my feedback and support for Site 5 as outlined in the Masterplan.				

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
74	Y	From my perspective, site 1 would have the most social benefits for the town itself. Dampier has long been a town free from fear as children have the special ability to play and run around the streets of Dampier due to the quieter streets which locals are well aware of (often most have been the children there, themselves). This is why the tourist park should focus on increasing the duration of stay rather than amount of tourists travelling which will reduce the amount of cars, thus providing a safer environment for the residents of Dampier as well as reducing the forthcoming greenhouse gas emissions produced by the increased number of vehicles and tourists if the council were to choose a site which allowed for greater expansion such as site 6. Pre built infrastructure from the original caravan park would aid financially as less money would have to be invested and longer stays will account for increase financial profit for the town. Tourists would also be able to view the stunning Dampier coast line with vast iron ore ships being an essential view from Dampier as mining is the biggest source of income in this region									Y	Site 6 would also be a well received option as it would allow a beautiful part of the Dampier shoreline to be utilised by both tourists and residents which would increase the financial profit made by the caravan park as well as secondary hospitality businesses however, this may not be the most sustainable option as it would have the highest environmental impact and may not benefit the community socially due to the increased number of visitors which is most likely to overwhelm the town. Pre existing goods and services have to be taken into consideration such as the IGA. The supermarket is conveniently placed in the town but is often lacking in their goods, this may lead to frustration of residents if an increased number of travellers are using the supermarkets. Simple items such as bread and milk may not be available for locals when needed due to a lack of stock for both parties. Although this may benefit economically, it would most likely have a highly negative social and environmental impact. Further town planning and infrastructure is needed in order for site 6 to be implemented which is why site 1 would be the easiest and most effective for Dampier.		

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
75	Y		N		N		N		N		Y	My name is I live in Dampier and have resided here for nearly 20 years . My children are happily growing up here and will hopefully live here for a long time to come. I would like to express my support for tourist park plan 6 , the esplanade. That would enhance Dampier without disrupting the existing fabric that makes Dampier unique. Option 7 would be my second choice followed by 1. I would be seriously disappointed with the other options and believe I'm not alone in these feelings.	Y	
76	Y	We would like to please put our input in for the proposed caravan park. We love Hampton oval and along with our dog would be devastated if that area was turned into a caravan park. We would much prefer site options 1 and 6 and they keep the natural beauty of the bush at the Esplanade and preserve the vibe of the town.					N		N		Y			
77	N		N		N		N		N		Y	First preference - great location, expansion of the foreshore. Avoids congestion in town and also away from the primary school. Great access to beach and close to town facilities.	Y	Second preference - very central and cleans up this section of town. Would introduce some element of congestion with the public due to the shark-cage beach.
78	Y	the existing caravan park – good location but needs to be expanded/larger	N	too close to existing businesses, town centre, and Residential homes. Would cause too much congestion.	N		N		N		Y	Out of the way, but still close enough to beach, town and walking distance to everything.	N	

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
79	Y	Current location is good as we don't have heavy vehicles going through the town site	N	A shady green zone would be removed and heavy traffic would come straight past the primary school	N	A popular community facility would be removed and heavy traffic would come straight past the primary school	N	A popular community facility would be removed and heavy traffic would come straight past the primary school	N	A popular community facility would be removed and heavy traffic would come straight past the primary school	N	Too large for a small town and so many heavy vehicles would transit through town including the primary school	N	Local home owners would be very close to this site and heavy traffic would be a burden in this area
80	Y	This site would be good and running the site more year round would see more tourism numbers into the town. The site has good access, also has good access to the beach. Area will also have additional amenities in the form of Peninsula Palms on its completion. All sites should not allow long term accommodation similar to the transit park, the area and transit park is under utilised currently.	N	Strongly oppose. Having a transient population directly next to a primary school is asking for child abuse issues, with the recent Chloe Smith case directly what the community was concerned about with the location of a caravan park directly next to a school. 1 case of child exploitation is too many. This also ruins the amenity of the current local business, being a quiet location for the community to enjoy the views and a meal - a location and view which is iconic to Dampier. Concerns regarding the welfare of the seafarers centre & the service that it provides - Concerns that many seafarers are not well understood within the broader community (outside of Dampier locals) and are subject to high levels of racial abuse for the broader community. A caravan park would likely lead to higher levels of racial abuse to these seafarers. Loss of significant green	N	Strongly oppose. Having a transient population directly opposite a primary school is asking for child abuse issues, with the recent Chloe Smith case directly what the community was concerned about with the location of a caravan park directly next to a school. 1 case of child exploitation is too many. This also ruins the amenity of the current local business, being a quiet location for the community to enjoy the views and a meal - a location and view which is iconic to Dampier. Concerns regarding the welfare of the seafarers centre & the service that it provides - Concerns that many seafarers are not well understood within the broader community (outside of Dampier locals) and are subject to high levels of racial abuse from the broader community. A caravan park would likely lead to higher levels of racial abuse to these seafarers. No long term	N	Strongly oppose. Hampton oval is the only area to undertake exercise/ recreation when Windy Ridge is being utilised. It is well utilized by the community outside of more formal organised activities. This will be a significant loss of amenity for the community. Needs to retain the dog off lead areas and dog beach in this area. Losing one of the only green spaces in town is poor urban planning for promoting a healthy lifestyle and utilizing outdoor areas. Not everyone wants to join a gym or the football club/organised sport.	N	Strongly oppose. Hampton oval is the only area to undertake exercise/ recreation when Windy Ridge is being utilized. This will be a significant loss of amenity for the community. Needs to retain the dog off lead areas and dog beach in this area as it is the only off lead dog recreation beach section in town. No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites Losing one of the only green spaces in town is poor urban planning for promoting a healthy lifestyle and utilizing outdoor areas. Not everyone wants to join a gym or the football club/organised sport.	N	The park is too large and it will be empty for 6-9 months of the year. The site is still too close to the school, however at least there is some segregation and current assets and amenity of areas are maintained. Needs to retain the dog off lead areas and dog beach in this area as it is the only off lead dog recreation beach section in town. Will lead to significant rubbish along the beach section. Will lead to significantly more traffic on the Esplanade road as Shops are too far for people to walk. Being able to walk everywhere is one of the great appeals of the Dampier town and it would lose its vibe if kids are no longer able to ride or walk everywhere due to the volume of traffic from a large sized caravan park. Will not lead to the increased use of the Shopping centre. If a large park is going into Dampier then this will be	Y	This is a suitable size caravan park for Dampier - it is close to existing infrastructure (shark cage for swimming, toilet block and swimming shelters) and is also close to the foreshore. Close to the existing infrastructure - shopping centre - to make shops more viable in this area. The site would be considered infill development, so would have low environmental impact. From a coastal erosion point of view the site is protected by the existing road. This site would encourage tourists to walk around town instead of driving as it is central to all amenities. Being able to walk everywhere is one of the great appeals of the Dampier town. It is also segregated away from the school area. Site requires a wider buffer to existing houses though along High Street. No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment
			Loss of significant green habitat. The concept image is not representative of the large amount of earthworks that would be required, which would more than likely result in large retaining walls. No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites Too many traffic entry's and exits on such a small section of road, likely to lead to increased number of accidents with people towing boats and caravans.		No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites Too many traffic entry's and exits on such a small section of road, likely to lead to increased number of accidents with people towing boats and caravans.						Dampier then this will be the likely only location - although this site will have the biggest environmental .impact Site will likely require significant coastal protection, similar to the wall at the Palms which will destroy the only sandy foreshore and dunal habitats within the area and lead to enhanced erosion of the beaches during storm events. What would be done to offset the environmental impacts? There are also significant aboriginal heritage sites throughout this area.		
81										Y	I would like to submit my preferred option as Site 6 for the above proposal. It offers a good alternative of a site that is currently not developed and under-utilised. Thanks for the opportunity to contribute feedback.		
82	Y Hi there, Can I please express my opinion for the caravan park, Dampier. After being a resident for the last 22 years, my preference would be for RIOTINTO site 1. I do not believe the town needs to have a huge caravan park and don't believe it would benefit the town at all for the residents. I believe the other areas could be redeveloped to benefit the residents, not the tourists. Appreciate the opportunity to comment.												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
83	Y	Prime location, expand into Brida space or across road under trees in Rio's training area/carpark	N	Too close to school, traffic issues when full. Sewage and water capacity issues vacant 4-6 months of the year	N	Leave Tennis and squash courts where they are, upgrade existing if needed. Too close to school!	N	Would be a great shame to lose such a great available communal space	Y	Blended with option 6	Y	still has water sewage set u issues, but potentially would have the least long term effects for the Dampier local community	N	too close to residential housing! Water +sewage issues. Ground level too low would have to rise by 4.8 m would change a family safe beach into one with potential predators residing close by.
84							Y	My vote is for option 4. Reason is that I believe Dampier is this peaceful little town due to its small community and the smallest park will effect this the least. And it is central location would effect the traffic in town the least.						
85	N	I feel like for the Dampier town to maintain amenities we need to have a larger intake of tourists. This park does not offer us this. Too small	N	Location on foreshore frontage is too large. Concerns for traffic management; this area is quite busy on a weekend; adding extra infrastructure to an already busy area raises concerns for safety. Size ok. Concerns over parking for Hampton Harbour	Y	Semi support this option. Location is ok assuming there is adequate management of traffic. Not thrilled and much better options are available at Hampton Oval. But unused land, location ok. Does not constrict too much the esplanade. Close to coffee shops, great views.	Y	Support all Hampton Oval options. Would prefer oval to stay but would much prefer to lose oval if this is most likely option over the other options (other then below 2 at Hampton oval) Size is great, on the beach so tourists will be very happy with location and close proximity to restaurants and shopping complex. oval will not be used as much once new facilities at Windy Ridge erected. Cloe to town, great views +close to foreshore amenities.	Y	Beach front location that tourists will be very happy with, close to foreshore park and amenities, close proximity to restaurants and shopping complex. Would be happy with up to 100 bays.	Y	Happy with this option but is far too big. Half this size would be more acceptable. It was around this area that the first ever caravan park was for Dampier back in the 60's I am led to believe. This beach is wonderful and by far the best location.	N	Do not support this proposal at all as a resident/home owner of Durack Crescent. This area is very popular with locals and would create serious congestion and safety issues. The foreshore is full of locals on a weekend and this area is used for parking cars and boat trailers on a weekend. It would be a logistical nightmare. The area would end up very busy. I feel this would be much better suited to revamping for ALL to use with a nice grass area or parking upgraded. Residents on Minilya & Maitland would possibly lose ocean views devaluing property.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
86	Y	Already works with minimal disruption to town. Please redevelop existing caravan park location 1 . A good location, great access and turn around ability's good views and beach access, plenty of space behind and to the side of current park to expand.	N	Too close to primary school!	N	Interrupts existing community facilities.	N	Oval is a community sporting facility and direct access to the beach.	N	Too close to community sporting facility.	Y	Minimal disruption to town.	Y	Traffic congestion to town/community facilities.
87											Y	The proposed number 6 is a definite go ahead for me. Its close enough to everything in Dampier but also tucked off to one side, still a beautiful view, not bothering locals of the town, lots of space to accommodate all facilities you will need.		
88											Y	I vote for option 6 for the new caravan park location		
89	Y		N		N		N		Y		Y	But at 1/3 of the size, there is no need for 200+sites.	N	
90	Y	As a rate paying resident of Dampier I would like to submit my preference for the location of a caravan park. I am happy with option one and the facilities and park upgraded.									Y	The other option is option 6. This site allows visitors to have access to a great location. It won't clog up the traffic on the main road near the tennis courts and is located away from the primary school.		
91	Y	I wish to submit my preference for #6, or any of the others except for #2 and #3 as they are too close to the school.	N		N		Y	I wish to submit my preference for #6, or any of the others except for #2 and #3 as they are too close to the school.	Y	I wish to submit my preference for #6, or any of the others except for #2 and #3 as they are too close to the school.	Y	I wish to submit my preference for #6, or any of the others except for #2 and #3 as they are too close to the school.	Y	I wish to submit my preference for #6, or any of the others except for #2 and #3 as they are too close to the school.
92	y	I am emailing to share my support for the option of building a caravan park at site 1. To me this site is far enough away from residential homes and the school but still close enough for the visitors to enjoy our town.												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
93	N	Too far from town, more financial benefit will be gained by the local businesses if the park is closer to all facilities	Y	Centre to town and the benefit for the surrounding business to benefit from the tourism	N				N	oval is utilised by the town and the children not an option	N	our beach is not the place for this park, it is natural and pristine and brings people from Karratha and surrounds because of this. Put a park here and there will be noise, people, waste and traffic in an area that should be serene	Y	Possible potential
94	Y	Positive: Existing location is nice and close to the beach. People know where it is Negative: It's a little out of town for local business to capture full financial capacity Transit park is limited in duration of stay, also it's too small	N	Positives: Location close to the beach and utilizing a site that's not reaching its potential. Negative: Large park, not sure if it can be sustained as a caravan park without long term accommodation options which I DO NOT SUPPORT Far too close to our school and kids	N	Positives: I like the size of this proposal Negatives: Location is very close to the school Very busy corner, would need to look at the road layout as it's madness on a busy market evening getting in our out of there.	N	Positives: Great location, close to the beach and not far from the shops, bowls club, boat club and soak. Negatives: Takes over the whole oval which is a space that is used for a lot of community events. with the expansion and upgrade of the sports precinct and that being the only big oval/space it will make it hard to have big community events when trying to compete with all of the sports which will already be competing for space.	Y	Positives: Great location, close to the beach and not far from the shops, bowls club, boat club and soak. allows for half of the oval (the half that gets most use) to be utilized for community events and activities. Negatives: Access might be a challenge potentially impacts park run	N	I do not support this proposal, it's far too big for a small town like Dampier to sustain. We do not have the infrastructure to support a park this size.	Y	Positives: great location close to local businesses and hopefully support more businesses opening. Negatives: Need the infrastructure to support this like speed bumps on the road and pedestrian crossings etc... beach management and clean up should be a criteria for those operating the park as I think that the additional use will increase rubbish and potentially anti social behaviour. Is there a plan to have our police station reopened.
95	Y	I'd like to show my Interest in the options for the caravan park, Dampier. My preference would be for RIO site 1.												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
96			N	<p>As land owners in Dampier we are writing to provide our feedback to the draft options provided for the Dampier Tourist Park.</p> <p>We are strongly opposed to options 2 and 3 due to their close proximity to the school in which our children attend, Dampier Primary.</p> <p>Being caravan owners ourselves, these sites also raise concerns for us regarding their access point being such on a corner and over a hill which may also be visually impacted by the sunset.</p>	N	<p>As land owners in Dampier we are writing to provide our feedback to the draft options provided for the Dampier Tourist Park.</p> <p>We are strongly opposed to options 2 and 3 due to their close proximity to the school in which our children attend, Dampier Primary.</p> <p>Being caravan owners ourselves, these sites also raise concerns for us regarding their access point being such on a corner and over a hill which may also be visually impacted by the sunset.</p>								
97	Y	I'd like to register my interest for RIO site 1.												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
98	Y	<p>This site makes sense as there is already infrastructure and the possibility extension in future years if needed can be negotiated with RTIO. Prior to Covid, this site was more than enough and not always full, once the state/country opens up to international travel, the tourism will return to previous numbers and this site will be enough with the few more sites planned. Perhaps the addition of an overflow park at Hampton Oval, on the premise that visitors have own ablutions if there are more needed during peak.</p> <p>Great views, great position as not impacting on town residents, no traffic considerations needed. This site is within walking distance of town site but not claiming prime property that our residents would rather have developed for community use.</p> <p>This site is already operated by the DCA with proceeds returning to the community, this is a major draw card for residents as they are directly benefiting from having a influx of visitors in own town as the income goes back into the community.</p>	N	<p>This site is the one that was strongly objected to by the residents of Dampier for many obvious reasons, mainly location and size. More than 200 residents provided feedback and objected to this, almost 3/4 of the adult population of Dampier, on many occasions and still feel as strong given the revised option.</p> <p>It is positioned between our primary school and a busy children's playground, given the recent abduction of Cleo Smith, I do not feel that this is suitable or should even be entertained. I value the town's safety and the ability for our children to ride and walk to school and the playground safely. I can not see that having a Tourist Park positioned here will be a wise decision given to what has occurred in certain caravan parks in Karratha.</p> <p>Traffic congestion remains an issue, even though in the recent TBB FAQ it was stated that it can be managed. TBB are not residents and do not see the congestion both during the week and on weekends due to the park, yacht club, bowling club, Hampton Oval and regular traffic.</p> <p>The squash club do not wish to be relocated, just upgraded.</p> <p>Jurat Park has significant heritage for Dampier residents. The destruction of this park would cause concern for many older residents and is a place of quiet for many parents to take their children when the foreshore playground is at capacity, as it is most weekends and school</p>	N	<p>This site is also included in the one that was strongly objected to by the residents of Dampier for many obvious reasons. More than 200 residents provided feedback and objected to this, almost 3/4 of the adult population of Dampier. It is positioned between our primary school and a busy children's playground, given the recent abduction of Cleo Smith, I do not feel that this is suitable.</p> <p>Destruction of Jurat Park, see above.</p> <p>Congestion of traffic, see above</p> <p>Relocation of the squash and tennis courts when they do not wish to be moved, merely updated.</p>	N	<p>This site is not totally unsupported. I do feel that this is one of the better sites, perhaps smaller, 50 sites, this would increase yield and so as not to significantly impact residents. It has been stated that Dampier will not be provided with more park and playgrounds, even with a planned Tourist Park, I have difficulty understanding where the visitors, residents, both Karratha and Dampier will actually go to enjoy the beaches, playgrounds and park. Great location, within walking distance to shopping centre and other facilities.</p> <p>This is utilising the southern beach, not congesting the already popular beaches that are also crowded during weekends and school holidays. See below</p> <p>The use of the whole oval is a big negative. The oval is home to markets, concerts and festivals, these remain very important to the town and the Pilbara residents.</p>	Y	<p>This site has many positives.</p> <p>If the BMX pump track was moved to Jurat Park, or in that vicinity, an additional 18 sites could be provided, totalling 50. This would yield better, however it would keep the influx of visitors to Dampier at a minimum, this is a major concern of our residents.</p> <p>It has excellent views, walking distance to all amenities, use of the southern beach that is often underutilised.</p> <p>This option leaves plenty of room for our concerts, markets etc which are important to the community of Dampier and to retain the spirit.</p> <p>This allows local paddle boarders and kite surfers to continue use of the oval.</p>	Y	<p>Not as large though. Maximum 120 sites. This size will attract at 240 – 400 visitors to the town, given that the population of Dampier is roughly 1200, that is a fair influx when considering the town is also already enjoyed by the residents of Karratha and beyond on most week days and weekends. Any larger than this poses huge issues in terms of beach access, playground capacity and WWTP. Our small town will not cope with any greater influx and it will detract from living here. This is one of my major concerns.</p> <p>To address flooding and tidal movements, the park could be set back from the water's edge and be grassed. This would allow for local businesses and paddle boarding and kite surfing to set up, as they use the southern beach.</p> <p>An additional draw card for visitors.</p> <p>Great location in terms of ease of walking distance to facilities and planned restaurants etc, but far enough away for no impact to residential properties and influx of visitors.</p> <p>Visitors will have use of Hampton oval for recreational purposes.</p> <p>Access to the southern beaches, this avoids the congestion of already busy beaches closer to town centre.</p> <p>The TBB FAQ sheet states significant Heritage considerations. If this is said to be true of this site, then it is true of the whole of Dampier. There are no more heritage considerations here, the site was previously used as horse stables.</p>	N	<p>The location of this site is opposed due to impact of residential properties in the area. I personally do not live in this area, however have spoken to those who do and feel that this would be a disadvantage.</p> <p>The location is too congested, in front of a popular beach, on a busy corner and road and traffic would be a negative factor.</p> <p>Too close to an already congested beach.</p> <p>Eye sore as in prime location, residents I have spoken to would prefer the use of this land to be community orientated.</p>

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites			Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Support ed	Comment		Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment
				holidays. This too poses a problem for this site, placing a tourist park across the road from an already consistently full playground begs to invite overload and is unfair for residents of Dampier and Karratha who frequent this. It has been stated that Dampier will not be provided with more park and playgrounds, even with a planned Tourist Park, I have difficulty understanding where the visitors, residents, both Karratha ad Dampier will actually go to enjoy the beaches, playgrounds and park. This option is strongly objected to.										
<div>Additional Comments:</div> <div>Thank you for the opportunity to feedback to the City of Karratha regarding major changes to our unique town. The town on many occasions have expressed their opposition to the development of a Tourist Park. Our small, quiet town has a population of around 1200, with the average age being 7. The development of a large scale (over 100) site Tourist Park potentially brings many issues. A park with a capacity of 200 plus sites invites over 400, potentially and more likely 800 visitors to our small town that is already bursting at capacity recreationally (parks, beaches and playgrounds) during the week, weekends and holidays with just the residents of Karratha and Dampier. It simply can not cope with an additional influx of people. Not to mention our precious islands and fish that will be subject to overfishing and destruction. These are major issues that should be addressed before a Tourist Park is considered. Karratha has more than enough capacity, especially when the state and country open to domestic and international travel again. It will once again become the small mining community, with Karratha our hub. The provision of chalets and villas also invites the FIFO visitors to our town. Yes, it has been said that in no way would large mining companies be allowed to use as permanent accommodation, but this will not stop smaller business flying up workers and accommodating them short term in these Tourist Parks, especially in the low period. This is a major concern to me and others I have spoken to. Any parks that were to be considered should have a short term occupation. Saying all that, I am not unopposed to progress and change, as long as our town is kept quiet, small and safe. I support the development of a small, less than 70 site, or the upgrade of our existing park, this being sites 1, 5 and 6 (if smaller).</div>														
99	Y		N		Y		N		N		N		Y	
##	N	too small. waste of funding for little infrastructure	Y	Jurat Park is under utilised. The need is to push Rio Tinto to relocate the waste treatment plant as the first priority as this would allow more sites and then able to leave the tennis and squash courts in situ	N	Loss of tennis and squash court and is too small	N	Should not lose a fantastic oval for a caravan park where there are alternative location. 100% not supported	N	Loss of 1/2 a sports ground is not acceptable. should not be considered	Y	Great location and would be a draw card for tourist with direct access to beach. Also will increase tourism numbers and create jog opportunities with Dampier/ Karratha. Most favourable location for growth for Dampier	N	Area should be used for shops or tourist centre not a caravan park. Need for infrastructure in and around the mermaid/ shops which will increase the tourism numbers

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y										Y	<p>I want to provide some feedback in regards to the Dampier Tourism Park.</p> <p>I think option 6 is the best option, it's closer to the beach for amazing views, it's next to the oval where the tenants are welcome to have walks. It's close to the Yacht club and Soak for meals and tenants can walk to the Hub and shops.</p> <p>Although, a better option would be to expand the current caravan park instead of having to spend so much in constructing a new caravan park from scratch, but option 6 is in my opinion the best layout.</p>		
##	Y	<p>We have already had our say on the park but it seems you don't agree. Once again, the park should remain where it is .</p> <p>Do we have to spend more money on surveys until you get the results the council wants. Leave us alone, we don't want the problems Exmouth or Broome are experiencing.</p>												

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

##	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
	Y	If I had to pick a site, it would be site 1. It would be great to see a cafe close by for patrons and locals to enjoy on that side of town.	N	AGAINST - sites 2 and 3. Even though this seems to be the better option from COK's point of view, and location wise it is ideal. But with a child already in Pre primary and another starting kindly next year at the primary school, having a large tourist park situated in between the school and a popular playground is not the best, not just for their safety- but for increased local traffic becoming more of a danger on the Church road corner. Especially after the Cleo Smith incident, do we really want to take that risk of a potential abduction or paedophiles/perverts watching our kids? Absolutely not. Sorry, had to say it - as a parent I'm not the only one who feels like this. I would prefer to see Jurat park preserved and possibly have a little face lift, it's such a nice spot for the community to enjoy.	N	AGAINST - sites 2 and 3. Even though this seems to be the better option from COK's point of view, and location wise it is ideal. But with a child already in Pre primary and another starting kindly next year at the primary school, having a large tourist park situated in between the school and a popular playground is not the best, not just for their safety- but for increased local traffic becoming more of a danger on the Church road corner. Especially after the Cleo Smith incident, do we really want to take that risk of a potential abduction or paedophiles/perverts watching our kids? Absolutely not. Sorry, had to say it - as a parent I'm not the only one who feels like this. I would prefer to see Jurat park preserved and possibly have a little face lift, it's such a nice spot for the community to enjoy.	N		N		Y	My next preferred option would be site 6. Although it is the largest in scale and probably doesn't need to be that big - if you were wanting to match Point Sampson's park capacity, 110 seems more than enough... 202 sites just seems extreme. Compared to all other options, I feel that people coming to visit our lovely town can enjoy what Dampier has to offer, while not being at such close proximity to the primary school, residential areas (site 7) and main traffic route... and we get to keep Hampton Oval (sites 4 & 5)! Dampier is a little town and everything is walking/riding distance... if people complain about how far it is to the shops and cafes from site 6, well, that's just a bit silly.	N	
<p style="text-align: center;">Additional Comments:</p> <p>I love Dampier and it's laid back community, and the safety it offers, where we know our neighbours and locals around town. I enjoy riding to the park or beach as a family and seeing people we know out and about too. I think a large tourist park could potentially take that close knit vibe and security away.</p> <p>It's a great opportunity for Dampier to get a potential upgraded tourist park as part of COK's "master plan" for the community. I hope that everyone's feedback is taken into serious consideration, and that the right choice will be made for the growing community and its future.</p>														

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##									Y	<p>I think 5 and 6 are the better options. Utilises the land sufficiently, keeps part of the oval for kids to play on and is situated amongst the newer part of town with the play ground and foreshore development</p> <p>Between the boat club, cafe and new playground I believe this area is much nicer and more appropriate for such a development.</p> <p>I do have a concern, in order for this development to be successful the facilities in Dampier will need to be updated. Have you approached to Mermaid on potentially becoming more family friendly and also do you have any plans for updating the Dampier shopping centre?</p> <p>I am aware that the need for a full Woolworth like we once had is probably not there anymore but if you expect a larger</p>	Y	<p>I think 5 and 6 are the better options. Utilises the land sufficiently, keeps part of the oval for kids to play on and is situated amongst the newer part of town with the play ground and foreshore development</p> <p>Between the boat club, cafe and new playground I believe this area is much nicer and more appropriate for such a development.</p>	N	<p>I have been a Dampier resident for over 30 years and have no intentions on moving any time soon.</p> <p>I live in Maitland court and have just had my first child, I am strongly against number 7!! Especially regarding the issues I know Karratha caravan park has had with the nickel resident and young children - strongly apposed.</p>
##	Y	The addition of cabins to this site is a great idea, good location, nice water views, keeps park traffic away from the primary school	N	Huge amount of works to prepare the site would need to be undertaken, who pays for this?? Traffic to park would pass directly by the primary school, road infrastructure in this area does not support large vans being towed.	N	Park traffic would come directly past the primary school, vans and kids are not a good mix. Road infrastructure in the area would cause traffic chaos.	N	This is a green space used by the local community everyday and for large events, markets and festivals. Why would this be a location suitable to take from the community??	N	As per above comments	N	Too large, any park will be occupied mostly in 5-6 months of the year, the site would require major works and road infrastructure in this area could not support this level of traffic. Again direct park traffic directly past the	N	How does this site become suitable to protect from storm surge. Mindful this is adjacent to residential housing.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	N	<p>* This location is on Rio Tinto land, which does not form part of the current land transfers from Rio to the City. Any future plans for this area would likely be many years away, if the current land transfers timeframe is anything to go by.</p> <p>* This park, currently operating as the Dampier Caravan Park, has been leased to, and managed by, the Dampier Community Association on behalf of our community since 1993. All profits are put towards the objects of the Association for the benefit of our local community. It would be a great loss to our town if the DCA was unable to continue managing this Park because the City upgraded it and took over the management.</p> <p>* The Karratha area desperately needs an additional caravan park, this option does not add to the number of available caravan/camping sites in the area. In fact it adds 3 more caravan sites, but loses 7 camping/tent sites from the current park layout.</p>	N	<p>* This area currently provides amenities for our community, so building a tourist park here would take away existing services, parks and sporting clubs. What is the benefit to our community of putting a tourist park here if we lose some of the limited facilities we have?</p> <p>* This site is within the current odour buffer zone for the Waste Water Treatment Plant so this option would depend on it being relocated before any new accommodation was able to be built in this area - this is up to Rio Tinto as the land owner.</p> <p>* The location's topography would make it difficult and expensive to build new infrastructure.</p> <p>* This area is known for being protected from the wind, so would likely become too hot in the summer months for caravaners and campers to comfortably stay. The current Dampier Caravan Park has changed to seasonal opening times, as history has shown a steep decline in visitors in the Pilbara</p>	N	<p>As per above comments for site 2 (except for size comment).</p> <p>Additionally:</p> <p>*This location is currently home to both the local squash and tennis clubs, who do not want to relocate to Windy Ridge Oval.</p> <p>*The protected location means less wind, which is perfect for small ball sports such as tennis, whilst tennis courts at WRO would be subject to frequent direct winds (it is not called Windy Ridge for nothing!).</p> <p>*The squash club has invested a lot of club money into their current court upgrades and they may incur a financial loss if they were to move to WRO.</p>	N	<p>* Again, this location currently provides amenities for our community, so building a tourist park here would take away existing community facilities. What is the benefit to our community of putting a tourist park here if we lose some of the precious few amenities we have?</p> <p>* Hampton Oval is not only used for sports and recreation, but hosts many popular community events throughout the year, such as the Beachside Markets, Sunset Movies, Father's Day Bike Show and Carols by Glow light. If all our local sporting groups were to relocate to, and play sport on, Windy Ridge Oval, it will affect availability of our one remaining town open space and potentially put these ongoing community events at risk.</p> <p>* This site is probably within the current odour buffer zone for the Waste Water Treatment Plant so this option would depend on it being relocated before any new accommodation was able to be built in this area -</p>	N	<p>As per above comments for site 4.</p> <p>Additionally:</p> <p>* Whilst this option does allow for community events, or junior soccer games, to be held on half the existing oval, it does not allow for any large scale events, or senior soccer games, that may require more space.</p> <p>* The Dampier Foreshore area is extremely popular with local residents, out-of-towners (Karratha folks!) and visitors to our region, especially after the City's recent upgrades. With more people coming to our town to use these amenities it seems counterproductive to take some of this well used space away from us.</p> <p>* Again, what is the community benefit in losing half our oval?</p>	Y/N	<p>* I have selected both yes & no boxes, as I would support this option as my second preference if the proposed tourist park was at least half this size.</p> <p>* Again, this location is on Rio Tinto land, which does not form part of the current land transfers from Rio to the City, so any future plans for this area would likely be many years away.</p> <p>* Additionally this land has known heritage sites which would make it difficult or unlikely to gain permission to build on it.</p> <p>* This area is currently vacant and therefore preferable to sites 1-5 as our town would not lose any existing community facilities or amenities by using this space.</p> <p>* The size of this park is way too large for our town and probably not sustainable, especially during the summer/cyclone period.</p> <p>* Would our current waste water services cope with a park of this size and the number of guests it could accommodate?</p> <p>*Again, to ensure financial viability during the 'low' tourist season there is the</p>	Y	<p>* This is my preferred option for a tourist park in Dampier.</p> <p>* Again, this area is currently vacant and therefore preferable to sites 1-5 as our town would not lose any existing community facilities or amenities by using this space.</p> <p>* Perfect location opposite Shark Cage Beach, and within walking distance to all town amenities, including shops, parks, Library, Pharmacy, pub, cafes and sporting courts/ovals.</p> <p>* Being next to the Shopping Centre would be beneficial for their retail and food outlets and may encourage new businesses to town that the current population can't attract - this has flow on benefits for local residents who can patronise these businesses also.</p> <p>* This site could reduce the amount of extra traffic in town, with visitors able to walk instead of drive around Dampier. The current caravan park is a bit further out of town and guests tend to drive</p>

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
	* It would be preferable to value add to the existing park by utilising adjacent land or the unused land across the road and incorporate some of this plan in upgrading the current facility.		summer/cyclone months, so an alternative park would need to be appealing to travellers during this hot period. * To ensure financial viability during the 'low' tourist season there is the possibility that the Park would be opened up to long stay/term or FIFO accommodation, which is not supported by our community. * It would be preferable to have a park location that is not quite so close to our local primary school. * The size of this park seems too large for our town and possibly not sustainable.				this is up to Rio Tinto as the land owner. * Interestingly, all other tourist park options include chalet accommodation, but the HO sites do not. Is there a reason for this				possibility that the Park would be opened up to long stay/term or FIFO accommodation, which is not supported by our community.		instead of walk. * If this park is planned well, ALL sites could have a sea view if the ones at the rear are on higher ground than the ones at the front (as the land currently seems to lie). * Suggest there are less chalets and more caravan/camping sites: - remove the 3 bed chalets (#5) from the front road and place along the rear of the park closest to the pub (area #6) - remove the 2 bed chalets (#12) entirely and retain the one row of 2 bed chalets along the rear closest to the shops (#7) * Suggest relocating the admin facilities (#1) and moving the lavover and

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
														<p>parking bays (#2) at the front of the park to the check in area (#11).</p> <p>* The whole front area would be perfect for the first section/road of caravan/camping sites - location, location, location! These sites would likely be highly sought after (think Coral Bay) and could attract a higher site fee (judging by the popularity of the current caravan park's ocean view sites).</p> <p>* Love the walkway connecting the pub/shops with the beach/foreshore - local residents would benefit from this pedestrian link too.</p> <p>* An issue for this location is its proximity to the residential area, which is not such an issue for the other site options. However other caravan parks exist successfully in, or close to, residential areas and I believe the many community benefits of this location would outweigh any perceived negative effects.</p> <p>* Another detractor from</p>
##	N		N		N		N		N		Y		N	
##											Y			

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	<p>This site would be good and running the site more year round would see more tourism numbers into the town. The site has good access, also has good access to the beach.</p> <p>Area will also have additional amenities in the form of Peninsula Palms on its completion. All sites should not allow long term accommodation similar to the transit park, the area and transit park is under utilised currently.</p>	N	<p>Strongly oppose. Having a transient population directly next to a primary school is asking for child abuse issues, with the recent Chloe Smith case directly what the community was concerned about with the location of a caravan park directly next to a school.</p> <p>1 case of child exploitation is too many.</p> <p>This also ruins the amenity of the current local business, being a quiet location for the community to enjoy the views and a meal - a location and view which is iconic to Dampier.</p> <p>Concerns regarding the welfare of the seafarers centre & the service that it provides - Concerns that many seafarers are not well understood within the broader community (outside of Dampier locals) and are subject to high levels of racial abuse for the broader community. A caravan park would likely lead to higher levels of racial abuse to these seafarers.</p> <p>Loss of significant green habitat. The concept image is not representative of the large amount of earthworks that would be required, which would more than likely result in large retaining walls.</p> <p>No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites</p> <p>Too many traffic entry's and exits on such a small section of road, likely to lead to increased number of accidents with people towing boats and caravans.</p>	N	<p>Strongly oppose. Having a transient population directly opposite a primary school is asking for child abuse issues, with the recent Chloe Smith case directly what the community was concerned about with the location of a caravan park directly next to a school.</p> <p>1 case of child exploitation is too many.</p> <p>This also ruins the amenity of the current local business, being a quiet location for the community to enjoy the views and a meal - a location and view which is iconic to Dampier.</p> <p>Concerns regarding the welfare of the seafarers centre & the service that it provides - Concerns that many seafarers are not well understood within the broader community (outside of Dampier locals) and are subject to high levels of racial abuse from the broader community. A caravan park would likely lead to higher levels of racial abuse to these seafarers.</p> <p>No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites</p> <p>Too many traffic entry's and exits on such a small section of road, likely to lead to increased number of accidents with people towing boats and caravans.</p>	N	<p>Strongly oppose. Hampton oval is the only area to undertake exercise/ recreation when Windy Ridge is being utilised.</p> <p>It is well utilized by the community outside of more formal organised activities.</p> <p>This will be a significant loss of amenity for the community.</p> <p>Needs to retain the dog off lead areas and dog beach in this area .</p> <p>Losing one of the only green spaces in town is poor urban planning for promoting a healthy lifestyle and utilizing outdoor areas. Not everyone wants to join a gym or the football club/organised sport.</p>	N	<p>Strongly oppose. Hampton oval is the only area to undertake exercise/ recreation when Windy Ridge is being utilized.</p> <p>This will be a significant loss of amenity for the community.</p> <p>Needs to retain the dog off lead areas and dog beach in this area as it is the only off lead dog recreation beach section in town.</p> <p>No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites</p> <p>Losing one of the only green spaces in town is poor urban planning for promoting a healthy lifestyle and utilizing outdoor areas. Not everyone wants to join a gym or the football club/organised sport.</p>	N	<p>The park is too large and it will be empty for 6-9 months of the year.</p> <p>The site is still too close to the school, however at least there is some segregation and current assets and amenity of areas are maintained.</p> <p>Needs to retain the dog off lead areas and dog beach in this area as it is the only off lead dog recreation beach section in town.</p> <p>Will lead to significant rubbish along the beach section.</p> <p>Will lead to significantly more traffic on the Esplanade road as Shops are too far for people to walk. Being able to walk everywhere is one of the great appeals of the Dampier town and it would lose its vibe if kids are no longer able to ride or walk everywhere due to the volume of traffic from a large sized caravan park.</p> <p>Will not lead to the increased use of the Shopping centre.</p> <p>If a large park is going into Dampier then this will be the likely only location - although this site will have the biggest environmental impact Site will likely require significant coastal protection, similar to the wall at the Palms which will destroy the only sandy foreshore and dunal habitats within the area and lead to enhanced erosion of the beaches during storm events.</p> <p>What would be done to offset the environmental impacts? There are also significant aboriginal heritage sites throughout this area.</p>	Y	<p>This is a suitable size caravan park for Dampier - it is close to existing infrastructure (shark cage for swimming, toilet block and swimming shelters) and is also close to the foreshore. Close to the existing infrastructure - shopping centre - to make shops more viable in this area. The site would be considered infill development, so would have low environmental impact. From a coastal erosion point of view the site is protected by the existing road.</p> <p>This site would encourage tourists to walk around town instead of driving as it is central to all amenities. Being able to walk everywhere is one of the great appeals of the Dampier town.</p> <p>It is also segregated away from the school area. Site requires a wider buffer to existing houses though along High Street.</p> <p>No long term accommodation beyond 14 days or permanent sites</p>

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Strongly Agree	N	Disagree (Could be reduced in size, to keep Tennis/Squash courts and use in conjunction with Option 1 to increase sites across the two options)	N	Disagree (Tennis and Squash courts are too valuable to community)	N	Disagree (area is too valuable to community)	N	Disagree (area is too valuable to community)	Y	Agree (Best option by far, could reduce size and use in conjunction with Option 1 to increase sites across the two options)	N	Strongly Disagree (this will significantly increase traffic to area)
##	Y	I believe the location poses no concerns to the Dampier community however I agree that it needs to be bigger to be viable, and the location doesn't encourage visitors to invest in the town.	N	Ideally I would not like to see the park next to the school. The transient nature of caravan parks concerns me that, especially in resources based communities, there could be risk of harm to children. I also think this location should be developed for use by more than just visitors - locals should be able to benefit from this prime location.	N	As per above.	N	Hampton Oval is well used by local community groups and individuals and is integral to the function of the new foreshore development. This oval should be left as is or largely untouched.	N	As above.	N	I believe this option to be way too big for the Dampier town to accommodate. This will encourage long term stays, or likely to be largely vacant for long periods especially during the warmer months. My greatest concern with any tourist park in Dampier is ensuring long term stays are restricted to prevent workers using the park for accommodation during Resources boom times. I was also of the understanding this is a likely option for moving the WWTP to?	Y	I believe this to be the best site for long term investment in the community. The central location has easy access to the shopping precinct, beaches, public transport and is still close to the new foreshore and existing restaurant options. I don't have a problem with proximity to residential properties as caravan parks are often adjacent to housing in other sea-side towns. I also think the size of the park is appropriate to the Dampier town size. There should still be rules to prevent long term stays during resource boom
##	Y	This would be my only second choice its been a transit park for a long time and an ideal spot for travellers and small enough for the amount of visitors we get	N	NO No No too close to school	N	NO No No too close to school	N	NO Hampton is a great place for family's and taking this away would spoil a great place for Dampier Family's to enjoy time	N	NO even cutting this in half Hampton oval would be ruined	N	No too large and not needed in DAMPIER	Y	Yes I support this one a good place IF WE NEED TO HAVE A PARK IN DAMPIER and large enough and in a good spot
##	Y	This would be my only second choice its been a transit park for a long time and an ideal spot for travellers and small enough for the amount of visitors we get	N	NO No No Too close to the Primary school why on earth would you even consider this area.	N	Too close to the Primary school why on earth would you even consider this area.	N	NO Hampton is a great place for family's and taking this away would spoil a great place for Dampier Family's to enjoy time	N	NO even cutting this in half Hampton oval would be ruined	N	No too bloody large and definitely not needed in DAMPIER	Y	Yes I support this one a good place IF WE NEED TO HAVE A PARK IN DAMPIER and large enough and in a good spot
##	N	General comment- I am looking at these proposals on the basis of "What gives most benefit to Dampier Town and least has a lost on local facilities or existing recreation areas." Option 1 offers very little improvement/benefit	N	lose Tennis courts, squash courts, parking, Jurat Park. Puts a lot of pressure on that end of town, restricted access, close to school which has many negative issues.	N	Majority of the negatives from option 2 apply to this option also	N	This concept should be immediately dismissed! The loss of Hampton oval is a terrible idea for the Town. As with option 2 and 3 this option also puts a lot of pressure on that end of town, restricted access.	N	Most of the comments from option 4 apply to option 5. limited benefits, high losses to Town recreational facilities/areas	N	Too big, limited access, lot of pressure on that end of Town, not the prettiest part of Town. A small version may be the second best option though.	Y	Seems an appropriate size, offers most benefits to Dampier. Has potential to re-vitalise centre of Town. Has good access, close to shops, spreads load of number of people/traffic better, away from school. No loss of facilities. Improved aesthetic/views from park over other options.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	This would be my only second choice its been a transit park for a long time and an ideal spot for travellers and small enough for the amount of visitors we get	N	NO too close to school	N	NO too close to school	N	NO Hampton is a great place for family's and taking this away would spoil a great place for Dampier Family's to enjoy time	N	NO even cutting this in half Hampton oval would be ruined	N	No too large and not needed in DAMPIER	Y	Yes I support this area its close to the amenities we have in Dampier IGA, Mermaid ETC but still gives close access to the back entrance to school but the best site out of the 7 proposed site
##	Y	It would make sense to keep the caravan park where it is, and extend it.	N	As a Dampier resident with young children, I am opposed to having the new Dampier tourist park anywhere within the school grounds. We do NOT want our children to be exposed to potentially dangerous people. Especially when the whole world has just been watching the Cleo Smith	N	As a Dampier resident with young children, I am opposed to having the new Dampier tourist park anywhere within the school grounds. We do NOT want our children to be exposed to potentially dangerous people. Especially when the whole world has just been watching the Cleo Smith case.							Y	Or option 7. That way people are able to walk through town to the sites of our lovely Dampier. It wouldn't be great to have long term options at the caravan park either as we don't want it to turn into a mining camp. But would be great to have people longer than 3 nights.
##	y/N	This is already Dampier Caravan Park? This proposal actually has less sites than the current Park. This is perfectly positioned though expansion is needed as it is at capacity for 6 months of the year.	N	Traffic congestion Too close to the school Why not make the area closer to the Boat Club larger parking bays for boats so the whole roadside is kept clear over the weekend. It's horrendous driving around there on a great fishing day!	N	this is the perfect sheltered place for the tennis courts. It is too close to the school. Traffic would be a nightmare	N	Why build on the small amount of cleared oval space that Dampier has, and leave all oval users fighting for time on the one oval left in town? Traffic congestion again, caravans turning on a horrible bend in the road.	Y	I don't like that we will lose half an oval but the oval as a whole is under utilised so this site does make sense. Traffic congestion is still an issue.	Y	I feel this is over kill in size. What will you do for the summer months when there are no tourists in town? Will it become a mining camp? Great position - I hope there will be public access and a carpark to allow better access for everybody to that stretch of beach Traffic congestion will be a problem, that main road around the foreshore is already busy enough without adding in a busy tourist park. Can you have the access road coming from the Rio / Keiser Mariner road?	Y	Number 1 pick for me Perfect location, close to everything, traffic flow should be fine. It will reinvigorate our town centre, hopefully bringing in more businesses! I would move all the chalets down to the Mermaid hotel end, not the residential end (leave that for caravans).
##	Y		N		N						N		Y	
##														

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Option 1 (existing) is an ideal location - very picturesque and greatly enjoyed by those who stay there. I acknowledge it's small. It's a shame that it apparently cannot be expanded.	N	1) Strongly reject locations options 2 and 3 (Jurat Reserve area),4 and 5 (Hampton Oval). Reasons to oppose: -While I acknowledge the Jurat reserve area is under-used currently and there maybe a future better use of it such as enhancement of the park and recreational activity in it, the traffic and safety issues with this site being used for a caravan park would be extreme!	N	1) Strongly reject locations options 2 and 3 (Jurat Reserve area),4 and 5 (Hampton Oval). Reasons to oppose: -While I acknowledge the Jurat reserve area is under-used currently and there maybe a future better use of it such as enhancement of the park and recreational activity in it, the traffic and safety issues with this site being used for a caravan park would be extreme!	N	Locations 4 and 5 on Hampton Oval I strongly reject because this oval is already heavily used by the community and while I understand CofK hopes all current public and sports usage of Hampton Oval can be transferred to Windy Ridge, I doubt this is the case. The night and morning markets already occupy most of the oval and could not be contained to one half or other of it. My child regularly plays soccer on Hampton Oval and at these times (when she is doing training or playing a match, Windy Ridge is usually occupied by other sports). Dampier PS also uses Hampton Oval for sports events as the school does not have an oval of its own.	N	Locations 4 and 5 on Hampton Oval I strongly reject because this oval is already heavily used by the community and while I understand CofK hopes all current public and sports usage of Hampton Oval can be transferred to Windy Ridge, I doubt this is the case. The night and morning markets already occupy most of the oval and could not be contained to one half or other of it. My child regularly plays soccer on Hampton Oval and at these times (when she is doing training or playing a match, Windy Ridge is usually occupied by other sports). Dampier PS also uses Hampton Oval for sports events as the school does not have an oval of its own.	Y	I think that option 6 - utilising land closer to East Intercourse to the west or south of Hampton Oval land makes most sense. Reason to support: This proposed location is close to a good beach swimming and paddling area (i.e. without rocks or reef - it's the far end of this beach, before the rocks and mangroves at Kaiser Marina that actually is the most swimming-friendly in all of Dampier!) and it is picturesque. It does not create major traffic concerns in the same ways that options 3, 4, and 5 do. Nor does it restrict or exclude current community uses of the land. It would be an enjoyable and sought-after destination for tourists, and by putting in a road to the caravan park you could create access for	N	Option 7 throws up some traffic concerns while not as extreme as the traffic concerns for locations 2,3,4, and 5. Reason to oppose: The steep gully here doesn't strike me as a suitable for a caravan park! Apart from problematic topography, it's immediately below the Mermaid and will be *very* noisy. I don't think you would be adding to the appeal of Dampier to tourists by putting in a caravan park, here at all!
##	Y	Support option 1	N	Do not support option 2 as courts should remain in current location.	N	Do not support option 3 as too close to primary school and courts should remain in current location.	N	Do not support option 4 or 5 as reduction in green spaces should be avoided	N	Do not support option 4 or 5 as reduction in green spaces should be avoided	Y	Support option 6 as long as beach remains accessible to dogs.		Do not support option 7 as this would potentially block ocean views to home owners in the vicinity.
##			Y	Site number 2... my big issue with it is the traffic access, as if you have been out here on a nice day or the weekend parking for the boat club and the playground areas are a nightmare let alone adding caravan drivers in the mix! I like this proposal because I think that jurat park is a wasted area and could be so much more. Probably don't need as many sites. I would like to see a better traffic management plan. As you surely can't have access to site 2 on the road across from the foreshore. Wouldn't it be better to			N	Please leave Hampton oval alone as its such a great community space that I would hate to see it overtaken by a caravan park.	N	Please leave Hampton oval alone as its such a great community space that I would hate to see it overtaken by a caravan park.			Y	I do think you need to have it closer to the towns facilities e.g.; shops, pub, beach - so site 7 would be ideal. As long as there will still be plenty of parking for locals as its a very popular spot on perfect days. Too many sites...do we need that many????> 5. Also will this be owned by the city or will you sell/lease to a company like Big4 etc.???
##	Y	Appropriate	N	To close to school, Safety Concerns	N	To close to school, Safety Concerns	N	Detrimental to families	N	Detrimental to families	Y		N	Waste of community space

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Could be upgraded and complement another site located on the other end of town. Could be used while the other site is being built.	N	Too big for Dampier.	Y	Would make that corner look good. It is hidden but could improve the aesthetic. Ultimately could be expanded further down the track if there is demand.	N	No too many sites. Need to consider half of the year the site would be empty.	Y	Could be a good opportunity. In comparison to site 4 the size is better suited to Dampier. Good location, away from residential areas.	Y	Too many sites, this not required for Dampier.	N	Do not agree with that location. Residents need parking and café(s) in that location to build a recreation node that complements the shops. This is the best spot for an entertainment area, nowhere else were that could be done in Town.
##											Y			
##	Y	Currently no issues with this site	N	No caravan park next to the Primary school. This was clear in the initial consultations. This area should be considered as extra parking given the congestion of vehicles & suitable parking whenever there is an event in Dampier. This will also remove a local business along with the Seafarers Mission Would also rather see Jurat utilised as a potential nature scape playground area similar to that in Kings Park, Perth	N	As per above. Local business needs to stay.	N	Removes events space from Dampier. Twilight/ Dampier Markets, Guy Fawkes night etc will no longer have the capacity to host the events. Also removes the purpose that the foreshore was upgraded/renovated in the first place. Removes space for dog owners to utilise within the Dampier community. Also commonly used by kite surfers. Still not comfortable with any Tourist park going ahead near established children areas. Given recent events in Carnarvon & the history of reported child abuse at the Karratha tourist parks over the years, these locations are really missing the mark.	N	As per above	N	As per above. Too large & will pose to big of an impact on the current ecosystem. Site is also beginning to encroach towards Rio Tinto & feel the proximity of this location will result in unnecessary community complaints being directed towards the company.	Y	Preferred site of all the newly proposed. Would like to see some villa style accommodation that would cater to the current Fishing competitions held by both of the fishing clubs in town. It will encourage out of town anglers to compete or provide a secure facility for tenants of inland towns to utilise for their own fishing trips in the archipelago. Central to current businesses and vacant commercial space for future potential business. Would also need to consider a maximum length of stay to ensure that people are not using the park as permanent accommodation. High Street end should be reduced to make way for a 30 -50 bay car park so that families local to the city still have ample parking options available to still utilise the Shark Cage / Foreshore facilities.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment	Support ed	Comment
														Overall, I believe the City also needs to instate appropriate recycling stations across all the foreshore facilities. Since the foreshore upgrade there has been a distinct rise in litter to the foreshore area. This would be a major improvement and would look considerably better on the City's behalf, proceeds of recycling could be donated
	Additionnal Comments It is disappointing that the feedback previously received on this matter from the community appears to have been ignored again by the City. Gives the distinct feeling that there are a number of people involved that are only interested in massaging their own ego's to big note themselves in the process. I also find the timing of the feedback dates inconsiderate given the current situation around vaccine mandates. Again, feels like it is hidden under a veil of deceit so that feedback is limited.													
##											Y	Option 6 please :)		
##	Y	small size and tucked away. Won't impact infrastructure or traffic in town	N	Too close to the Dampier State primary school. Very poor social planning to have a caravan park adjacent to a school for social reasons and traffic. Size is an issue as park of this size will have an impact on services such as sewerage and water. Proximity to children playgrounds could also be a problem.	N	Same as 2 + the caravan park will remove the tennis and squash courts.	N	Hampton Oval provides valuable green space to the Dampier community as its user for sporting, recreational and community activities. Also very close to playgrounds which are a valuable community asset. Also traffic issues past school and playground.	N	As above	N	Too large in proportion to Dampier community. Impact on infrastructure would be considerable.	Y	Good site adjacent to Dampier business area. Ideal location from visitor perspective close to shops and beach front. Access does not need passing school or playgrounds. Current area is an eyesore. Its development would enhance Dampier attractiveness, something the other sites don't do.
##	Y	Great existing area for caravans, parking- just require an upgrade/facelift	N	Too close to primary school- too much traffic around the area	N	Too close to primary school- will have too much traffic around the area	N	Hampton oval is the icon of Dampier- where everything takes place here e.g. big events, markets, etc, for people to relax and walk their dogs	N	Same as above -we will lose space for the oval if the transit park is located here.	Y	Better site compared to Hampton oval- away from oval area however traffic onto church road will be a concern	Y	Close to shops for tourists to be able to get their necessities e.g. groceries , and away from primary school area
##			N	No because of below comments on squash + tennis club	N	There are a lot of passionate squash club and tennis club members that would hate to see these sites go. The mentality from the squash club is they don't want a second KLP in Dampier.	N	Worst possible site. Why would you even propose this?	N	Second worst possible site. This is only half as bad as the above, but still its awful.	Y	This is a great location. Doesn't effect existing infrastructure or residence. Is a little far from amenities though (Elderly). Beach front shalies near the foreshore park. Pretty nice for tourist with kids.	Y	This is a good location, close to shops, pub, beach, yachty. Only negative is it may impact residential views. If it can be kept low enough it should mitigate the problem. Ocean view shalies. Nice and a quick
##	Y	Best option	N	Too large. Infrastructure can (not) support it Next to primary school	N	Next to primary school is not a child safe option	Y	Second best option but could the land be used better?	N	You have already not completed the first upgrade. You are struggling to keep the grass green at Windy Ridge already	N	Way too big FFS what are you thinking. Don't ruin own town.	N	Poor use of prime land.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	N	The transit park as a short stay park works very well for a lot of travellers and should stay as it is. Also too small an area and would be booked out quickly for long period of time.	N	Would still be affected by the odour from the sewage. The tennis and squash clubs are very active and new facilities would need to be built before this is done which would be at the cost of the ratepayers. High cost to develop due to the hills.	N	as per 2 Jurat park	N	Both Dampier ovals are used and needed for many clubs and functions and this would impact the community greatly. This oval is used by many people to walk and play with family and friends. This green space is very important for community mental health!!	N	As per 4. Hampton oval This is too small an area for a caravan park. The whole Hampton oval is required for current activities.	Y	Good location with a beach front. Good number of bays for the future. No other facilities and club impacted.	Y	Good size area for a caravan park. Good location, next to shops and beach. No impact to current community facilities.
##	Y	if you are able to expand this site would be best ideal location. Still in town but not in the "middle" of town, next to a FIFO village. So park resident will be able to use those facilities, i.e. go there for meals	N	Prime location given to out of towners! I would prefer to see the rejuvenation of this space for the town residents or ability to bring other businesses to service the town to this spot.	N	As above, right next to school, I don't want my children to be exposed to transient residents.	N	Again taking from the local residents an absolute gem of a space. Where will we have opportunity for local events like markets and movies, etc. No No No	N	As above no no no	Y	best option yet, out of way but still close enough to walk to town facilities.	N	Would like to see this space developed but for things like a café/bar strip, not as a caravan park. Think it will be an eyesore for the town.
##	Y		Y	Plenty of room to develop and no congestion	Y		N		Y		Y	Great location. Plenty of available land.	N	This is already an area heavily used by the larger community to park cars to enjoy the shark cage. Too much development right in front of housing. A poor idea all round, we don't want to live next door to a caravan park -"no one in Dampier should"
##	Y	Nice size. Good spot	N	Too close to school. Caravan parks close to schools is a very bad idea	N	Same comments as 2. Also removes existing infrastructure	N	Removes lovely multi-purpose recreational and green space. Will increase use of Windy ridge	N	As per 4	N	Too large for size of Dampier will stress existing infrastructure especially roads and sewerage	Y	Good spot close to businesses. Great views and will remediate a town eyesore
##	Y	I support this as it is an existing caravan park and would be great to refurb and upgrade.	N	I do not support this as it should be utilised as a community use area. Improvements should be made to make it ore user friendly for the people of Dampier- Not for caravans/accommodation	N	I do not support his as I believe that it should be maintained/improved to boost local sporting and used for recreational sporting activities. Not for caravans/accommodation	N	I do not support this as the oval sees significant use by many parties. Windy Ridge is too busy to replace this oval entirely. Should be used for events/sporting/recreational activities. Not for caravans/accommodation	N	I do not support this. Please see my comments above. I d not believe that this recreational/sporting/event s/ site should be replaced. Not for accommodation or caravans	Y	This is my preferred site of choice. Currently it is not used, has plenty of room, a good ocean view and would compliment the Hampton Harbour area. My only other suggestion would be to have a link road from the Ell site road for entry traffic diversion. This is hands down the best choice.	N	I do not support this site. It should be developed for use by the people of Dampier. It would be far better developed as a restaurant/bar/café/board walk/garden area. Something along those lines could possibly make an area for bands to set up for festivals. Not for use for caravans/accommodation

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Already established. Nice and small, out of the way, no major traffic or services disruptions, no draw down of note to existing Dampier facilities. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation	N	Too close to the school, unacceptable increase in traffic on main road and blind corner restricting vision in evening for drivers. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation	N	Too close to the school, unacceptable increase in traffic on main road and blind corner restricting vision in evening. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation	N	Loss of oval not acceptable/events and community . Increase of traffic on main road and blind corner. Will shut out the foreshore as a community space. People will drive to shops (more traffic). Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights	Y	Loss of oval but not for event and community. As long as they don't shut out the foreshore as a community space: community can use facilities i.e. pool in down season. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights. Only expand into the skate park and not the	N	Too big, lots of traffic on main road and blind corner. Traffic increase <- > people drive to shops due to distance. Will shut out/dominate use of foreshore facilities. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation	Y	Less traffic impact, easy to walk to shops, community can use facilities i.e. pool in down season or less peak times. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights
##	Y	ideal location	N	Jurat park is one of the oldest parks and one of the most loved	N	Tennis is a sport and it will reduce the activities in Dampier	N	current popular oval!! Too close to the school!!	N	I do to this oval to play sports and would hate to see it changed like this	N	uncomfortable to swim in front of caravan park creates too much traffic for this private beach, only untouched beach area left! Well known cross country track!! Icon to Dampier local and across the Pilbara schools	N	Too close to homes, less privacy
##	Y	This position is ideal as it does not impact on any residential homes or impact on the communities social environment	N	Loved taking the kids here when they were young and now taking my grandkids there as it is a great place for them to play and explore. Also too close to school and will also take way valuable parking space when they are events in town.	N	Do not agree with taking away amenities . They are currently being used and also takes away parking. Also too close to school.	N	Definite no . Too close to school. Takes away parking. This oval is always in use by the community. Too close to playground	N	Definite no . Too close to school. Takes away parking. This oval is always in use by the Dampier community as well as surroundings communities. Too close to kids playground	N	No No No This is one of the more private places in Dampier beaches. It also is part of Dampier history with Dampier schools as well as other school.	N	I feel this will change the feel of our town and spoil the use of where the shark cage use to be. And take way the parking also.
##	Y	This area still allows tourist to experience the Dampier beach front without disrupting the community/natural feel of Dampier	N	This park may be hidden away but has been a special spot for generations. Will bring a cluster of traffic to a small area. Too close to our school.	N	do not agree with taking away current used facilities in the community. Limits the space for parking.	N	NO, NO, NO! This is a popular location used everyday for many years. Oval is a well know spot for the surrounding towns not just Dampier. Too close to kids playground.	N	Too close to oval/kids playground. Taking away a long lived/used area. Limiting the space used for local events/school events. A popular area for tourist/visitors.	N	Takes away the only private beach area Dampier has. Do not want our unique cross country track to be destroyed for this. This track has been a well known used track for decades. Dampier is known for its	N	Too close to residents Limits parking area. Takes away the privacy the beach has.
##	Y	great little park	N		N		N	Hampton oval is already well utilised by sports, markets, kite boarders, movie nights. Green area next to park for all to enjoy- why take it away?? Developers should be developing	N	As above	N	Way too large too many sites. Traffic issue for Church road	Y	great opportunity for local business all within walking distance. Prime area waiting to be developed- great views +beautifies a currently ugly spot. Sensible number of sites for Dampier to cope with (traffic, water, waste, etc.)

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Well positioned park	N	Placing a caravan park site adjacent to a primary school is very poor planning. There are enormous problems in caravan parks that offer long term or permanent residence. The location of the site will increase traffic flow around the school which may pose a safety issue	N	As above. Poor position. There will be competing use of playground facilities which have been paid for by ratepayers.	N	terribly and lazy planning to take away a well used local facility to benefit a commercial activity. This will reduce the options in the community for various activities and may engender resentment by the local rate payers and residents.	N	As above. Would be a very unpopular choice impacting on local residents and rate payers.	N	Far too large. If full this will change the nature of the Dampier community as well as creating traffic issues. The drain on rate payers funded facilities would be immense- water, roads, etc.	Y	A good choice of location offering opportunities for local business in a site that is currently undeveloped. Another site for carpark once developed. Size is very sensible and not overwhelming for the small Dampier community. Any approval should require that the park provide its own playground to reduce competition with the current foreshore facilities
##	Y		Y	Probably a bit big for Dampier but still a good use of the land that doesn't get used much	Y	This is far enough from Dampier residents. Not right near their houses	N		N		Y	I think this option is perfect, it is located far enough away from the main centre of town and boat ramp access.	N	This is prime land in Dampier that all people love to enjoy and should not be cluttered with caravans +chalets. Why not make this area cafes and restaurants for all to enjoy.
##													Y	Close to shopping centre
##	Y	Existing infrastructure is in place	N	Too close to school, land that should be developed to support the community not seasonal tourists	N	As above	N	Removes the oval from being able to be used for kids sports	N	As above	Y	Develops more of the town, opens up the beach, out of the way, away from school	Y	Close to existing amenities, away from the school, traffic will be a problem though
##	Y	Nice and small. Out of the way. No major traffic or services disruption. No drawdown of note to existing Dampier facilities. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights	N	Too close to the school, loss of Jurat park, unacceptable increase to traffic on main road and blind corner . Will shut out the foreshore for locals. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights	N	Too close to the school, unacceptable increase in traffic on main road and blind corner. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights	N	Loss of oval not acceptable. Increase of traffic on main road and blind corner. Will shut out the foreshore as a community space. People will drive to shops - more traffic. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10	N	Loss of oval not acceptable. Increase of traffic on main road and blind corner. Will shut out the foreshore as a community space. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation e.g. <10 nights	N	Too big, lots of traffic on main road and blind corner. Traffic increase <- > people drive to shops due to distance. Will shut out/dominate use of foreshore facilities. Heritage impact unacceptable. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term	Y	Less traffic impact, - people walk to shops-, will promote shops, good to keep hub(shop and services) and customers all in one area. Does not monopolise use of foreshore playgrounds. Requires a maximum length of stay to prevent workers for using this as long term accommodation
##	Y	This would keep the flow of vans/traffic away from the centre of town.	N	Too close to the school	N	Too close to school	N	This oval is widely used by the community	N	This would still limit use of the oval	Y		N	Would make the Esplanade congested and too close to skate park
##	Y	Proven great spot. Next t penny palms great beach access +views. Far enough away not to trouble locals but close enough to be part of community. Can access through Rio road	N	Do not like- too congested, traffic nightmare- Just look at Guy Fawkes. Too close to school	N	as above	N	Terrible idea to take away such a great + well used oval. Too close to kids playgrounds : Absolute worst option	N	As above. Do not remove something that is proven. Asset +part of our beautiful town	Y	Best ideas- great views- contained +safe- separate enough from areas children use. Would love to stay somewhere	N	No way!!! Too close to residential : Noise issues, undesirable visitor issues, safety issues with children traffic, traffic issues.
##	Y		N	Too close to school	N	Too close to school	N		N		Y		N	

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Yes otherwise we will be spending ,money tearing it out and more money putting it back in. Its better where it is	N	No, me and my family use this a lot and there week(ly).and its too close to school	N	no. its too close to the primary school and why take away what the community uses	N	it's too close to the school and it is a public place that lots of people use it will take all the space for social activities	N	it is still too close to the school and the primary school uses the space for school activities and it is being used for activities such as gufawks, market, soccer	N	No its uncomfortable to swim in front of a caravan park full of people, and its destroying the bush around the beach which is home to lots of animals. Cross country track used to this day as a icon to Dampier! Been there for	N	no, no one will feel comfortable to swim in front of a caravan park full of people not from here
##	N	Too small	N	Too much ground work needed	N	too close to school	N	Good sporting venue	N	Not big enough	Y	Unused ground	Y	Close to shops
##	Y	Perhaps a little small but this location has worked well for many years. There is plenty of shade +lawn, parking out front +I often see people sitting out the front to enjoy the sunset. The facilities i.e.. kitchen, laundry etc. could do with an upgrade. Why not expand this one?	N	This is too large an area and too many visitors. Dampier town is not large enough to host this many extra people. Jurat Park is underutilised but is a lovely green area. I don't object to a park here but it should not take up the entire tennis, squash courts, cafe, seafarers. Where would they go and how soon would they be	N	Whilst I prefer this smaller size, our tennis+ squash teams/clubs are quite active at all ages and host annual event etc. Dampier cannot wait for CofK to rebuild a new sports centre. Why not extend further back, out the other end of Jurat park+ possibly only the old tennis courts? Guests could use the existing squash/tennis	N	You cannot be serious! This is a large green space well loved and utilised by all people (not just Dampier residents). Markets, movies, sports, dogs, kids, families all enjoy this open space freely. Do not destroy that.	Y	A good compromise to the entire oval. They still have good ocean views, flat ground but enough space for others to continue to use as detailed above. <u>Cannot</u> be expanded at a later date!	N	This is insane. Imagine it at even 1/2 capacity where will they all go? What will they all do? We have 2 tiny cafes and 2 other available restaurants. Dampier residents can barely get a coffee @their local as it is. We cannot accommodate, host or entertain this sheer volume of people.	Y	I like this location and the size. Great beach front location so long as you still have parking for other visitors. Close to shops + pub. No one and nothing else currently uses this space. Doesn't ruin existing sporting facilities or business spaces and doesn't take over existing green, shady park. This is my preferred one!
##	N		N		N		N		N		Y		Y	
##	N	Can't grow, too small	N	Too big +too much frontage on the Esplanade	Y	Great location	Y	great location +size fully support all Hampton oval options with minor adjustments	Y	Best location but happy to go up to 100 bays. Ideal place -> <u>Any</u> of the Hampton oval sites. Original caravan park was here	Y/N	Happy with location but not size. 1/2 this would be fine. Town could not handle this amount of extra people.	N	Defiantly not due to location. Too close to residential properties. Limit access for locals. Weekend parking will be a nightmare +safety risk
##	N	Current transit park works as a short stay caravan park for travellers in this area. To accommodate more long stay tourism another additional caravan park is needed.	N	Too close to the Dampier primary school, will take up too much of the town foreshore. Located close to the sewage plant, in an area were the smell can become strong and unpleasant.	N	As per 2. Jurat Park	N	The oval is needed to meet the demands of an active sporting community, the oval is always used for soccer, park run, local markets, events etc. Windy Ridge oval cannot be the only oval in Dampier. Hampton oval is becoming a vital part of the local community.	N	As per 4. Hampton oval Still too much of the oval permanently unusable for the pubic.	Y	Great size, will increase usage of the town beach in an area that is not interrupting locals. Not destroying any of the towns original features. Using land that otherwise is not used. Not in the middle of town.	Y	Bring tourism into the centre of town. More use of town facilities (hub, café, shops). Using not used spaces for the park. A great option for a smaller long stay caravan park
##													Y	
##	Y	Out of the way , no impact to others	N	Tennis +squash Club impact. Too close to Dampier primary school	N	as above #2	N	impact to : Junior soccer /dog walkers/community markets/community movies.	N	Maybe-less impact than #4	Y	Great location but who owns the land	Y	Clearly the best option. No impact to others. Central to town/CBD. Removes the current eyesore.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

	Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites	
	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment	Supported	Comment
##	Y	Works perfectly- opportunity for expansion across the road +next door if Rio agrees in the future. Nice beach. Perfect next to peninsula palms	N	Too much traffic. To close to school. Already discussed reasons when first proposed	N	Too much traffic. To close to school. Already discussed reasons when first proposed.	N	hate it. This is a well utilised oval. It has been here since Dampier started. Do not take it away from us.	N	As above. This part in particular is used by the kite surfers and families	Y	Caravan park used to be here in 1965. Perfect location. Separate from busy main area but still inclusive -best of both world	N	Worst option. Too close to residential areas. Undesirable elements - noise-safety of kids- walking to cage area.
##	Y		N		N		N		N		N		N	
##	Y	supports the DCA. Keeps the town s is so families have the same freedom	N	Too close to school	N	Too close to school	N	Lovely family space	N	No space for soccer club	Y	Doesn't affect the current facilities	Y	Supports local businesses as closer to them
##	Y	With Penni palms, camp school and no chalet this is our accommodation area. Focus on fixing footpath and greening streets so it can be more presentable. If need more space use the area across the road that is right on the beach. Lots of potential and less invasive for community	N	Too close to school !! Valuable community space that could be developed in future for something that the community can use. Major issues with traffic and congestion on the foreshore. NO!	N	Leave our tennis and squash where they are. Same comments as above	N	Again taking valuable community space for business profits. This oval is highly valued to the community. It hosts many events and where will we have these without this space	N	Same as above	Y	But... need to be smaller. Parking space for community access to beach. Do not make a private beach. Consider space for water sports operators to use as well. This is the best beach in town and everyone should have access.	N	This development will cost us \$100 000 with our oceans views impacted for Maitland Court. Its only 25m away from the first house in the street! This will greatly impact residents on the east side development. More traffic and noise. Need to be a large buffer area that cannot be developed from where the pipeline is now to the Church road. instead put shops along the top and a big garden/park space that looks over the islands. this space is home to a lot of native flora and fauna.

Dampier Masterplan- Tourist Park Options Investigation
November 2021 Community Consultation Feedback Register

Site 1. RTIO Transit Park -24 sites		Site 2. Jurat Park -110 sites		Site 3. Jurat Park - 42 sites		Site 4. Hampton Oval - 71 sites		Site 5. Hampton Oval - 32 sites		Site 6. South of Hampton Oval - 202 sites		Site 7. The Esplanade - 78 sites		
Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	Support ted	Comment	
<div>Additionalnnal Comments:</div> <div>To whom it may concern, As a long term resident, a property owner and a tourism operator I hope you take the attached feedback into account. The city of Karratha needs you focus on improving local amenities before any new parks are considered. We need the following to be addressed well before these plans continue 1. Water, power and sewage upgrade 2. Money needs to be invested into a marina or better fuelling jetty for tourism and commercial industry. Without this we cannot improve water based tourism. 3 an impact study on increased traffic and parking along the esplanade, Dampier hwy and church road. 4. Marine parks and environment management plan for the Dampier Archipelago As a tourism operator for 7 years in the city I am extremely disappointed that the water sports operators were left off the table in the early discussions. Cracker Jack, Karratha kite, Pilbara dive and tours, reef seeker and blue lightning charters were all left out of discussions. My business personally would like to see an engagement about creating retail or operation spaces. The foreshore develop actually impact both Cracker Jack and Karratha kites ability to access that part of the beach. I would like to see access for vehicles and community parking space added to any development around Hampton oval. It's the best beach in town and we can't get to it! I would also like to see the city apply Eco tourism strategies to our region. Any development along the foreshore should be planting native gardens that match our region and sand dune rehabilitation and planting. The foreshore made a mess of the beach with sand now getting washed off the southern end of the beach. You fixed one problem and created another. How do you intend on managing this if you put a caravan park at that end of the beach? We have the opportunity to be world class in Eco tourism but we are moving in a completely different direction. Dampier has the largest marine biodiversity in WA. It should be protected for generations to come especially with a heavy industry base here.</div>														
##	N	too small	N	Too close to the school	N	Too close to the school	N	Takes a sporting and event area away from Dampier. Impacts recreation and dog walking as well	N	Too small	Y	great size. Utilises land that's currently unused. Safe access, one road in and out	Y	unused land. Plenty up the Esplanade close to shops, shark cage, walks, etc.
##											Y	Hi I believe that number 6 beachfront to the south of The oval(not on the oval) would be the best spot. Beach front and does not take any facilities away from the town.		
##	Y		N	Much loved park and 110 sites is far too many at a location so close to our school	N		N	Hampton Oval is used daily by locals for sport and recreation. Home to our community markets and other events, our outdoor movies, this would be a huge loss to Dampier	Y/N		N	Better location but 202 sites is much too large for our small community.	N	I believe 78 is too large for this central point in our little town. I feel the residents of several nearby streets who have paid in excess of \$800,000 for their homes, would not have done so if there was a large caravan park there at that time!